The Independent Policy Review - Paul Rimmer

[June 2025]

This gist of the Policy Context Review has been prepared from a fuller CLOSED version. Where material has been redacted from the statement to allow for it to be disclosed in PRIVATE, this is not indicated on the face of the document, save where necessary in the interests of clarity. In these circumstances, a gist is shown in italics within square brackets.

POLICY CONTEXT REVIEW

PAUL RIMMER, JUNE 2025

INTRODUCTION & NOTE ON SOURCES

- This is an independent review of the policy context for the data breach, now that 3
 years have passed since the unauthorised disclosure of Afghan Relocations and
 Assistance Policy (ARAP) applicants' data in February 2022. This review
 considered Afghanistan in Spring 2025, and reviewed HMG's proposed policy
 response against this.
- 2. This review is a risk based review, which seeks to examine the overall policy context. The focus of this review is on the potential impact of the dataset itself, and specifically how this might increase the net additional risk to individuals affected.
- 3. Part I considers whether HMG's understanding of the level of risk faced by those affected by the data incident remains broadly current, by building on our insight into the "lived experience" of those in Afghanistan and the Taleban's current activity. Part II provides some reflections on the current response.
- 4. The review has been conducted by Paul Rimmer, a retired civil servant, supported by a small team of Cabinet Office civil servants. The reviewer has a background in intelligence and policy matters and has not previously worked on the current Afghan Response Route (ARR) policy.
- 5. This policy context review draws on existing work, including taking account of existing assessments, expertise and reflections from current Afghanistan work from across HMG, Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) Posts across the region, as well as external stakeholders. The review focused on those most able to provide a high level of insight into the <u>current</u> situation as of Spring 2025, including:
 - a. Open source reports from a wide range of NGOs and think tanks; and
 - b. Interviews with representatives from:
 - i. An NGO:
 - ii. UNAMA;
 - iii. Afghan cultural experts and academics;
 - iv. Afghans already resettled in the UK;
 - v. Afghan and international activists working on Afghan resettlement;
 - vi. International allies.

- 6. Written reports and evidence, both publicly available and internal reporting, were considered, but the majority of this review has been conducted through in-person interviews. Some of these individuals are aware of the incident and therefore able to speak directly to the nature of the risk posed by the dataset specifically. A number of these have previously provided information through the Court process for HMG to assess, some of which was re-examined as part of this review for thoroughness. Others provided expertise more generally, on the Taleban approach to [certain individuals].
- 7. This review has also examined the dataset itself, in order to consider its contents against the insights provided by the various interlocutors interviewed. This was not a detailed analysis of each data line, but rather to gain a general understanding of the type of data included.
- 8. This review relies on open source, and often anecdotal evidence. This approach has been adopted deliberately in order to make use of information sources that are current, but inevitably difficult to assess individually. Nevertheless, they combine to give a broadly complementary picture. We sought to thoroughly scrutinise the credibility of the evidence provided from all sources drawn on. In examining the information we have been provided, the motivation and expertise of the source was considered, along with how their information was obtained and its currency. Where possible, corroboration with other sources has been sought, drawing out themes common across multiple interlocutors.

SUMMARY

- 9. The challenge for HMG is to design a response to the data breach in a manner that is proportionate to the risks that the unauthorised disclosure poses. This review concludes that, nearly four years into the de facto Taleban rule of Afghanistan, early concern about the extent of Taleban intent to target [certain individuals] has diminished. There is little evidence of intent by the Taleban to conduct a campaign of retribution against [certain individuals]. This review has also found no evidence to determine there is a consistent or sustained effort to target [certain individuals]. Killings are undoubtedly still occurring, and human rights violations remain extensive, but it is extremely difficult to determine the causes of individual killings or detentions.
- 10. The actual data set (versus some knowledge of "data" being lost) may not have spread nearly as widely as initially feared. Given the data they already have access to as the de facto government, we believe it is unlikely the dataset would be the single, or definitive, piece of information enabling or prompting the Taleban to act.
- 11. Vulnerability in Afghanistan is multi-layered. The severe and worsening economic deterioration, threat from the Islamic State Khorasan Province (ISKP), repressive

police state and ultra-conservative interpretation of Sharia law combine to form a dire situation of deepening vulnerability for many across Afghanistan. In some areas, links to the former government may exacerbate a person's vulnerability, but these links are likely to be already well known locally. Whilst it is impossible to determine definitively how useful the dataset would be to the Taleban, this review concludes, in the absence of evidence the Taleban are actively acting on it, that it is unlikely to substantially change an individual's existing exposure given the volume of data already available.

12. Therefore, this policy review suggests that the ARR – as currently proposed – addresses the risks from the data incident in a manner that may now be disproportionate to the actual impact of the data loss were it fall into the Taleban's hands.

KEY CONCLUSIONS

- a. Appalling human rights abuses occur including extra-judicial killings against former officials. But there is also limited evidence to suggest that [certain individuals] have been targeted with any degree of consistency.
- b. Given the nearly four years since the Taleban takeover, posing a <u>current</u> threat or resistance to Taleban rule is likely to be a far more persuasive factor in the threat faced by individuals in Afghanistan, rather than former affiliations. As such, it appears unlikely that merely being on the dataset would be grounds for targeting. It is therefore also unlikely that family members immediate or more distant will be targeted simply because the "Principal" appears in the dataset.
- c. Should the Taleban wish to target individuals the wealth of data inherited from the former government would already enable them to do so. Additional data is always of interest to develop leads for investigative or targeting purposes. Publicity about the dataset's loss would inevitably raise interest in acquiring it. But it is highly unlikely it would be the single, or definitive, piece of information enabling or prompting the Taleban to act. It is a "piece of a puzzle" rather than a "smoking gun".
- d. No evidence points clearly to Taleban possession of the dataset. It is plausible the dataset (its actual content, rather than knowledge that a dataset exists) has not spread as widely or as rapidly as was initially feared.
- e. Given this context, the current ARR policy appears an extremely significant intervention, with not inconsiderable risk to HMG and the UK, to address the potentially limited net additional risk the incident likely presents. Based on the conclusions of this policy review, and the level of risk inherent within the current ARR policy, HMG could consider

amending the approach to reflect the <u>value</u> the dataset offers. The Taleban already have access to significant volumes of data which enables them to identify personnel associated with the former government. The family and community based nature of Afghan society means former roles and associations are often already well known. The dataset is unlikely to significantly shift Taleban understanding of individuals who may be of interest to them. As a result, it is unlikely to profoundly change the existing risk profile of individuals named on the dataset.

PART I: THE CONTEXT IN SPRING 2025

DATA BREACH

13. In February 2022, an unauthorised disclosure from the Ministry of Defence (MOD) compromised 33,000 lines of personal data that links to c.18,800 principal ARAP applicants and details of their family members. Of these c.18,800 applicants, the majority (over 15,700) had been found ineligible for ARAP. MOD became aware of this incident on 14 August 2023 when an anonymous user exposed via Facebook the names and personal details of ten individuals from the wider dataset.

DEFENCE INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENT

- 14. A Defence Intelligence (DI) assessment was originally undertaken in [date], and last formally reviewed in September 2024, to assess the threat posed to the individuals.
- 15. DI recognises the value of this review and its conclusions, reflecting the developing dynamics in Afghanistan and the fact of this being a risk-based review of the overall policy.

RELOCATIONS

16.To date (as at May 2025), HMG has relocated 35,245 individuals to the UK from Afghanistan and other countries under ARAP, ARR and ACRS. Of this number, 16,156 individuals affected by the data breach have already been relocated to the UK.

THE TALEBAN OF 2025

17. The Taleban has been the de facto government of Afghanistan since August 2021. In practice, the Taleban is a group of factions made up of different tribes, ethnicities, backgrounds and histories. This, and a lack of central, written policies, mean that implementation of senior leadership intent can vary, although the

¹ While all affected individuals applied for ARAP, some also applied for ACRS.

Taleban as a whole exert effective control across the entire country. Kandahar remains the Taleban's centre of power, with Emir Hibatullah Akhundzada exercising power from this part of southern Afghanistan. He, and a small group around him, rule through issuing edicts to the wider Taleban.

- 18. Regime security remains a priority for the Taleban. This Kandahar-based leadership is increasingly at odds with the Taleban ministers in Kabul, who are focused on daily governance of the ministries. The suspension of US Aid (more below) may negatively impact certain ministries and their remit.
- 19. The Taleban's current priorities are [details given]. Afghans who have resettled in the UK report a significant increase in Taleban house searches across Kabul in 2025, as the Taleban seek to hunt out evidence of those actively resisting their regime.
- 20. Recent developments have highlighted the Emir's intent to enforce his rule over the Taleban and Afghanistan. For example, in February 2025, the Emir deployed Kandahar-based troops to Kabul, to control Kabul airport and checkpoints connecting the capital city to other provinces. It is likely this was in response to the departure from Afghanistan of Talib Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs, Sher Mohammed Abbas Stanikzai, who had openly criticised the ban on girls' education.

ECONOMIC DETERIORATION

- 21. In 2024, an estimated 23.7 million Afghans, more than half the population, required humanitarian assistance. Despite the scale of the need, as at May 2024, it was estimated that only 28% of those requiring humanitarian assistance were receiving it. UN and NGO costs are funded by UN shipments of c.\$40m per week, which indirectly stabilise the currency. To date, the US has been the principal aid contributor, providing 47% of Afghanistan's humanitarian funding in 2024. President Trump suspended foreign aid in January 2025, including to Afghanistan. Given its reliance on US assistance, Afghanistan faces one of the most severe impacts from the US funding suspension globally. The suspension also threatens global vertical funds including GAVI, the Global Fund, GEF and GCF, which play a critical role in sustaining health and climate programming in Afghanistan.
- 22. What this means in this context is likewise not clear cut. But anything which exacerbates the existing levels of instability across Afghanistan is likely to fuel violence and potentially threatening coping strategies. On the one hand, increased civil unrest may see greater focus on regime and individual survival, with less focus on 'retribution' against those linked to the former Government or international forces. On the other hand, as families find their economic situation ever more precarious, they may seek more opportunities to exploit vulnerabilities where they can find them.

HUMAN RIGHTS LANDSCAPE

- 23. Whilst the Taleban of 2025 cannot be automatically viewed as the same organisation that controlled Afghanistan between 1996 and 2001, it remains a theocratic police state intent on implementing its highly conservative version of Islam across Afghanistan. Human rights organisations and Afghanistan watchers have documented egregious human rights violations and many groups in Afghanistan continue to face a real risk of persecution.²
- 24. Compared to the 1990s, the de facto Taleban regime seems more aware of the need to control the narrative, especially internationally, and are conscious of what evidence is available of its human rights violations. Anecdotal reports suggest mobile phones have been removed from individuals before beatings and other abuses, before being returned, to ensure no video/photographic evidence can be obtained. The media industry, which had flourished under the former Government, has been effectively crushed by the Taleban authorities, further limiting the detail around human rights abuses and killings that are emerging from Afghanistan.
- 25. Regardless, it is clear that the human rights picture is dire. Repression and human rights violations are widespread across Afghanistan. Levels of violence, although reduced since the end of the conflict, remain high. The Taleban's ever expanding erosion of women's and girls' rights is their defining policy, and repression of civil society and discrimination against minority communities is well documented publicly. The Special Rapporteur on Afghanistan, Richard Bennett, has documented consistent reports of torture, ill treatment, and enforced disappearances, by Taleban officials, in particular by the de facto General Directorate of Intelligence and de facto police.³

THE TALEBAN GENERAL AMNESTY

- 26. Considering the human rights landscape in the context of the data incident, this review has sought to determine, based on several years of de facto Taleban rule, whether [certain individuals] remain as likely to be specifically targeted, alongside or ahead of, minority and marginalised groups.
- 27. After taking control of Afghanistan in August 2021, the Taleban announced a "general amnesty" for former officials of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and former members of the Afghan National Defence and Security Forces (ANDSF). No publicly released written guidance has been issued by the Taleban, but senior members of the Taleban authorities have verbally reiterated their commitment to the amnesty in public, and through diplomatic channels to the FCDO. This 'amnesty' has its roots in a pre-takeover Taleban policy. In 2016 the Taleban

² See *for example:* Human Rights Watch Work Report 2024; Report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of Human Rights in Afghanistan (30 August 2024); Amnesty International Report 2023/2024: Afghanistan; Centre for Information Resilience: Afghan Witness.

³ Report of the Rapporteur on the situation of Human Rights in Afghanistan (30 August 2024).

- confirmed an official policy of offering amnesty to those associated with the former government or international forces, if they returned to civilian life, surrendered their arms, apologised for harm done and had a trusted elder to vouch for them.⁴
- 28. However, reports abound of the Taleban not respecting this amnesty in practice, especially in the months immediately after their takeover. Most comprehensively, UNAMA issued a report monitoring the Taleban's adherence to this amnesty in 2023, covering the period between August 2021 and June 2023. To date, this is the most detailed report published on the Taleban's approach to former government officials and security members. Throughout this period UNAMA recorded 800 instances of human rights violations, including extrajudicial killings, arbitrary arrests, torture and ill treatment, carried out by the Taleban authorities. More recent (May 2024) UNAMA reporting records arbitrary arrests, torture and killings of former government officials and ANDSF members, although it does not provide a breakdown of the data based on victims' profiles.
- 29. Similarly, Human Rights Watch (HRW) published a report in November 2021, based on 67 witness interviews, documenting the summary executions or enforced disappearance of over 100 former members of the former Afghan security forces between 15 August and 31 October 2021, in Ghanzi, Helmand, Kandahar and Kunduz provinces. HRW also found evidence of targeting of family members, in an effort to access the principals.
- 30. Much of the detailed information around human rights violations against this group relates to the period immediately post-Taleban takeover, with a reduction in numbers of killings reported since then. More recently, detailed surveys have mostly been conducted by Rawadari an Afghan Human Rights NGO. Its Annual Human Rights Report for 2024 noted that the human rights situation in Afghanistan worsened across multiple factors in 2024. Rawadari's findings indicate that former government employees and their families continue to be subjected to arbitrary detention, torture and killings. The rate of extrajudicial killings against this cohort increased by 9% in 2024 (from 83 to 91 documented cases). Rawadari notes that given the severe limitations imposed by the Taleban, it is extremely challenging to determine the causes for these killings and arrests. Some appear to be a result of accusations of collaboration with opposition groups, others reflect local grievances, and some acts of retaliation. Even so, available evidence suggests that absolute numbers of detentions and killings are much lower than in the immediate aftermath of the Taleban takeover in 2021.

⁴ A. Jackson, 'Negotiating Survival: Civilian-Insurgent Relations in Afghanistan', (C Hurst &Co: 2021), p. 95.

⁵ UNAMA, 'A Barrier to Security Peace: Human Rights Violations against Former Government Officials and Former Armed Forces Members in Afghanistan'. August 2023.

⁶ UNAMA, 'Update on the Human Rights Situation in Afghanistan: January-March 2024', May 2024.

⁷ "No Forgiveness for People like You", Human Rights Watch, 30 November 2021.

⁸ Rawadari, 'Afghanistan Human Rights Situation Report 2024', March 2025.

TARGETING OR LOCAL GRIEVANCES?

- 31. Despite these continuing clear violations and human rights abuses, nearly four years on from the Taleban takeover the overwhelming consensus is that there is no longer a widespread campaign targeting [certain individuals] as many Afghan watchers had feared. This review specifically sought to develop current understanding of Taleban targeting given how critical this is to HMG's policy response to date in ensuring that individuals at highest risk are relocated. This review agrees that were the Taleban to seek to target [certain individuals] they would be likely to prioritise high profile individuals; such as the Triples.
- 32. This review concludes that there is little evidence of targeting of such individuals in Spring 2025, and in particular, deliberate targeting is unlikely to be solely on the basis of an individual appearing on the dataset. Additionally, this review assesses that the threat to *[certain individuals]* has probably lowered since the first few months of the Taleban takeover, as the de facto regime is predominantly focused on targeting those perceived to threaten their rule *now* (more below). Nonetheless, it is clear that life in Afghanistan for those who perceive a threat from the Taleban is miserable, regularly moving location and relying on family support to hide. There is a general atmosphere of anxiety across Afghanistan, with *[certain individuals]* living with the fear that the Taleban could decide to persecute them.
- 33. Retribution against [certain individuals] does not feature heavily in UNAMA's regular human rights reporting.
- 34. In response to the UNAMA report, the Taleban asserted that any breaches of the amnesty and human rights abuses were based on "personal enmity or revenge", and not an official order. Whilst this statement clearly cannot be taken at face value, given the decentralised nature of Taleban governance and the embedded nature of local disputes and grievances, it is highly likely that this is a factor in some targeting of individuals linked to the former Afghan government and international forces. The European Union Agency for Asylum Country Focus report for Afghanistan, covering the period from 1 July 2022 to 30 September 2023 found the approach to former officials to be inconsistent, and that targeting appeared driven by local contexts rather than an individual's association with the former government.9
- 35. As such, although those on the dataset may be targeted, not all incidents could be concluded to be evidence of a campaign of persecution against those associated with NATO or the Afghan security apparatus, or even that they were opportunistically targeted *because* they are in the dataset. It is likely many are also a result of involvement in anti-Taleban activities, personal disputes, rivalries with individual Taleban members, or reflective of wider Taleban persecution of minority groups.

_

⁹ EUAA, Afghanistan – Country Focus. December 2023, p. 89.

- 36. It is likely "score settling" or retribution attacks continue in local communities, including being perpetrated by Taleban officials. Nearly four years have now passed since the Taleban takeover, there was consensus that there is limited evidence that this is a widespread phenomenon amongst Afghan communities, in the way that was witnessed in the immediate months after the Taleban came to power.
- 37. Evidence from multiple interlocutors indicates it is increasingly likely that those who the Taleban are now focused on persecuting nearly four years into their de facto rule of Afghanistan are those who have the capability or skills to effectively resist or undermine Taleban rule. 10 The active targeting of individuals by the Taleban authorities appears more likely to be driven by assessments on who poses a threat to them now.

TALEBAN POSSESION OF THE DATASET

- 38. The Taleban are highly likely to be interested in any data available. It remains likely they would wish to get hold of the dataset, if they do not already have it, given the unprecedented efforts HMG have gone to protect it. Publicity around the dataset would inevitably raise interest in acquiring it, although it is not clear that this would be sufficient to alter the Taleban's approach to targeting [certain individuals] given the de facto regime's priority appears to be [evaluation given].
- 39. It remains the case that it will never be possible to determine with absolute certainty that the breach has been 'contained'.
- 40. The multiple reports of discussion amongst Afghan communities in the UK and elsewhere, about the existence of "data", seem to be without knowledge of what this comprises and it is hard to determine whether this in fact refers to this, or other datasets, given how much is out there.
- 41. Recent developments relating to the private law claims Barings are proposing to bring on behalf of their clients ("the Barings claims"), do not at present change this judgement. The numbers referenced by Barings indicate knowledge of a data breach, including within Afghanistan, but not necessarily that the dataset <u>itself</u> is widely circulating. Barings have confirmed they have 667 clients: 504 in the UK; 154 in Afghanistan; 3 in the US; 3 in Turkey and 3 in other countries. Barings clients are individuals who believe they are affected by the unauthorised data breach, so it may be reasonable to assume that they therefore are likely to have an incentive not to circulate it further if they do have access. However, if knowledge of the data breach is more widely circulating, this may increase Taleban interest in obtaining it to understand whether it is of value to them.

¹⁰ Given the focus of this report, wider human rights abuses have not been covered. Taleban targeting of minority and marginalised groups (e.g. based on ethnicity or sexuality), and continued widespread and egregious human rights violations against women and girls are widely documented in wider reporting.

42. Whilst there are many uncertainties, in how the Taleban will respond, if further evidence were confirmed, by Barings or others, that the dataset was already widely available, including to the Taleban, this may, in part, support the emerging picture set out in this review. To date, HMG has been gravely concerned about how the Taleban would respond against individuals affected. The current understanding of the Barings claims does not at present alter the judgments reached by this review although HMG will need to keep this under close review.

DATASET "EXPLOITABILITY"

- 43. After the Taleban takeover, Afghan military units sought to destroy IT and burn documents to prevent operational information becoming available to the Taleban. However, all payroll, pensions and service details for military and police employees were held by the Ministry of Interior and the Ministry of Defence, and were handed over to the Taleban. All ANDSF personnel were paid via Kabul Bank and required to be treated in military hospitals all of these medical records and financial records were transferred to the Taleban. Personal details of officials working for other Afghan government departments, were likewise transferred to the Taleban. Human Rights Watch reporting confirmed that when taking over the National Directorate of Security, the Taleban were able to obtain not only data on employees, but also information on those who might have acted as informants. Afghans who have resettled in the UK also shared evidence of the Taleban using social media as a primary method for identifying those they are interested in who *[held certain roles]*.
- 44. Most critically, the biometric details held by the former government are all believed to have transferred to the Taleban in August 2021. The Ministry of Interior's biometric database, the Afghan Automatic Biometric Identical System (AABIS/Biometrics Center), was used to check criminal and terrorist backgrounds of all applicants for government jobs, and for passport, national ID, driver's licence applications, and registrations for Afghanistan's college entrance exam. 12 Likewise, the US-funded Afghan Personnel and Pay System (APPS) was used by both the Afghan Ministry of Interior and Ministry of Defence to pay the national army and policy. Estimated to hold some half a million records, it held extensive detail about security personnel and their extended networks. Each profile in APPS is understood to hold at least 40 data fields. 13 This includes names, date and place of birth, as well as the unique ID number that connects each person to the biometric profile held by the Ministry of Interior. Family and "tribal elder" connections are mapped out, with the individuals career trajectory. Evidence of the Taleban exploiting biometric data predates their takeover. In 2016 a widely reported incident saw insurgents hijack a bus and use a fingerprint scanner to identify

¹¹ "No Forgiveness for People like You", Human Rights Watch, 30 November 2021.

¹² MIT Technology Review, *'This is the real story of the Afghan biometric databases abandoned to the Taliban*', August 2021.

¹³ MIT Technology Review, 'This is the real story of the Afghan biometric databases abandoned to the Taliban', August 2021.

Afghan National Army soldiers.¹⁴ Additionally the Afghan National Biometric System used to issue Afghan national identity cards (e-Tazkira), and the National Directorate of Security and the Afghan Supreme Court payrolls were underpinned by biometric databases. A number of interlocutors suggested to this review that with this wealth of biometric data, the Taleban may place less value on other datasets.

- 45. Human Rights Watch documented evidence in their November 2021 report, of the Taleban making use of access to employment records left by the former government, to identify ANDSF and former security personnel for arrest and execution between August and October 2021.¹⁵
- 46. This review examined the dataset itself, and the information contained in it. The level of detail varies considerably between each data line. Whilst some entries contain more detail, others barely provide enough information to identify an individual. It is therefore extremely challenging to determine level of risk caused by the dataset as a whole, or even in many cases, for specific individuals.
- 47. Whilst it is impossible to determine definitively how useful the dataset would be to the Taleban, this review concludes that given the extent of the data already available, the dataset is unlikely to provide considerably new or highly pertinent information to the Taleban. With Taleban targeting increasingly focused on those currently posing a threat to their rule, the dataset is therefore extremely unlikely to offer significant additional information of use to the Taleban in determining this. As such, it appears highly unlikely that merely being on the dataset would be grounds for targeting. It is therefore even more unlikely that family members immediate or more distant are likely to be targeted simply as the principal appears in the dataset.
- 48. In addition, given the tribal and interconnected familial nature of Afghan communities, it is highly likely that any connections to the former government, security services or international forces were well known both before, and in the years since, the Taleban takeover. It is therefore extremely unlikely that the dataset would provide the primary, or first, confirmation of associations with the former government or other nations. Similarly, it is likely that local communities will know that those on the dataset who had falsely claimed to have held specific roles, in order to seek eligibility for relocation, did not in fact have those connections.

OVERALL RISK CONTEXT FOR THE DATASET

49. Given the available evidence and the widespread human rights violations, it is not possible to conclude decisively that those individuals affected by the data incident

¹⁴ Tolo News, '*Taliban Insurgents behind the recent mass kidnapping in Kunduz, used a government biometric systems*', June 2016.

¹⁵ "No Forgiveness for People like You", Human Rights Watch, 30 November 2021.

- would <u>not</u> face any additional threat, if the dataset were acquired by the Taleban or more widely known publicly in Afghan communities.
- 50. The glare of publicity around revelation of the data loss would clearly be likely to attract Taleban interest in obtaining it. It is possible that HMG has inadvertently added more value to the dataset by establishing a bespoke scheme, and through the use of an unprecedented super-injunction. Given the cost and scale of the proposed ARR pipeline, as this becomes public, it may perpetuate a perception that the dataset provides information of considerably higher value than this review judges it to in reality. Public knowledge of the data breach could also be of interest, for example, to hostile state actors seeking avenues to embarrass or criticise the UK.
- 51. Therefore, rather than being the defining factor in an individual being targeted, it seems increasingly likely that public knowledge of the dataset would be simply another factor in exacerbating a person's existing vulnerability. As such, it is difficult to argue knowledge of the dataset will automatically mean those named are immediately at substantially greater risk. In circumstances in which the dataset enables a local community to definitively confirm a link to international forces, this may put an individual at higher risk. However, given the tribal and interconnected nature of Afghan communities, and the extent of the data already available to the de facto Taleban authorities, it is highly likely any such connections will already be known, regardless of public knowledge of the dataset because of the continued lack of attention being paid to this community by the Taleban.
- 52. Media reporting has detailed confirmed incidents in which extended family members of "high value" individuals have been targeted, including arrests, detentions and torture. However, given the more limited risk the data incident is now judged to present to Principals named in the dataset (excluding those judged high risk by other resettlement schemes), it follows that the risk to family members and more distant associates is also correspondingly lower.

PART II: REFLECTIONS ON THE POLICY RESPONSE

- 53. The review notes that the passage of time is particularly relevant.
- 54. In conversations conducted throughout this review, a number of factors beyond the risk posed to affected individuals were repeatedly raised, that HMG may need to consider in taking any decisions on the ARR. These principally related to issues around the consequences for scrutiny and transparency, given the unprecedented legal action it has required, and corresponding discussions around value for money. Likewise, many noted these pressures are particularly challenging given the UK domestic housing system is under acute pressure; with record levels of homelessness and wider public service pressures including from supporting existing Afghan cohorts, which are particularly acute in certain areas, and risks to community cohesion. These are all key areas for public debate, with parliamentarians and the media expecting to provide scrutiny to HMG's approach

- in these areas. The super-injunction that has been granted to cover the policy response to the data incident has stopped this scrutiny being possible.
- 55. This review focused on the risk posed to individuals affected by the dataset, rather than broader risks to HMG. However, it is worth reflecting that several interlocutors expressed concern around the risk that resettled Afghans could be radicalised in the UK. There is a risk of a growing gap between resettled Afghans' expectations, and the reality of what ever-more stretched domestic services can deliver. Some interlocutors also highlighted concerns around the extent to which Afghanistan is becoming a base for a wide range of terrorist groups. This review strongly recommends that this should be an area for further work, and the Home Office will want to consider this in more detail.
- 56. Based on the conclusions of this context review, balanced against the wider implications to HMG from the ARR, HMG could consider amending its approach to reflect the <u>value</u> the dataset offers. To date, the response has taken a cautious approach to risk. HMG planning has been based on the underpinning conservative risk judgement that were the Taleban to secure access to the dataset, the consequences for affected individuals may be serious. As a result, it was felt that this was appropriate. However, this review of the context into which the dataset would be released within Afghanistan suggests that the additional threat affected individuals would face might be more limited. Rather than being the defining factor in an individual being targeted, it is likely that public knowledge of the dataset would be simply another factor in exacerbating a person's existing vulnerability. As such, it is difficult to argue Taleban knowledge of the dataset would automatically mean those named are immediately at substantially greater risk. As a result, the current ARR policy appears a significant intervention, against potentially limited additional net risk.

RECOMMENDATION

57. Consideration should be given to whether there are other avenues to seek insights from Afghans who are resettling in the UK. This could be conducted as part of the reception and immigration process.

ANNEXES

Source List

Like Minded Countries – Lived Experiences

SOURCE LIST

Many of the external individuals spoken to directly asked not to be named in relation to this review.

HMG Internal

- FCDO
 - Andy McCoubrey FCDO Director
 - Afghan Policy Team
 - Afghan Resettlement Team
 - Research Analysts
 - UKMis Robert Chatterton Dickson
 - BHC Pakistan Jane Marriott
- Home Office
 - Migration and Borders Group
 - Aviation and Migration Policy (Homeland Security Group)
 - Asylum Team
 - Forced Returns Team
- Ministry of Defence
 - Maj Gen Dan Blanchford Op LAZURITE
 - Natalie Moore Dir DARR
 - Defence Intelligence

External

- Person C
- Person A
- Afghans already resettled in the UK (from the Triples community)
- NGO

Main Open Source Written Material

- Human Rights Watch Work Report 2024
- Report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of Human Rights in Afghanistan (30 August 2024)
- Amnesty International Report 2023/2024
- Afghanistan; Centre for Information Resilience
- Afghan Witness
- A. Jackson, 'Negotiating Survival: Civilian-Insurgent Relations in Afghanistan', (C Hurst &Co: 2021).
- Rawadari
- EUAA, Afghanistan Country Focus, 2023.

- MIT Technology Review, 'This is the real story of the Afghan biometric databases abandoned to the Taliban', August 2021.
- Tolo News

Like-minded Countries

- 1. HMG routinely conducts engagement in Islamabad with like-minded countries operating similar resettlement schemes.
- 2. To date we have received no evidence of any organised targeting of like-minded countries' eligible persons (EPs) as they leave Afghanistan through this forum.
- 3. There has been a significant number of Afghans sponsored and relocated by third countries (over one hundred thousand) which, when taken in aggregate, suggests that the Taliban are more than aware of movements.
- 4. The below information reflects the current known scale of support offered to Afghan EPs by like-minded countries to the UK.
- 5. Many countries have scaled down the level of support offered.