



Home Office

**Revised Code of Practice for Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act (TACT) 2000.**

**Revised Code of Practice for Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act (CTBSA) 2019.**

**Government consultation**

This consultation begins on Monday 17<sup>th</sup> March 2025

This consultation ends on Sunday 27<sup>th</sup> April 2025



## About this consultation

<b>To:</b>	Representations are welcomed from UK police forces who exercise the powers under Schedules 7 and 3, interest groups and the wider public.
<b>Duration:</b>	From 17/03/2025 to 27/04/2025
<b>Enquiries (including requests for the paper in an alternative format) to:</b>	<p>Schedules 7 and 3 Codes of Practice 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Peel Building Home Office 2 Marsham Street London SW10 4DF Email: <a href="mailto:Schedule3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk">Schedule3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk</a></p>
<b>How to respond:</b>	<p>Please send your response by 23:59 on Sunday 27th April 2025 to: Email: <a href="mailto:Schedule3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk">Schedule3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk</a></p>
<b>Response paper:</b>	A response to this consultation exercise is due will be published on gov.uk after the end of the consultation.



# Contents

Ministerial Foreword	2
Executive Summary	3
Introduction	4
The Proposals	5
Background	5
(1) Schedule 7 and Public Order Policing	5
(2) The Border Area	6
(3) Rights to Consular Access and Notification	8
(4) Notification Requirements	9
Consultation	10
About you	11
Contact details and how to respond	12
Complaints or comments	12
Extra copies	12
Publication of response	12
Representative groups	12
Confidentiality	12
Consultation principles	14

# Ministerial Foreword

Schedules 7 to the Terrorism Act (TACT) 2000 and Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act (CTBSA) 2019 are important national security powers available at the UK border. The powers enable a counter-terrorism police officer to stop, question and when necessary, search and detain individuals travelling through UK ports and the border area to determine whether that person is, or has been, involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism under Schedule 7 and, under Schedule 3, to determine if they are engaged in hostile activity. Counter-terrorism police officers may stop and question a person whether or not there are grounds for suspecting that the person is or has been concerned in terrorism or hostile state activity.

Schedules 7 and 3 provide counter-terrorism police with exceptional powers which is why it is important that there are also strong and robust safeguards in place. The statutory Codes of Practice set out the processes and safeguards governing the exercise of Schedule 7 and 3 powers by counter-terrorism police officers. They give detail on how the powers should be used and are intended to ensure the highest standards of professionalism are maintained when using these important powers.

This consultation covers the government's proposals to clarify aspects of the Codes, thereby strengthening safeguards in several areas. It is important that the Codes of Practice are clear for both counter-terrorism police who operate the powers and for those subject to them. In so doing, the government is also responding to two recommendations made by Jonathan Hall KC, the Independent Reviewer of Terrorism Legislation (IRTL).

The proposed changes relate to: public order policing and the stop of Ernest Moret; the use of the powers in the border area in Northern Ireland; a detained person's right to consular access; and examination notification requirements.

We are grateful for your engagement and look forward to receiving your feedback to the consultation.

**Dan Jarvis MBE MP**

Security Minister

# Executive Summary

Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act (TACT) 2000 and Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act (CTBSA) 2019 are important national security powers available at the UK border. The powers enable a counter-terrorism police officer to stop, question and when necessary, search and detain individuals travelling through UK ports and the border area to determine whether that person is, or has been, involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism under Schedule 7 and, under Schedule 3, to determine if they are engaged in hostile activity.<sup>1</sup> Counter-terrorism police officers may stop and question a person whether or not there are grounds for suspecting that the person is or has been concerned in terrorism.

The Codes of Practice set out the processes and safeguards governing the exercise of Schedule 7 and 3 powers by examining officers. The proposals in this consultation concern clarifications and amendments in relation to:

1. Schedule 7 and public order policing;
2. the border area<sup>2</sup>;
3. an individual's right to consular access and notification; and
4. notification requirements at the start of a Schedule 7 examination.

The Home Office is also taking this opportunity to correct a minor error in the Schedule 3 Code of Practice at paragraph 124.

Under paragraph 7 of Schedule 14 to TACT 2000 and paragraph 56 of Schedule 3 to CTBSA 2019, before laying the Code of Practice before Parliament, the Home Office must publish a draft of the Code, consider any representations made about the draft, and, where appropriate, modify the draft in light of such representations.

---

<sup>1</sup> These powers are available to examining officers who are defined at paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 7 and paragraph 64(3) of Schedule 3. In practice, only trained and accredited officers from counter-terrorism policing will use these powers.

<sup>2</sup> A place in Northern Ireland is within the border area if it is no more than one mile from the border between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland, or if a train goes from the Republic of Ireland to Northern Ireland, the first place in Northern Ireland at which it stops for the purpose of allowing passengers to leave is within the border area.

# Introduction

## The Consultation

This paper sets out four consultation changes the Home Office proposes making to the Schedule 7 and 3 Codes of Practice. These draft Codes are annexed to this consultation. The consultation is aimed at police forces in the UK who operate the power but also welcomes comments from interest groups and the wider public in the UK.

A full impact assessment has not been completed, as the scale of impacts outlined below would make a full impact assessment disproportionate. The proposed changes to the Codes of Practice clarify the existing scope of the powers and so the corresponding costs are likely to be minimal. As there are no significant impacts on the private, voluntary, or public sectors foreseen a full impact assessment is not required. Instead proportionate economic appraisal has been conducted demonstrating low familiarisation costs of between £700 and £2,900 with other costs like printing deemed negligible.

As the proposed changes are to clarify the existing rights and duties and to impose additional safeguards where appropriate, a full equalities impact assessment has not been completed.

**The consultation is focused on the proposed changes to the Codes of Practice and welcomes comments on the revised language only.**



# The Proposals

## Background

The Codes of Practice for Schedule 7 and Schedule 3 set out the processes and safeguards governing the exercise of these powers by counter-terrorism police officers, the rights and duties of those subject to the power, and the requirement for training and accreditation which officers must pass before being able to use the powers without supervision. The Codes give detail on how these powers should be used, including examples where relevant.

The Codes are primarily intended to guide counter-terrorism police officers at the border in the exercise of the powers. Once issued, the revised Code has statutory force, and officers exercising Schedule 7 and Schedule 3 functions must perform them in accordance with the Code.

The Home Office proposes to make changes in the following areas:

## (1) Schedule 7 and Public Order Policing

### Background

In 2023, the Independent Reviewer of Terrorism Legislation (IRTL) undertook an investigation into the use of Schedule 7 powers against Ernest Moret on 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> April 2023 at St Pancras International Station. His purpose was to consider whether Schedule 7 was used correctly, and whether any recommendations can be made for the future. In this report, the IRTL recommended that the Code of Practice is amended to specify that Schedule 7 should not be used for the purpose of public order policing.

The Government naturally agrees that Schedule 7 should be used solely for establishing an individual's involvement in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism as it was intended and that it should never be used for the purpose of public order policing. However, as the IRTL comments, there is no clear dividing lines between terrorism and violent activism or protest which means it is important to recognise that there might be times when it is appropriate to use Schedule 7 powers to examine individuals who are involved in violent protest or activism to determine if their activity would mean they are involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism. For example, an individual whose protest activity is violent or threatens serious violence and, through this, seeks to advance a political, racial, religious, or ideological cause might meet the definition of terrorism and, therefore, use of Schedule 7 powers against this individual may be appropriate.

### Amendments

To further clarify the purpose of Schedule 7, the following paragraph is being considered for inclusion at paragraph 9 of the Schedule 7 Code (annex A):

- “The use of Schedule 7 powers should be confined to its purpose of determining whether a person at a UK port or the border area is, or has been, involved in the commission, preparation, or instigation of acts of terrorism. Schedule 7 powers should not be used for the purpose of public order policing. There may be instances, however, where the nature of a person's involvement in protest activity could indicate that they are concerned, for example, in the instigation of acts of terrorism, as defined by the Terrorism Act 2000. In such cases, after careful consideration, it may be appropriate to use Schedule 7 powers to determine whether they appear to be someone who is, or has been, involved in terrorism. This example is illustrative and not intended to be exhaustive.”

## (2) The Border Area

### Background

In his [2022 report](#), the IRTL recommended that the Government abolish Schedule 7 powers in the border area of Northern Ireland. The Home Secretary recently rejected this recommendation as the threats the UK faces from terrorism means we must continue to have the strongest possible powers at our international borders. In making this recommendation, the IRTL also made specific comments about the preparatory power at paragraph 3 of Schedule 7 which allows an officer to examine or detain an individual to determine their purpose in the border area. This power is necessary to ensure that only those in scope of Schedule 7 (i.e. those who are in the border area for the purpose of exiting or entering Northern Ireland) can be examined under the power. The IRTL has pointed out that whilst a court may interpret this power as preparatory, if taken at face value, the power could mean a person is examined (and detained) under terrorism powers without a counter-terrorism objective.

### Amendments

In response to the IRTL, we are seeking to clarify that the paragraph 3 power (and its equivalent power at paragraph 2 to Schedule 3) is preparatory and should only be used when necessary and appropriate. The following are being considered for inclusion:

- addition of paragraph 23 in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice:

“In the border area in Northern Ireland, it may be less obvious to an examining officer that a person's presence there is connected with their entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland and/or they may be unable to form this belief through the engagement as described in paragraph 22. In such circumstances, an officer may first require (using their power under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7), a person to answer questions for the purpose of determining whether their presence in the area is connected with them entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland. An officer should only use their power under paragraph 3 in order to determine whether the conditions for use of the power in paragraph 2(2) are satisfied in order to then, if necessary, question them to determine whether the

individual appears to be a person falling within section 40(1)(b). The power at paragraph 3 should not, for example, be used for an immigration purpose.”

- addition in paragraph 24 in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice (underlined):

“Where an officer requires a person to answer questions for the purpose of determining whether they appear to be someone who is, or has been, concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism or to determine whether a person’s presence in the border area is connected with him entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland, this will constitute the beginning of a Schedule 7 examination.”

- addition in paragraph 25 in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice (underlined):

“The examining officer may only stop and question a person for the purpose of allowing a determination of whether that person appears to be someone who is or who has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of “terrorism” as defined in section 1 of the Terrorism Act 2000 or for the purpose of determining whether a person’s presence in the border area is connected with the person’s entry into, or departure, from, Northern Ireland. An examining officer should only exercise the examination power under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7 where they have been unable, or the officer deems it inappropriate, to determine an individual’s purpose for being in the border area through engagement (as described in paragraph 22) with that individual. An examining officer may stop and question a person whether or not there are grounds for suspecting that the person is or has been concerned in terrorism.”

- addition in paragraphs 80a and 80d in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice (underlined):

“The power to detain must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. The decision of an examining officer to detain a person will be made on a case by case basis, but may be made for the types of reasons described below:

**The person refuses to co-operate with any legal obligation or insists on leaving.** For example, where the person obstructs or seeks to frustrate the examination or where lawful engagement and/or examination under have not allowed the examining officer to establish the purpose of someone’s presence in the border area such that detention for the purposes of paragraph 3 is needed to satisfy requirements of paragraph 2(2).

...

**To facilitate relocation of the examination from a port location to another place, because there would be more suitable facilities at that place.** For example, where a person in the border area might otherwise have to be detained on the roadside where they had crossed the border.”

- addition in paragraph 82a and 82b in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice (underlined):

“Detention does not necessitate taking the person to another place. An examining officer may consider relocation from a port location to a police station or any other place where the person’s presence is considered necessary:

If the port location or the border area is unsuitable for continued examination i.e. due to a lack of facilities, such as refreshments, toilets, or for some other welfare consideration.”

To gain access to facilities or equipment not available at the port or the border area i.e. interpretation and translation services, video/audio recording, biometric sampling equipment; and/or

- addition in The Notice of Detention under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 annexed to the Code of Practice (underlined):

“You have been detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 (“Schedule 7”). This is so that an Examining Officer may exercise his or her powers under that Schedule for the purpose either of determining whether you appear to be a person who is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism or for the purpose of determining whether your presence in the border area is connected with your entering or leaving Northern Ireland. You will only be questioned to determine if your presence in the border area is connected with your entering or leaving Northern Ireland in order to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 2(2) to Schedule 7.<sup>3</sup>

*Please note that the Home Office proposes making these changes to the equivalent paragraphs of the Schedule 3 Code of Practice (annex B) to ensure consistency.*

### (3) Rights to Consular Access and Notification

#### Background

The Home Office has been made aware that the Notice of Detention in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice is not sufficiently clear on a detained individual’s right under Article 36 of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, 1963.<sup>4</sup> To avoid any confusion for those detained under Schedule 7, we propose clarifying these rights and explaining in the Notice of Detention that once a request for consular access or notification has been acted on by an examining officer, an individual can be questioned before their Consulate is available to speak to them or attend their place of detention.

#### Amendments

---

<sup>3</sup> The equivalent paragraph in the Schedule 3 Code refers to “the requirements of paragraph 1(2) to Schedule 3.

<sup>4</sup> See: [Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, 1963 \(un.org\)](https://www.un.org/treaties/1963)

We propose to make the following additions to both the Schedule 7 and 3 Code of Practice at:

- paragraph 100 in the Schedule 7 Code of Practice (underlined):

**“The detained person is entitled to obtain consular access upon request, where the individual is a citizen of an independent Commonwealth country or a national of a foreign country.** The detained person must be informed of this right as soon as reasonably practicable. Should the detained person request that his or her High Commission, Embassy or Consulate be told of his or her whereabouts and the ground for his or her detention, the request will be acted upon as soon as reasonably practicable. The exercise of this right must not be interfered with. An examining officer may continue to examine the detained person before contact with their Consul has been achieved. The examining officer may also continue to examine the detained person if the consulate has been notified. Finally, the examining officer may continue the questioning if the Consul is not available to communicate directly with the detained person. In all of these circumstances, the individual must comply with their duties under Schedule 7.”<sup>5</sup>

- addition in The Notice of Detention under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 annexed to the Code of Practice (underlined):

“If you are detained and a citizen of a Commonwealth country or a national of a foreign country you may request access to your Consul. You may also request that your High Commission, Embassy or Consulate be told of your whereabouts and the ground for your detention. If you do, this request will be acted upon as soon as practicable. The exercise of this right may not be interfered with. You may be questioned before contact with your Consul has been achieved and must still comply with your duties under Schedule 7.”

*Please note that the Home Office proposes making these changes to the equivalent paragraphs of the Schedule 3 Code of Practice to ensure consistency.*

## (4) Notification Requirements

### Background

An officer must notify an individual that they are subject to a Schedule 7 examination. This is an important safeguard to prevent an individual risking a penalty for refusal or failure to comply with a compulsory power.

The Home Office has been made aware of a gap in the Code of Practice whereby it is not clear that this notification can take place in writing which may be more appropriate in some circumstances. By making this change, we are ensuring that an individual subject to the

---

<sup>5</sup> The references in paragraph 149 and the Notice of Detention of the Schedule 3 Code are to Schedule 3.

## Consultation: Schedules 7 and 3 Codes of Practice

power is fully aware of their duties and obligations once they have been selected for examination.

### Amendments

To ensure counter-terrorism police can notify an individual that they are subject to Schedule 7 in writing, the following amendment is being considered for inclusion at:

- paragraph 33 of the Schedule 7 Code of Practice:  
  
“The examining officer must not exercise examination powers under Schedule 7 with respect to any person unless that person has been notified, verbally or in writing, that an examination has commenced.”

*Please note that the Home Office proposes making these changes to the equivalent paragraphs of the Schedule 3 Code of Practice to ensure consistency.*

## Consultation

The Home Office is seeking views on these additions to the Codes of Practice and whether they clarify:

- a) that Schedule 7 should not be used for the purpose of public order policing;
- b) the purpose of the preparatory power in the border area and whether the proposed safeguards are appropriate;
- c) the rights to consular access and notification of a detained person under Schedule 7 and 3; and
- d) that counter-terrorism police can notify an individual of the start of their examination in writing.

**Please note that the Home Office is not seeking general views on Schedule 7 to TACT 2000, Schedule 3 to the CTBSA 2019, or the exercise of these powers by the police generally.**

Thank you for your interest in this consultation.

# About you

Please use this section to tell us about yourself

<b>Full name</b>	
<b>Job title</b> or capacity in which you are responding to this consultation exercise (for example, member of the public)	
<b>Date</b>	
<b>Company name/organisation</b> (if applicable)	
<b>Address</b>	
<b>Postcode</b>	
If you would like us to acknowledge receipt of your response, please tick this box	<input type="checkbox"/> (please tick box)
Address to which the acknowledgement should be sent, if different from above	

**If you are a representative of a group**, please tell us the name of the group and give a summary of the people or organisations that you represent.

---



---



---



---

# Contact details and how to respond

Please send your response by 23:59 on Sunday 27th April 2025 to:

**Email:** [Schedule3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:Schedule3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk)

## Complaints or comments

If you have any complaints or comments about the consultation process you should contact the Home Office at the above address.

## Extra copies

Further paper copies of this consultation can be obtained from this address and it is also available online at [www.gov.uk/government/consultations/changes-to-the-codes-of-practice-for-schedule-7-and-schedule-3](http://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/changes-to-the-codes-of-practice-for-schedule-7-and-schedule-3)

Alternative format versions of this publication can be requested from [alternativeformats@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:alternativeformats@homeoffice.gov.uk).

## Publication of response

A paper summarising the responses to this consultation will be published on gov.uk after the end of the consultation. The response paper will be available online at gov.uk.

## Representative groups

Representative groups are asked to give a summary of the people and organisations they represent when they respond.

## Confidentiality

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA), the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004).

If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence. In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information



you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Home Office.

The Home Office will process your personal data in accordance with the DPA and in the majority of circumstances, this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.

# Consultation principles

The principles that government departments and other public bodies should adopt for engaging stakeholders when developing policy and legislation are set out in the consultation principles.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/consultation-principles-guidance>



© Crown copyright 2025

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit [nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3](https://nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3)

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at [www.gov.uk/government/consultations/changes-to-the-codes-of-practice-for-schedule-7-and-schedule-3](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/changes-to-the-codes-of-practice-for-schedule-7-and-schedule-3)

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at [Schedules3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:Schedules3and7codes@homeoffice.gov.uk).



Home Office

# **Examining Officers and Review Officers under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000**

Code of Practice DRAFT



© Crown copyright 2025

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit [nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3](https://nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3) or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk).

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/codes-of-practice-for-officers-using-examination-powers-at-ports>

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at [public.enquiries@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:public.enquiries@homeoffice.gov.uk).

# Contents

Contents	1
1. Introduction	4
General	4
Training and use of the powers	6
Police officers	6
Immigration and customs officers	6
Use of the power in emergencies	7
Law enforcement engagement with the public	8
2. Identifying Persons for Examination	9
Who can be examined?	9
Where Schedule 7 powers can be exercised	10
Selection Criteria	11
3. Examination of Persons	13
Commencing an examination	13
Conducting an examination	13
Duties under examination	15
Rights under examination	15
Welfare considerations during examination	16
Production of information	17
Searches	17
Retention of property	19
Making copies of information	20
Treatment of 'protected material'	20
Confidential Business Material	23
Records of examinations	23
4. Detention	24
When can a person be detained under Schedule 7?	24
Duties of the person being examined when under detention	25
Rights of the person being examined when under detention	26

Right to access a solicitor in private	26
Other rights under detention	29
Access to healthcare and the examination period	30
Children and other vulnerable people	30
Strip Search	32
Reviews of Detention	33
Identity, biometrics and photographs	35
Non-intimate samples and fingerprints	36
Recording of interviews of persons detained under Schedule 7	37
5. Examination of Goods	38
What goods can be examined?	38
Where Schedule 7 powers can be exercised in relation to goods	38
Selection Criteria	39
Examination	40
Conducting an examination	40
Treatment of 'protected material'	40
Records of examinations	40
6. Carding	42
7. Complaints	43
ANNEX A: Notice of Detention under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000	44
Your duties	44
Your rights:	45
ANNEX B: Training and Accreditation of examining officers and review officers under Schedules 7 and 8 to the Terrorism Act 2000	48
ACCREDITATION OF EXAMINING OFFICERS	48
Requirement for Code of Practice	48
Requirement for training and accreditation	48
Training and accreditation standards	48
Training and accreditation for an examining officer	49
Accreditation of police officers as examining officers	49
Accreditation of immigration and custom officers as examining officers	49
Designation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers	49

Two year cycle of accreditation	50
Assessment of ongoing competence	50
ACCREDITATION OF REVIEW OFFICERS	51
Requirement for Code of Practice	51
Requirement for training and accreditation	51
Training and accreditation standards	51
Training and accreditation for a review officer	51
Accreditation of police officers as review officers	51
Designation and accreditation of immigration and customs officers as review officers	52
Two year cycle of accreditation	52
Assessment of ongoing competence	52
ANNEX C: Carding	54
PART 1 LANDING CARD	54
PART 2 EMBARKATION CARD	54



# 1.Introduction

## General

1. Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 (“Schedule 7”), allows an examining officer to stop, question, search and detain a person at a port or the border area in Northern Ireland for the purpose of determining whether the person appears to be someone who is, or has been, involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism. It also allows for the examination of goods for the purpose of determining whether they have been used in the commission, preparation or instigation of the acts of terrorism.
2. This Code of Practice is issued under paragraph 6 of Schedule 14 to the Act. It applies to: (i) the exercise by examining officers of their functions under Schedule 7; (ii) the exercise by review officers of their functions under Schedule 8 to the Act in relation to detentions under Schedule 7; (iii) the training and procedure for designation (where appropriate) of examining officers; and (iv) the training of review officers.
3. This Code, which replaces the Code dated XXXXXX, takes account of amendments made XXXXXX.
4. The term “examining officer”<sup>1</sup> for the purpose of this Code has the same meaning as in paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 7 i.e. a constable; or an immigration officer or customs officer designated for the purpose of Schedule 7 by the Secretary of State (and, in the case of a customs officer, the Commissioners of Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs). Any such officer will have been accredited as having successfully completed training in the use of the Schedule 7 powers. The Code only applies to police officers and designated immigration or customs officers when they are exercising their functions as examining officers under the Act and not in any other circumstances (for example where they may be questioning a person under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019, the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Immigration Act 1971 or the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979).
5. This Code contains provisions concerning reviews of detention under Schedule 7 (see section 4). Those provisions require that the “review officer”<sup>2</sup> must carry out a periodic review where a person has been detained under Schedule 7. “Review officer” means an officer, at least one rank higher to the examining officer, who has

---

<sup>1</sup> For further information please see Annex B.

<sup>2</sup> For further information please see Annex B.

not been directly involved in questioning the detained person. In all cases (except for emergencies), review officers must have been accredited as having successfully completed training in the exercise of review officer functions in relation to Schedule 7 detention.

6. The powers contained in Schedule 7 to which this Code relates must be used fairly and responsibly in accordance with the prescribed procedures and with respect for the people to whom the powers have been applied. They must be exercised in accordance with the Human Rights Act 1998 and with respect for the European Convention on Human Rights. Where this Code directs that an examining officer must undertake a duty or obligation in the exercise of their powers under Schedule 7, the officer will abide by that direction as far as reasonably practicable.
7. When using Schedule 7 powers, the Equality Act 2010 prohibits officers from unlawfully discriminating against, harassing or victimising any person on the grounds of the protected characteristics of age, disability, gender reassignment, race (including colour, nationality, ethnic or national origin), religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, and pregnancy and maternity when using their powers.
8. Under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, public authorities have a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation; advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it; and foster good relations between those persons.
9. The use of Schedule 7 powers should be confined to the purpose of determining whether a person at a UK port or the border area is, or has been, involved in the commission, preparation, or instigation of acts of terrorism. Schedule 7 powers should not be used for the purpose of public order policing. There may be instances, however, where the nature of a person's involvement in protest activity could indicate that they are concerned, for example, in the instigation of acts of terrorism, as defined by the Terrorism Act 2000. In such cases, after careful consideration, it may be appropriate to use Schedule 7 powers to determine whether they appear to be someone who is, or has been, involved in terrorism. This example is illustrative and not intended to be exhaustive.
10. The Code must be available at all police stations for consultation by the police and members of the public. It must also be available at police offices at ports or in the border area where the powers are, or are likely to be, used. The Code must form part of the published departmental instructions/guidance for immigration officers and customs officers. The Code should also be accessible to members of the public on the websites of the relevant agencies, including the police, the Home Office, the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC) Police Service of Scotland and the Police Service of Northern Ireland (or their successors).

11. An examining officer must exercise the powers conferred by Schedule 7 in accordance with this Code of Practice. The Code is admissible in evidence in civil and criminal proceedings and will be taken into account by a court or tribunal in any case where it is considered relevant.

## Training and use of the powers

### Police officers

12. The powers contained in Schedule 7 may only be used by police officers who have been accredited by their Chief Officer<sup>3</sup> as having met a national standard<sup>4</sup> in the use of the powers. While awaiting accreditation, an unaccredited police officer must not use Schedule 7 powers unless they are supervised by an accredited examining officer. Chief Officers must reassess whether an officer should retain his or her accreditation on a biennial basis so that a high level of expertise is maintained.
13. In order to act as review officers (i.e. those officers who will review whether it is necessary to continue a person's detention under Schedule 7) police officers must have been assessed by their Chief Officer as having successfully undertaken training in the exercise of the Schedule 7 powers (including the review functions) to a national standard. Police officers who have been accredited as being able to exercise review officer functions must be reassessed on a biennial basis.

### Immigration and customs officers

14. An immigration or customs officer must only exercise functions under Schedule 7 exceptionally, and only if the officer has been designated by the Secretary of State (and, in the case of customs officers, by the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs), as an examining officer for the purposes of Schedule 7. The Chief Officer of the police force area where the immigration or customs officer will operate must have been consulted and be in agreement with the proposal to accredit and the process for ensuring ongoing competence to practice.
15. Immigration and customs officers must have undertaken training in the use of the Schedule 7 powers and have been accredited by the Director General (DG) of Border Force after they have met the required standard. The DG of Border Force must reassess whether an immigration or customs officer should retain their accredited status on a biennial basis.

---

<sup>3</sup> References in this Code to "Chief Officer" are to a chief constable of a police force in England and Wales, the Chief Constable of the Police Service of Scotland, the Chief Constable of the Police Service of Northern Ireland and the Commissioners of the Metropolitan Police and City of London Police.

<sup>4</sup> Further details of the training that an examining (or reviewing officer) is expected to undertake, as agreed by the National Police Chiefs' Council and Police Service of Scotland and Police Service of Northern Ireland (or their successors) can be found at Annex B.

16. The accredited immigration or customs officer must only exercise Schedule 7 powers when one or both of the following apply:
  - a. A police officer is not readily available; and/or
  - b. If specifically requested to do so by a police officer of the rank of sergeant or above.
17. In all cases where an immigration or customs officer exercises any examining officer power under Schedule 7,<sup>5</sup> the authority of a chief immigration officer (in the case of an immigration officer), or a higher officer (in the case of a customs officer), or above must be obtained before exercising the power, if it is reasonably practicable to obtain that authority. Where it is not reasonably practicable to obtain prior authority, the chief immigration officer or the customs higher officer or above must be notified of the exercise of the power as soon as possible after it has begun.
18. In order to act as review officers, immigration and customs officers must have been assessed by the DG of Border Force or the Commissioner of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs as having successfully undertaken training in the exercise of the Schedule 7 powers/ Schedule 8 review functions to a national standard. Immigration and customs officers who have been accredited as being able to exercise Schedule 8 review officer functions must be reassessed on a biennial basis.

### Use of the power in emergencies

19. The powers may also be used by any constable who has not been accredited where a police officer of at least the rank of Commander or Assistant Chief Constable<sup>6</sup> believes that this is necessary due to an exceptional urgent operational need. The constable may be given temporary permission to act as an examining officer for the duration of the emergency, whether or not they are awaiting accreditation. In such circumstances, officers must receive a briefing<sup>7</sup> on the powers prior to deployment and their exercise of the powers must be supervised by an accredited examining officer. This can be done remotely.
20. 'Urgent operational need' might arise during a period of heightened threat in accordance with the UK terrorism threat level.<sup>8</sup> For example, where there is credible intelligence to indicate that acts of terrorism would result in the death or significant injury of persons within the United Kingdom or in the immediate aftermath of a terrorist attack. A heightened period of threat may mean that accredited police officers are otherwise occupied, and the relevant Chief Officer may need to deploy

<sup>5</sup> Unless an examining officer has authorised a person to carry out on the officer's behalf a search or examination under any of paragraphs 7 to 9 of Schedule 7, and that person is an immigration or customs officer. See paragraph 10 of Schedule 7.

<sup>6</sup> Officers of the National Police Chiefs' Council, Police Service of Scotland and Police Service of Northern Ireland (or their successors).

<sup>7</sup> A template for emergency briefing is owned by the National Police Chiefs' Council (or their successors).

<sup>8</sup> For further information on the UK terrorism threat levels, please see: <https://www.gov.uk/terrorism-national-emergency>

non-accredited police officers as examining officers. Additionally, the relevant Chief Officer should document the reasons for deploying non-accredited police officers.

## Law enforcement engagement with the public

21. During the ordinary course of their duties, law enforcement officers, including officers working at UK ports, international railway stations or in the border area in Northern Ireland, may engage with members of the public. Whether or not officers will engage with the public in the course of their duties will depend on the circumstances.
22. Law enforcement officers may converse with members of the public to establish certain information which could include, but is not limited to, asking questions and conducting checks to establish their identity,<sup>9</sup> provenance, or destination. Officers may use these interactions to assist in making a decision on whether to select a person for examination under Schedule 7 and it is not necessary to commence a Schedule 7 examination to engage with a person or conduct checks for this purpose. Doing so will not constitute an examination and there is no legal duty of members of the public to cooperate. There is no requirement for officers to make a record of this form of encounter with the public.
23. In the border area in Northern Ireland, it may be less obvious to an examining officer that a person's presence there is connected with their entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland and/or they may be unable to form this belief through the engagement as described in paragraph 22. In such circumstances, an officer may first require (using their power under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7), a person to answer questions for the purpose of determining whether their presence in the area is connected with them entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland. An officer should only use their power under paragraph 3 in order to determine whether the conditions for use of the power in paragraph 2(2) are satisfied in order to then, if necessary, question them to determine whether the individual appears to be a person falling within section 40(1)(b). The power at paragraph 3 should not, for example, be used for an immigration purpose.
24. Where an officer requires a person to answer questions for the purpose of determining whether they appear to be someone who is, or has been, concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism or to determine whether a person's presence in the border area is connected with him entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland, this will constitute the beginning of a Schedule 7 examination.

<sup>9</sup> Powers are available under Schedule 8 to the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 for a constable to require, search for, take possession of and inspect all travel documents in a person's possession in order to establish the validity of those documents. These powers should only be used for the purpose of confirming the validity of travel documents.

## 2. Identifying Persons for Examination

### Who can be examined?

25. The examining officer may only stop and question a person for the purpose of allowing a determination of whether that person appears to be someone who is or who has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of “terrorism” as defined in section 1 of the Terrorism Act 2000 or for the purpose of determining whether a person’s presence in the border area is connected with the person’s entry into, or departure, from, Northern Ireland. **An examining officer should only exercise the examination power under paragraph 3 of Schedule 7 where they have been unable, or the officer deems it inappropriate, to determine an individual’s purpose for being in the border area through engagement (as described in paragraph 22) with that individual.** An examining officer may stop and question a person whether or not there are grounds for suspecting that the person is or has been concerned in terrorism.
26. The examination powers are additional to the powers of arrest available to police officers under separate legislation and must not be used for any other purpose.<sup>10</sup> Examining officers must make every reasonable effort to exercise the power in such a way as to minimise causing embarrassment or offence to a person who is being questioned. All persons being stopped and questioned by examining officers must be treated in a respectful and courteous manner and in accordance with the Human Rights Act 1998 and with respect for the European Convention on Human Rights. Examining officers must be aware of the potential disruption to travel to which an examination may give rise, (for example, the disruption to a person’s travel plans where selection for examination has occurred prior to embarkation at a UK port) and should seek to minimise such disruption wherever practicable.
27. Schedule 7 powers must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim and which does not unlawfully discriminate against anyone on the grounds of age, race (including colour, nationality, ethnic or national origin), religion or belief, gender or sexual orientation. Examining officers must take particular care to ensure that “protected characteristics”<sup>11</sup> (whether separately or together) are not used as criteria for selection except to the extent that they are used in association with considerations that relate to the threat from terrorism.

---

<sup>10</sup> Detention powers under Schedule 7 and reasons for detaining a person are explained in Section 4.

<sup>11</sup> Protected characteristics as defined in the Equalities Act 2010 and set out in paragraph 7 of this Code of Practice.



28. If a person is stopped and questioned, this may inconvenience their ongoing travel arrangements. Where appropriate the examining officers will try to assist with seeking advice from transport operators on options for alternative travel arrangements. An examining officer, however, will not be able to guarantee or book alternative travel for that person.

## Where Schedule 7 powers can be exercised

29. The power to examine someone under Schedule 7 may be exercised in respect of a person only if one of the following conditions is met:
- a. The person is on a ship, aircraft or international train, which has arrived at any place in Great Britain or Northern Ireland (whether from within or outside Great Britain or Northern Ireland). See paragraph 2(3) of Schedule 7;<sup>12</sup> or
  - b. The person is at a port or in the border area in Northern Ireland and the examining officer believes that a person's presence there is connected with person's entry or departure from Great Britain or Northern Ireland or the person's travel by air within Great Britain or within Northern Ireland. See paragraph 2(2) of Schedule 7; or
  - c. The person is being detained under a provision of the Immigration Acts or is in custody under paragraph 17(1) of Schedule 2 to the Immigration Act 1971, and the following two conditions are satisfied:
    - i. The examining officer believes that the person arrived from outside the United Kingdom by sea and was apprehended within 24 hours of their arrival on land, and
    - ii. The period of 5 days beginning with the day after the day on which the person was apprehended has not yet expired. (For example, if a person is apprehended at 13:00 on Monday, the 5 day period will begin at 00:01 on Tuesday, and will expire at 23:59 on the following Saturday. After this time it will no longer be possible to examine the person under Schedule 7.) See paragraph 2(3A) of Schedule 7.

For the purposes of paragraph 2(3B) of Schedule 7, a person is apprehended when they are encountered for the first time and either:

- d. First arrested (and not released) before being detained under a provision of the Immigration Acts, or

<sup>12</sup> The Channel Tunnel (International Arrangements) Order 1993 provides that examining officers can exercise Schedule 7 powers in a railway station or other place where persons embark or disembark, or goods are loaded or unloaded, on or from a through train or shuttle train and in the tunnel system.

- e. First detained under a provision of the Immigration Acts, or
  - f. Arrested under paragraph 17(1) of Schedule 2 to the Immigration Act 1971.
30. The examining officer's belief must be justifiable and much will depend on the individual circumstances. For example, the following factors, if present in a particular case, may support a belief for the purposes of paragraph 2(2) or (3A) of Schedule 7:
- a. The presence of the person in a controlled arrivals or departure area or common departure lounge at a port. Presence in such areas alone, however, may not be sufficient to form the basis of a belief without other indicators suggesting the person's presence is connected with his or her travel; and/or
  - b. Where someone is waiting to be, is being, or has been checked in for a flight or ferry to or from Great Britain or Northern Ireland; and/or
  - c. The presence of the person in an immigration detention centre, police station or equivalent location in connection to their arrival in the UK by sea from outside the UK in circumstances where they were apprehended within 24 hours of their arrival on land and it has been no more than 5 days since their apprehension.

The examples given above are not intended as an exhaustive list.

## Selection Criteria

31. Although the selection of a person for examination is not conditional upon the examining officer having grounds to suspect that person of being engaged in terrorism, the decision to select a person for examination must not be arbitrary. An examining officer's decision to select a person for examination must be informed by the threat from terrorism to the United Kingdom and its interests posed by the various terrorist groups, networks and individuals active in and outside the United Kingdom.
32. It is not appropriate for race, ethnic background, religion and/or other "protected characteristics" (whether separately or together) to be used as criteria for selection except to the extent that they are used in association with considerations that relate to the threat from terrorism. Considerations that relate to the threat from terrorism include factors such as, but not exclusively:
- a. Known and suspected sources of terrorism;
  - b. Persons, organisations or groups whose current or past involvement in acts or threats of terrorism is known or suspected, and supporters or sponsors of such activity who are known or suspected;



- c. Any information on the origins and/or location of terrorist groups;
- d. Possible current, emerging and future terrorist activity;
- e. The means of travel (and documentation) that a group or persons involved in terrorist activity could use;
- f. Patterns of travel through specific ports or in the wider vicinity that may be linked to terrorist activity; or appear unusual for the intended destination;
- g. Observation of a person's behaviour; and/or
- h. Referrals made to examining officers by other security, transport or enforcement bodies.

DRAFT

## 3. Examination of Persons

### Commencing an examination

33. The examining officer must not exercise examination powers under Schedule 7 with respect to any person unless that person has been notified, **verbally or in writing**, that an examination has commenced.
34. In notifying the person of their examination under Schedule 7, an examining officer may direct the person or vehicle to a nearby place for this purpose.
35. At the start of the examination the examining officer must explain to the person, verbally or in writing, that they are being examined under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 and that the officer has the power to detain that person for the purpose of conducting the examination (see paragraph 77 of this Code for the types of reasons that might cause an officer to detain a person).

### Conducting an examination

36. The examining officer must explain their role to the person and that Schedule 7 is a part of counter-terrorism policing at the port/UK border, but that this does not mean that they are suspected of being involved in terrorism. The purpose of the examination is to determine whether the person appears to be someone who is or who has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism. If requested, the examining officer must provide sufficient information, such as an identification number and location, to the person or his or her representative to enable the officer to be identified in the event of any query or complaint.
37. The examining officer will explain how the examination will be conducted and must offer a Schedule 7 Public Information Leaflet<sup>13</sup>. The Public Information Leaflet is available in multiple languages, and outlines the purpose and provisions of Schedule 7, duties under Schedule 7, key points of the Code of Practice, including an individual's rights, and relevant contact details (including those needed to provide feedback or make a complaint).

---

<sup>13</sup> The Public Information Leaflet is owned by the National Police Chiefs' Council (or their successors).

38. Where Schedule 7 powers are exercised regularly, the duties and rights of a person subject to examination must be displayed prominently, alongside an explanation of the powers, in a place where the person will be able to read them. If the examining officer doubts the person's ability to understand English, every reasonable effort should be made to communicate the relevant information, where practicable using someone who can act as an interpreter (see paragraph 49-51 of this Code).
39. An examining officer must cease an examination and inform the person being examined accordingly when the examining officer is satisfied that sufficient information has been obtained to allow a determination to be made as to whether the person appears to be or to have been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism, or where the officer has concluded that further examination of the person would not assist in making such a determination.
40. It is important to note that the examining officer does not need to administer a caution to a person being examined under Schedule 7. Questioning that does require a caution (e.g. where a person is being questioned in relation to suspected involvement in an offence) should be dealt with outside of the Schedule 7 examination process.
41. Where an officer decides it is necessary to examine a person for longer than an hour, then questioning under paragraph 2 and 3 of Schedule 7 may only continue beyond the hour point if the person has been detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7. Any period of examination, including detention, must not exceed six hours from the commencement of the examination.
42. If after (or during the course of) examining a person under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 the examining officer decides to examine the person under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 for counter-hostile activity purposes, the officer must explain to the person that they are no longer being examined under Schedule 7 and are now instead being examined under Schedule 3 (at which point the officer must adhere to the Schedule 3 Code of Practice). In such circumstances, the time spent in examination under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 is to be included in calculating the 6 hour period with respect to any subsequent examination that takes place under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3.
43. The reverse is true where an examining officer decides after (or during the course of) examining a person under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 to examine the person under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000. In such circumstances, the time spent in examination under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3 is to be included in calculating the 6 hour period with respect to any subsequent examination that takes place under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7. Examination under either Schedule 7 or Schedule 3, or a combination of both, must not exceed a period of 6 hours.

## Duties under examination

44. The examining officer must inform the person being examined that Schedule 7 places them under a duty to answer questions and to give the officer any information in his or her possession which the officer requests for the purposes of the examination (see paragraph 5 of Schedule 7). The examining officer may, if appropriate, inform the person that wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed under Schedule 7, or wilfully obstructing or seeking to frustrate a search or examination under Schedule 7, is a criminal offence under paragraph 18 of Schedule 7. An examining officer may not ask a question under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 where they have reasonable grounds to believe that the answer to the question would require a person to disclose or confirm the identity of a source of journalistic information or disclose information subject to legal privilege.
45. The examining officer should also inform the person that any answer or information that the person provides orally in response to a question asked during the examination under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 cannot be used as evidence in criminal proceedings. However, the person should also be informed that their answers and any information they give can be used as evidence in the following scenarios:
  - Where the person is prosecuted for an offence contrary to section 18 of Schedule 7, such as wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed under or by virtue of Schedule 7 or obstructing or seeking to frustrate a search or examination under Schedule 7, or 3.
  - Where the person is prosecuted for perjury, or
  - Where the person has been prosecuted for an offence other than those already mentioned, and in giving evidence the person makes a statement that is inconsistent with their oral answers or information given in response to questions put to them in a Schedule 7 examination. In such a situation, the person's statement can only be used where they or their lawyer first adduce it as evidence, or ask a question relating to it, in those proceedings.
46. Where the examining officer has commenced an examination pursuant to paragraph 2(3A) and (3B) of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000, they must inform the person that the purpose of the examination is not to elicit evidence or information relating to any immigration offence.

## Rights under examination

47. A person who is being examined at a port, but not detained, is not entitled to consult a solicitor. Should the person request to do so, the examining officer may grant this at his or her discretion. Where reasonably practicable, a consultation should be allowed. When a consultation is allowed, it should be allowed to be conducted in

private. The person must be informed that any consultation will not be at public expense. Requests for consultation, the response and, if applicable, the reason for refusal must be recorded in writing.

48. A person who is being examined at a port, but not detained, is not entitled to have a named person informed of their examination and whereabouts. Should the person request to inform a named person, the examining officer may grant that request at his or her discretion. Where reasonably practicable, the request should be granted. The named person should be a friend, relative or a person known to the examinee who is likely to take an interest in their welfare. Where the request is granted, the named person should be informed as soon as reasonably practicable. If the named person cannot be contacted, further attempts may be made at the examining officer's discretion. A request to have a named person informed of a person's examination and their whereabouts, the response and, if applicable, the reason for refusal must be in recorded writing.

## Welfare considerations during examination

49. An examining officer should bear in mind that the person being examined, while they may not be obviously vulnerable (for which see from paragraph 101 of this Code), may be unaware of the Schedule 7 powers, may be distressed by references to terrorism, may be from a different country or may be a non-English speaker. Examinations should be conducted in a manner which minimises the potential for individuals to become unnecessarily alarmed or distressed by the encounter.
50. If the person being examined is travelling with any other person who may be vulnerable (including children) and there is no other responsible adult present, the examining officer must make suitable arrangements to ensure the wellbeing of the vulnerable person. The examining officer should inform the person being examined of these arrangements before continuing with the examination.
51. The examining officer must consider the person's welfare and make arrangements to ensure that they have the opportunity to have refreshments and breaks at regular intervals. Access to a healthcare professional should be provided if necessary, as determined by the examining officer. Where reasonably practicable, provision should be made to allow for the practice of religious observance, where this will not jeopardise the purpose of the examination.
52. If the examining officer is unable to communicate effectively with the person being examined either because the person has a hearing or speech impediment or because they do not understand English, then the examining officer must make all reasonable effort to obtain the assistance of another person who is able to communicate effectively with the person being examined. For example, Border Force interpreters can be used to communicate effectively with a person.

53. A police officer, immigration officer, customs officer or Border Force interpreter must never be used as an interpreter to facilitate communication between the person being examined and a solicitor. Where communication with a solicitor is necessary then an interpreter must be provided at public expense and all reasonable steps must be taken to make the person being examined understand that interpretation and translation will be provided at public expense.
54. If it is not possible to obtain the assistance of someone who can act as an interpreter, the examining officer must not interview the person. However, the examining officer may continue to use other Schedule 7 powers (for example, the search power under paragraph 8 of Schedule 7).

## Production of information

55. Where the examining officer chooses to request information or documents (including a passport or other document used to establish identity) under paragraph 5 of Schedule 7, he or she must specify the kind of information or document which must be produced. Information requested by an examining officer under paragraph 5(a) may include passwords to electronic devices. An examining officer may not, however, compel a person to disclose or confirm the identity of a source of journalistic information or disclose information subject to legal privilege (see paragraph 42 of this Code). The examining officer should give the person concerned a reasonable opportunity within the period of examination to produce the information or documents requested.
56. People travelling to or from Great Britain or Northern Ireland from another place in the Common Travel Area, or by air or ship within Great Britain or by air or ship within Northern Ireland (whether from within or outside Great Britain or Northern Ireland) may not be carrying a passport. In such a case, an examining officer may request the person to provide any other document which can be used to establish their identity. Production of information, documents or evidence of identity under paragraph 5 of Schedule 7 is separate from the powers of search and examination contained in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 of Schedule 7.
57. An examining officer may use electronic equipment in order to examine persons and property.

## Searches

58. Under paragraph 8 of Schedule 7, an examining officer may search a person questioned under paragraph 2 and anything they have with them, or which belongs to them, for the purpose of determining whether the person appears to be someone who is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism (see paragraph 67 on how 'protected material' should be treated by

officers). This includes baggage or any other personal items such as mobile electronic devices, whether found on the person or on a ship, aircraft or international train service, or where the examining officer reasonably believes that the thing has been or is about to be on a ship, aircraft or international train service<sup>14</sup>. An examining officer may, under paragraph 10 of Schedule 7, authorise another person to carry out a search on their behalf.

59. Every reasonable effort must be made to minimise potential embarrassment or offence that may be caused to a person being searched. A baggage search need not be carried out by a person of the same sex, but where that is requested or where an objection is raised to the search being conducted by a person of the opposite sex, the search should be conducted by a person of the same sex where that is reasonably practicable. If it is not reasonably practicable, the examining officer should record the objection, but may proceed with the search. A search of a person under paragraph 8 of Schedule 7 must only be carried out by a person of the same sex.
60. All searches and procedures must be carried out with courtesy, consideration and respect. Officers should show sensitivity when dealing with transgender individuals (including transsexual and transvestite persons). In such cases, officers should follow the relevant guidance.
61. The power to search anything which the person has with them includes the power to search electronic devices, such as mobile phones. The person must provide access to any electronic device to allow for a search to be undertaken, including where access to a device requires the person to unlock a device through application of their thumb or finger, or any other form of access control (e.g. voice or face recognition). Searching such a device may result in information being accessed which is stored other than on the device itself. However, the power to search does not include the power to use information obtained from such a device (or information requested under paragraph 5(a)) to access information using a device that is not the subject of a search under paragraph 8 (for example, the officer may access emails using an application on the phone being searched, but may not obtain the email username and password and log onto the email account from another computer). Nor can the power to search electronic devices be used to intercept communications in the course of their transmission, within the meaning in the Investigatory Powers Act 2016, other than where the obtaining of stored communications is authorised by section 6(1)(c)(ii) of that Act.

---

<sup>14</sup> Examining officers are also permitted to: search anything belonging to the person which is, has been, or is about to be, on a ship or aircraft; search an aircraft for anything belonging to the person; and search a vehicle which is, or which the officer reasonably believes has been, or is about to be, on a ship or an aircraft. Where an officer questions a person in the border area in Northern Ireland he may also search a vehicle, anything in or on a vehicle, and anything which he reasonably believes has been, or is about to be, in or on a vehicle.



62. An examining officer may only search a person or anything they have with them to determine whether the person appears to be someone who is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism. A search must be limited to what is necessary for that purpose. This does not, however, preclude a search being carried out under other powers if appropriate, for example where the examining officer is a constable and has other powers by virtue of common law or other statute.
63. An examining officer may use reasonable force for the purpose of exercising a search power under Schedule 7. Reasonable force<sup>15</sup> may be used to conduct a search where that is necessary and proportionate. Where an examining officer exercises powers of search under Schedule 7 there is no requirement for any notice of search to be provided regarding the search of a person, property or vehicles.
64. When a search of a person is carried out the examining officer must, if not uniformed, show a warrant card or similar evidence of his or her authority, but need not give his or her name.
65. If requested, the examining officer must provide sufficient information to the person or his or her representative, such as an identification number and location, which would enable the officer to be identified in the event of any query or complaint.

## Retention of property

66. An examining officer may retain anything (including electronic devices) which is given to the officer in accordance with paragraph 5(d) of Schedule 7; which is searched or found during a search under paragraph 8; or which is examined under paragraph 9. The officer may retain the thing under paragraph 11(2):
  - a. For the purpose of examining the detained thing, for a period not exceeding 7 days beginning with the day on which the retention commences,
  - b. While the examining officer believes that it may be needed for use as evidence in criminal proceedings, or
  - c. While the officer believes that it may be needed in connection with a decision of the Secretary of State whether to make a deportation order under the Immigration Act 1971.
67. The powers to retain property must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. The thing may be retained for as long as the examining officer believes it is needed for these purposes (although not beyond 7 days if the thing is retained only under paragraph 11(2)(a))<sup>16</sup>. If the examining officer retains anything

<sup>15</sup> See paragraph 3 of Schedule 14 to the Terrorism Act 2000. Reasonable force must not be used when questioning a person under paragraph 2 of Schedule 7.

<sup>16</sup> It is important to note that where an examining officer has retained a thing under 11(2)(b) or (c), examination of the thing is permitted in order to fulfil the purpose of the retention.



beyond the conclusion of the examination of that person, he/she must inform the person of the power under which their property is being retained. In such circumstances, the examining officer should ask the person how they would prefer to be contacted regarding the status or return of their property.

## Making copies of information

68. An examining officer who is a constable may copy anything obtained under paragraph 5, searched or found on a search under paragraph 8, or anything examined under paragraph 9 and this includes making copies of electronic data. The copies may be retained under paragraph 11A(3):
- For so long as is necessary for the purpose of determining whether a person is or has been involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism,
  - While the examining officer believes that it may be needed for use as evidence in criminal proceedings, or
  - While the officer believes that a copy may be needed in connection with a decision by the Secretary of State whether to make a deportation order under the Immigration Act 1971.
69. The powers to copy must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. Copies of information obtained during an examination must be managed in compliance with the requirements of Management of Police Information guidance, General Data Protection Regulation provisions and the Data Protection Act 2018.

## Treatment of ‘protected material’

70. In exercising the powers in paragraphs 11 (detention of property) and 11A (power to make and retain copies), examining officers must ensure that all material which is likely to be “protected material”<sup>17</sup> is treated with care to minimise the risk that it is seen by the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 7. In the absence of any power other than Schedule 7 (such as Schedule

<sup>17</sup> In this Code, “protected material” means:

“confidential journalistic information” within the meaning of section 264(6) and (7) of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016;

material which identifies or confirms a “source of journalistic information” within the meaning of section 263(1) of that Act;

“items subject to legal privilege” within the meaning of section 263(1) of that Act;

personal records which a person has acquired or created in the course of any trade, business, profession or other occupation or for the purposes of any paid or unpaid office and which he holds in confidence (see the definition of “excluded material” in section 11(1)(a) of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984); and human tissue or tissue fluid which has been taken for the purposes of diagnosis or medical treatment and which a person holds in confidence (see section 11(1)(b) of that Act).

5 to the Terrorism Act 2000), material cannot be examined where the officer has reasonable grounds for believing it to be protected material. The officer may, however, examine any nonprotected material, subject to the conditions of the following paragraphs.

71. If before an examining officer examines or copies an article, the officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the article consists of or includes protected material, the officer must only proceed to examine the article or make the copy if the protected material is capable of being separated from non-protected material. If the officer has developed such reasonable grounds during the process of examining the article or copy, the officer must cease examining the article or copy and may only subsequently continue to examine the article or copy if the non-protected material has been separated from the protected material. Save as to any other power applying which permits retention, where it is assessed that protected material within an article or copy cannot be separated from non-protected material the article must be returned to the person from whom it was taken, and any copies of the article must be destroyed.
72. The examining officer should consider whether it is reasonably practicable for the protected material to be separated at the time and place of the Schedule 7 examination. This can be done either using the sifting and independent review process described below, or in any other manner designed to prevent the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 7 from accessing the protected material. If this is not reasonably practicable at the time and place of the Schedule 7 examination, the officer may retain the article or copy in accordance with paragraph 11 or 11A, as applicable, and during the retention period the sifting and independent review process in relation to an article or copy must be carried out. The sifting and independent review process requires the following steps to be taken:
  - a. In the case of electronic devices, the article which likely contains protected material must be passed to a police digital forensic unit for copying (and any existing copy which an officer has come, during examination, to have reasonable grounds to believe contains protected material must be passed to that unit);
  - b. The article and/or copy of the article must be stored securely (whether at the place of the Schedule 7 examination or elsewhere) and not examined by the examining officer or any other officer connected to the Schedule 7 examination, pending a sift for potentially protected material by a person independent of the Schedule 7 examination and subsequent review of that material by an independent counsel;
  - c. The independent counsel must be engaged as soon as reasonably practicable for the purpose of reviewing the sifted material to identify which items of material the officer is authorised to examine;

- d. In cases where the material on the article or copy is electronic, voluminous and capable of being searched electronically, the person from whom the article has been taken (or from whose article a copy has been taken), or that person's legal representative, must be given the opportunity to provide search terms to be applied to identify potential protected material. The ultimate decision as to which search terms are applied shall be for the relevant police force. Those search terms must be applied by a member of the police digital forensic unit, who is independent of the Schedule 7 examination. The result of the search terms applied must be confined in a separate folder To which the examining officer and any other officer involved in the Schedule 7 examination do not have access. Any data which is not covered by the search terms can be provided to the examining officer for their review;
  - e. Independent counsel will review the result of the sift which has been confined in the separate folder. Following the review by independent counsel, any material which is identified as protected material must be extracted from the non-protected material. Only the non-protected material will be made available to the examining officer;
  - f. In the case of a copy of an article, the independent counsel must mark for destruction all items of copied material which the officer is not authorised to examine and the identified material must be destroyed without being examined. In the case of protected material comprised in an article, that material must be returned to the person from whom it was taken as soon as reasonably practicable. In the event the copy is seized under a separate power, it will not need to be destroyed or returned.
73. The Chief Officer of the force area where the Schedule 7 examination takes place shall be responsible for ensuring that arrangements are in place for secure storage of articles and copies pending independent counsel's review and that in the case of digital copies, the available technology does not allow for the retrieval of destroyed material.
74. Where an examining officer or any other officer involved in the Schedule 7 examination inadvertently sees protected material, measures must be put in place to ensure that the protected material is not taken account of, either in the course of making a determination under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 or in any subsequent legal proceedings, or any executive action.
75. An examining officer may only retain an article, or make and retain a copy of an article, which the officer has reasonable grounds for believing it consists of or includes protected material if the officer considers it necessary and proportionate to do so in accordance with paragraphs 11(2)(a)-(c) or 11A(3)(a)-(c) and a sifting and independent review process, or any other process designed to prevent the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 7 examining the protected material, is possible.

## Confidential Business Material

76. If, before an examining officer examines or copies an article, or during the process of examining the article or copy, the examining officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the article or copy consists of or includes (or would consist of or include, were the copy to be made) confidential business material within the meaning of section 14(2) of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, the examining officer must obtain the authorisation of an officer of at least the rank of superintendent who has not been directly involved in the exercise of Schedule 7 powers to the article or copy's retention, examination and use. The authorising officer may give such an authorisation only if satisfied that arrangements are in place that are sufficient for ensuring that the material is retained securely, and the material will be used only so far as necessary and proportionate in accordance with paragraphs 11(2)(a)-(c) or 11A(3) (a)-(c), as applicable. Where authorisation is not given, confidential business material must be treated as if it were protected material, and a separation and independent review process (or any other process designed to prevent the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 7 examining the protected material) applied to separate out the confidential business material, if the examining officer considers it necessary and proportionate to examine non-confidential business material within the article or copy.

## Records of examinations

77. Records of all examinations and detentions must be kept for statistical or reference purposes for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the powers can be made. Records must include, as a minimum, the name of the person examined, the identification of officers using the powers, self-declared ethnicity of the person examined, if given, the total duration of the examination and, if relevant, the time of detention.
78. Records of the examination and reviews of detention by the review officer must also be kept in accordance with the Management of Police Information guidance. Records of the examination, including records of reviews of detention, will not be given to the person or their solicitor at the time of the examination but will be managed in accordance with Management of Police Information guidance, General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018.

## 4. Detention

### When can a person be detained under Schedule 7?

79. An examining officer may detain a person under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7, at any time, for the purpose of exercising examination powers under paragraph 2 and 3. (i.e. in order to determine whether the person appears to be, or to have been, involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism and to question a person in the border area for the purpose of determining whether the person's presence there is connected with the person's entry into, or departure, from, Northern Ireland). In order to continue to question a person beyond one hour from the commencement of the examination, the examining officer must detain the person before the expiry of that first hour of the examination.
80. The power to detain must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. The decision of an examining officer to detain a person will be made on a case by case basis, but may be made for the types of reasons described below:
  - a. **The person refuses to co-operate with any legal obligation or insists on leaving.** For example, where the person obstructs or seeks to frustrate the examination or where engagement as described above in paragraph 22 and/or examination have not allowed the examining officer to establish the purpose of someone's presence in the border area such that detention for the purposes of paragraph 3 is needed to satisfy requirements of paragraph 2(2).
  - b. **The examining officer determines that he/she will need longer than one hour to complete the examination.** For example, where a more extensive search of a person's belongings is necessary, or where the services of an interpreter are required.
  - c. **The examining officer deems it appropriate to exercise additional powers available through detention.** For example, to establish a person's identity or to assist in determining a person's involvement in terrorism through the taking of fingerprints and samples (paragraphs 10 and 20 of Schedule 8), or where it is necessary to carry out a strip search (paragraph 8(5) of Schedule 7).
  - d. **To facilitate relocation of the examination from a port or border area location to another place, because there would be more suitable facilities at that place.**

The above list of reasons is illustrative only, not exhaustive. It is important to note that detention is an option at any time to compel the person to remain with the examining officer to question and/or search for the purposes of the examination process.

81. Where a person is detained under Schedule 7, at the start of the detention the examining officer must:
  - a. Inform the person that he or she is not under arrest or caution, but that he or she is being detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000;
  - b. Inform the person that the purpose of the detention is to facilitate his or her examination for the purpose explained at the outset of the examination;
  - c. Explain that the person is under particular duties and that he or she has particular rights (see paragraph 80 of this Code for further information); and
  - d. Give them a Notice of Detention under Schedule 7 (as set out at Annex A), which explains a person's duties and rights.
82. Detention does not necessitate taking the person to another place. An examining officer may consider relocation from a port location to a police station or any other place where the person's presence is considered necessary:
  - a. If the port location **or the border area** is unsuitable for continued examination i.e. due to a lack of facilities, such as refreshments, toilets, or for some other welfare consideration;
  - b. To gain access to facilities or equipment not available at the port **or the border area** i.e. interpretation and translation services, video/audio recording<sup>18</sup>, biometric sampling equipment; and/or
  - c. The examining officer considers it appropriate to relocate for safety reasons.

The above list of reasons is illustrative only, not exhaustive.

## Duties of the person being examined when under detention

83. The examining officer must advise the detained person that he or she continues to be under a duty to answer questions and give the officer any information in his or her possession which the officer requests for the purposes of the examination (see paragraph 42 regarding questioning that would require a person to disclose or confirm the identity of a source of journalistic information or disclose information subject to legal privilege). The examining officer must inform the person that wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed by Schedule 7; wilfully contravening a

<sup>18</sup> The lack of availability of recording facilities should not be the sole reason for a relocation decision.



prohibition imposed under or by virtue of the Schedule; or wilfully obstructing or seeking to frustrate a search or examination under the Schedule, is a criminal offence under paragraph 18.

84. The examining officer should, inform the person that any answer or information that the person provides orally in response to a question asked during the examination cannot be used as evidence in criminal proceedings. The person should also be informed that this will not be the case where the person is prosecuted for wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed under or by virtue of Schedule 7; for wilfully contravening a prohibition imposed under or by virtue of the Schedule; for obstructing or seeking to frustrate, a search or examination under the Schedule; or where they are prosecuted for perjury.
85. The person should also be informed that it will be possible for oral answers given in response to questions put to the person in a Schedule 7 examination to be used as evidence in a situation where the person has been prosecuted for an offence other than those already mentioned where, in giving evidence, they make a statement that is inconsistent with that oral answer or information given. In such a situation, the person's statement can only be used where they or their lawyer first adduces it as evidence, or asks a question relating to it, in their defence.

## **Rights of the person being examined when under detention**

86. If during the course of examining a person who has been detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7, the examining officer decides to examine the person under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 for counter-hostile activity purposes, the person must be detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3. The officer must explain to the person that they are no longer detained under Schedule 7 and are being detained under Schedule 3 (at which point the officer must adhere to the Schedule 3 Code of Practice).
87. The reverse is true where an examining officer decides, during the course of examining a person who has been detained under Schedule 3, to examine the person under Schedule 7. In such circumstances, the person will have the rights and entitlements of a person detained for the purposes of examination from the outset of the second detention, and any rights that have been exercised with respect to the first detention may continue to be exercised during the second detention.

## **Right to access a solicitor in private**

88. Where a person is detained for the purpose of a Schedule 7 examination, that person is entitled to consult a solicitor in private (whether in person or by telephone or any other reasonable way that the person wants to carry out that consultation) at

any time if he or she so requests, which will be at public expense through legal aid.<sup>19</sup>

89. **The examining officer must postpone questioning the person until he or she has consulted a solicitor in private (or has communicated that he or she no longer wishes to do so)**, unless the examining officer reasonably believes that postponing questioning until then would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination. For example, where the person insists on consulting with a particular solicitor who will not be available to consult with the person within a reasonable time period whether in person, on the telephone or by other means. If the examining officer decides not to postpone questioning the reasons must be recorded.
90. **A detained person is entitled to consult privately with a solicitor in person** unless the examining officer reasonably believes that the time it would take to consult a solicitor in person would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination. For example, if the detained person's solicitor of choice is a number of hours away and unable to get to the police station or port in sufficient time to allow the examination to proceed. Where the examining officer refuses to allow a person to consult with a particular solicitor in person, he or she must make a written record of their reasons for doing so. The examining officer must inform the detained person that he or she may consult with their solicitor by another mode, for example, private telephone conversation.
91. Where consultation in person between the detained person and a particular solicitor is refused, and the person elects to consult in another way, for example by telephone, the examining officer must facilitate this, unless they reasonably believe that doing so would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination. For example, the person may not phone the solicitor multiple times, or extend the duration of a call beyond a reasonable time if it appears that the purpose of the examination is likely to be prejudiced. In such circumstances, if the examining officer reasonably believes that the delay caused by requesting multiple phone calls will prejudice the purpose of the examination, for example by leaving insufficient time to interview the person properly, the questioning may proceed.
92. Where the person specifies that he or she wants to consult a particular solicitor, and that solicitor will not be available within a reasonable period of time by any means (personal, telephone or other means) the examining officer must advise the person of the duty solicitor scheme.
93. The person may choose to be accompanied by a solicitor during questioning, whether the consultation is or has been in person or by way of a telephone call. If the detained person expresses a wish for a solicitor to be present during questioning, the examining officer must facilitate this unless the officer reasonably believes that doing so would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination, for example by causing unreasonable delay to the process of examination.

<sup>19</sup> This includes UK and non-UK Nationals.



94. The examining officer must explain the Schedule 7 powers to the solicitor and the obligations the person is under. The solicitor can also be provided with the Public Information Leaflet.

***England, Wales and Northern Ireland***

95. In certain exceptional circumstances, the entitlement to consult a solicitor can be delayed under paragraph 8 of Schedule 8 (Terrorism Act 2000) with the authority of a superintendent or above. For example, where the officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the consultation would lead to (paragraph 8(4)):
- a. Interference with or harm to evidence of a serious offence;
  - b. Interference with or physical injury to any person;
  - c. The alerting of persons who are suspected of having committed a serious offence but who have not been arrested for it;
  - d. The hindering of the recovery of property obtained as a result of a serious offence or in respect of which a forfeiture order could be made under section 23 or 23A;
  - e. Interference with the gathering of information about the commission, preparation, or instigation of acts of terrorism;
  - f. The alerting of a person and thereby making it more difficult to prevent an act of terrorism; and,
  - g. The alerting of a person and thereby making it more difficult to secure a person's apprehension, prosecution or conviction in connection with the commission, preparation or instigation of an act of terrorism.
96. In such circumstances a police officer not below the rank of superintendent, may, if it appears to the officer to be necessary, direct (under paragraph 9 of Schedule 8) that the right may not be exercised (or further exercised) by consulting the solicitor who attends for the purpose of the consultation or who would so attend but for the giving of the direction. The right may instead be exercised by consulting a different solicitor of the detained person's choosing. This may be where the directing officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the detainee will use the cover of confidentiality of conversations with a solicitor to pass on instructions or information to a third party, either wittingly or unwittingly.

***Scotland***

97. In certain exceptional circumstances, the entitlement to consult a solicitor in Scotland can be delayed under paragraph 16 of Schedule 8 (Terrorism Act 2000) with the authority of a superintendent or above. For example, where, in the officer's view, the delay is necessary on one of the following grounds (paragraph 17(3)):

- a. That it is in the interests of the investigation or prevention of crime;
  - b. That it is in the interests of the apprehension, prosecution or conviction of offenders;
  - c. That it will further the recovery of property obtained as a result of the commission of an offence or in respect of which a forfeiture order could be made under section 23 or 23A;
  - d. That it will further the operation of Part 2 or 3 of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 or the Proceeds of Crime (Northern Ireland) Order 1996 (S.I. 1996/1299 (N.I. 9)) (confiscation of the proceeds of an offence);
98. In such exceptional circumstances a police officer not below the rank of superintendent, may, if it appears to the officer to be necessary, direct (under paragraph 17 of Schedule 8) that the right may not be exercised (or further exercised) by consulting the solicitor who attends for the purpose of the consultation or who would so attend but for the giving of the direction. The right may instead be exercised by consulting a different solicitor of the detained person's choosing. This may be where the directing officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the detainee will use the cover of confidentiality of conversations with a solicitor to pass on instructions or information to a third party, either wittingly or unwittingly.

### Other rights under detention

99. **The detained person is entitled to have a friend, relative or other person known to them who is likely to take an interest in their welfare informed as soon as is reasonably practicable that he or she is being detained (paragraph 6 of Schedule 8, or paragraph 16 for Scotland).** Under certain exceptional circumstances this right can be delayed under paragraph 8 (or paragraph 16 for Scotland) of Schedule 8 with the authority of a police officer of at least the rank of superintendent, for example, if that officer has reasonable grounds for believing that informing that person will have any of the consequences referred to above.
100. **The detained person is entitled to obtain consular access upon request, where the individual is a citizen of an independent Commonwealth country or a national of a foreign country.** The detained person must be informed of this right as soon as reasonably practicable. Should the detained person request that his or her High Commission, Embassy or Consulate be told of his or her whereabouts and the ground<sup>20</sup> for his or her detention, the request will be acted upon as soon as reasonably practicable. The exercise of this right must not be interfered with. **An examining officer may continue to examine the detained person before contact with their Consul has been achieved. The examining officer may also continue to examine the detained person if the consulate has been notified. Finally,**

<sup>20</sup> 'Ground' here refers to the power under which they are detained and the purpose of the power.

the examining officer may continue the questioning if the Consul is not available to communicate directly with the detained person. In all of these circumstances, the individual must comply with their duties under Schedule 7.

101. Where the detained person is a citizen of a country with which a bilateral consular convention or agreement<sup>21</sup> is in force requiring notification of their detention, the detained person must be informed that notification of their detention will be sent to the appropriate High Commission, Embassy or Consulate as soon as practicable, whether he or she request it or not, and such notification must be so given. If the detained person has dual or multiple nationalities, this will require both (or all) countries to be informed. Consular officers may, if the detained person agrees, visit the detained person and, if required, arrange legal advice.
102. Notwithstanding the provisions of consular conventions, if the detained person claims that they are a refugee or have applied, or intend to apply, for asylum status in the UK, the examining officer must ensure that UK Visas and Immigration (UKVI) is informed as soon as practicable. UKVI will then determine whether compliance with the relevant international obligations requires notification of the detention to be sent and will inform the examining officer as to what action is required.

### Access to healthcare and the examination period

103. The examining officer must continue to consider the person's welfare in accordance with paragraph 46 of this Code. Where a person detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 is removed to hospital because the person needs medical treatment, the period of travel to and from hospital and time spent in hospital is not to be included in calculating the 6 hour examination period. Any time, however, during which the person is being questioned under paragraph 2 or 3 in hospital, or on the way there or back is to be included in calculating the 6 hour examination period (see paragraph 6A3A of Schedule 7). Where a person is removed to hospital for medical treatment, the examining officer must make a written record, clearly indicating when the examination was postponed and restarted. Children and other vulnerable people

### Children and other vulnerable people

104. Special care must be taken when considering whether to examine someone, where it is evident that the person is a child.<sup>22</sup>

<sup>21</sup> Consular Convention or Agreement: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/table-of-consular-conventions-and-mandatory-notification-obligations> and <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/foreign-embassies-in-the-uk>.

<sup>22</sup> A child means anyone who appears to be under the age of 18 in the absence of clear evidence that he or she is older.

105. Examining officers must bear in mind that children can be easily intimidated when examined especially if they are travelling alone. It is also important to bear in mind that children can be vulnerable to exploitation by adults wishing to further aims relating to terrorism. Examining officers are not precluded from examining or detaining children but must do so only where they consider it to be absolutely necessary, for the purpose of allowing a determination to be made as to whether the child may be involved in some way, wittingly or otherwise, in the commission, preparation or instigation of an act of terrorism. The examining officer must consider it necessary for the best interest of the child or in the interests of the public to question that child.
106. A child travelling alone must not be questioned in the absence of a responsible adult (for example a parent, guardian, teacher or social worker) unless there are exceptional reasons. If there are such reasons, the examining officer must record these in writing.
107. A child travelling with a responsible adult over 18 must be questioned in the presence of that responsible adult unless that person is thought to be exerting influence or pressure which could be detrimental to the child's interest, or is obstructive to the achievement of the purpose of the examination or the child objects to the presence of this individual. If the examining officer decides to question the child in the absence of the responsible adult with whom that child is travelling, the reasons for taking that decision must be recorded.
108. If questioning under detention is considered necessary, it must only take place in the presence of an adult who can represent the child's interests. This should be a parent, a guardian, or (if the child is in care) a representative of the care authority or voluntary organisation. In the absence of any of the above, a social worker, or an adult who is not a police, immigration, customs or border enforcement officer (or a person employed by those organisations), can act in this capacity. If the adult present during the questioning feels the need to consult a solicitor on the child's behalf they can do so.
109. The term 'in care' is used in this Code to cover all cases in which a child is 'looked after' by a local authority under the terms of the Children Act 1989, the Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 or the Children (Scotland) Act 1995.
110. These principles apply to other vulnerable people such as those who have a mental disorder. 'Mental disorder' is a generic term which has the meaning given to it in section 1(2) of the Mental Health Act 1983 as amended by the Mental Health Act 2007 – that is, any disorder or disability of mind. In Scotland, the relevant definition of "mental disorder" is contained in section 328 of the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003, which is defined as "mental illness, personality disorder; or, learning disability". In Northern Ireland, the relevant definition of "mental disorder" is contained in article 3(1) of the Mental Health (Northern Ireland) Order 1986 and contains reference to "mental handicap", which is defined as "a state of arrested or incomplete development of mind which includes significant impairment of intelligence and social functioning".

## Strip Search

111. A strip search is a search involving the removal of more than outer clothing. This search power does not extend to requiring a person to undergo an intimate search (searching a person's body orifice other than the mouth). Strip searches must not be undertaken routinely and can only be conducted when a person has been detained. A strip search at a port or police station may only take place where an examining officer has reasonable grounds to suspect that a person has concealed something which may be evidence that the person is a person who appears to be or to have been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism. The search must be authorised by an officer of at least one rank higher than the examining officer and who has not been directly involved in the questioning of the person.
112. Strip searches may also be conducted under other powers where appropriate, for example where there is a risk of serious harm to a person or there is reason to believe that evidence of an offence is in imminent danger of being destroyed.
113. The following procedures must be observed when strip searches are conducted:
  - a. An officer carrying out a strip search must be of the same sex as the person searched. For guidance on dealing with persons who are transgender (including transsexual or transvestite) see paragraph 57 of this Code;
  - b. The search must take place in an area where the person being searched cannot be seen by anyone who does not need to be present, nor by a member of the opposite sex (except an appropriate adult whose presence has been specifically requested by the person being searched);
  - c. Except in cases of urgency, where the examining officer believes there is a risk of serious harm to the person being searched or to others, or whenever a strip search involves exposure of intimate parts of the body, there must be at least two people present other than the person being searched, and if the search is of a child or an otherwise vulnerable person (as defined in relevant legislation referred to in paragraphs 101-107), a responsible adult must also be present;
  - d. Except in urgent cases as above, a strip search of a child may take place in the absence of the responsible adult or with a different responsible adult present, only if the child signifies in the presence of the responsible adult that he or she prefers the search to be done either in the responsible adult's absence, or in the presence of a different responsible adult, provided that the responsible adult whose presence is not wanted agrees to be absent (and the responsible adult who is asked to attend agrees). A record must be made of the child's decision and signed by the responsible adult any other responsible adult asked to attend. The presence of more than two people,

other than a responsible adult must be permitted only in the most exceptional circumstances;

- e. The search must be conducted with the proper regard to the sensitivity and vulnerability of the person concerned in these circumstances, and every reasonable effort must be made to secure the person's co-operation and minimise embarrassment. Persons who are searched must not, in the absence of exceptional circumstances, be required to have all their clothes removed at the same time, for example, a person should be allowed to put on their upper garments and then remove lower garments before further clothing is removed;
  - f. Where necessary to assist the search, the person may be required to hold his or her arms in the air or to stand with his or her legs apart and to bend forward so that a visual examinations may be made of the genital and anal areas, provided that no physical contact is made with any bodily orifice;
  - g. If, during the search, articles are found, the person may be asked to hand them over; and
  - h. A strip search must be conducted as quickly as possible and the person allowed to dress as soon as the procedure is complete.
114. A record must be made of a strip search, including those present, the identity of the examining officer and the authorising officer, why it was considered necessary and the outcome of the search. The above provisions also apply to any person authorised under paragraph 10 of Schedule 7 by an examining officer to carry out a search on the officer's behalf.

## Reviews of Detention

115. A person who has been detained for examination under Schedule 7 must have his or her continued detention reviewed before the end of the period of one hour from the start of the detention. Subsequent reviews of detention must take place at intervals of no more than two hours from the conclusion of the previous review. The reviewing officer who carries out the first review may also carry out subsequent reviews.
116. Where a person detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 is removed to hospital because he or she needs medical treatment and the detention clock has been paused under paragraph 6A(3A) of Schedule 7, the review clock will also pause. For the purposes of calculating when a review under paragraph 20K of Schedule 8 is required, any time during which the person is being questioned under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 in hospital or on the way there or back is to be included. Any other time when the person is in hospital or on the way there or back is not to be included.



117. Where a person who was initially detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 has been further detained under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 for counter-hostile activity purposes, or vice versa, the review clock will continue to be calculated in accordance with the first detention.
118. The review officer must:
- a. Be accredited to a nationally recognised standard;<sup>23</sup>
  - b. Not be directly involved in the questioning of the individual. The review officer may have been involved in carrying out initial background checks or in supervising the examining officer but will not be involved in the questioning under examination. The review officer may speak to the individual during the period of the examination, for example where the person wishes to make representations; and
  - c. Be of at least one rank higher than the examining officer. When this Code refers to an officer of higher rank, this includes an officer duly authorised in an acting capacity to perform the functions of the higher rank.
119. The review officer must consider whether:
- a. The continued detention is necessary to enable the examining officer to obtain sufficient information for the purpose of allowing a determination to be made as to:
  - b. Whether the person appears to be a person who is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism;
  - c. The examination is being conducted diligently and expeditiously;
  - d. The person being examined is aware of his or her duties and rights under paragraphs 6 and 7, or 16, of Schedule 8, and if any rights have been requested to be exercised, the degree to which those requests have been satisfied (or otherwise); and
  - e. Reasonable steps have been taken to satisfy the welfare needs of the person.
120. A review may be carried out in person or remotely at the discretion of the review officer. The review officer must give the detained person or their solicitor an opportunity to make representations, but this should not be allowed to delay the review within the specified time limits. The review officer is required to inform the detained person of the result of the review. This should be done as soon as practicable but need not happen prior to end of the one hour period from the point of detention, or the subsequent two hour periods from the point of the previous

---

<sup>23</sup> For further information please see Annex B.

review. The person must, however, be informed of the result of the review before the recommencement of any interview.

121. Where a review officer authorises continued detention, the officer must inform the detainee of any of the detainee's rights which have not yet been exercised. There is no requirement for the officer to inform the detainee of rights that have already been exercised or are in the process of being exercised.
122. There is no requirement to conduct a review of detention where the period of examination following detention lasts less than one hour (this does not include the time under examination prior to detention). A further review of detention must take place before the end of a period of two hours from the conclusion of the first review. Subsequent reviews of detention must take place at intervals of no more than two hours from the conclusion of the previous review.
123. A review officer carrying out a review must make a written record of the outcome of the review, including, where applicable:
  - a. The fact that the officer is satisfied that continued detention is necessary for the purposes of exercising a power under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7;
  - b. The fact that the detained person has been informed of any rights under paragraphs 6 or 7 (or 16 if the detention is in Scotland) of Schedule 8<sup>24</sup> which have not yet been exercised, and if the exercise of any of those rights is being delayed in accordance with paragraphs 7A, 8, 16 and 16A of Schedule 8; and
  - c. Where exercise of a right under paragraph 6, 7 or 16 of Schedule 8 is being delayed, whether the reason(s) for the delay continue to apply.
124. If the review officer is of the opinion that the reason(s) have ceased to apply, he or she must inform the officer who authorised the delay of that opinion, unless the review officer was that officer. The officer who authorised the delay, or another officer of at least the rank of superintendent, must then review the need for the delay to continue.
125. Records of reviews must be made by the review officer as soon as reasonably practicable and must be kept for statistical or reference purposes for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the powers can be made.

## Identity, biometrics and photographs

126. Once a person has been detained an examining officer can take any steps which are reasonably necessary for photographing, measuring or identifying the detained person without the need for the person's consent, but this does not include the taking of fingerprints, intimate samples or non-intimate samples. Methods of

<sup>24</sup> The rights to inform another person of the detention and to consult a solicitor.



photographing, measuring or identifying, which do not require consent, may involve the use of reasonable force if necessary and appropriate, and include photographing, measuring or examining any marks, scars, tattoos or other physical features which may assist in establishing the identity of that person. This may include verifying that a person is not a particular person.

## Non-intimate samples and fingerprints

### *England, Wales and Northern Ireland*

127. Under paragraphs 10 to 13 and 15 of Schedule 8 for persons detained at a port or a police station in England, Wales or Northern Ireland, fingerprints or non-intimate samples (e.g. a DNA mouth swab) may be taken by a constable:
  - a. With the person's consent in writing; or
  - b. Without the person's consent in writing if the person has been convicted of a recordable offence<sup>25</sup> and, where a non-intimate sample is to be taken, they were convicted of the offence on or after 10th April 1995 (or 29th July 1996 where the non-intimate sample is to be taken in Northern Ireland); as long as the officer is satisfied that it is necessary to do so in order to assist in determining whether the person is or has been involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism or that it will assist in ascertaining the person's identity.
128. Fingerprints or non-intimate samples (e.g. a DNA mouth swab) may also be taken by a constable without the person's consent where they are detained at a police station and a police officer of at least the rank of superintendent authorises the fingerprints or sample to be taken.
129. Such authority can only be given if the authorising officer is satisfied that the taking of the fingerprints or sample is necessary to assist in determining whether the detained person appears to be someone who is or has been involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism or, in the case of fingerprints only, that the fingerprints will assist in ascertaining the person's identity where the person has refused to identify themselves or where the officer has reasonable grounds for suspecting that that person is not who they claim to be. If this authority is given verbally it must be confirmed in writing as soon as is reasonably practicable.
130. The powers to take non-intimate samples and fingerprints must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. Samples must be managed in compliance with the requirements of paragraph 20A to 20J of Schedule 8. There is

<sup>25</sup> 'Conviction' in this case does not include cautions reprimands or warnings. 'Recordable Offence' means any offence punishable with imprisonment, as well as any specified offence listed in the Schedule of The National Police Records (Recordable Offences) Regulations 2000.

no power to take intimate samples<sup>26</sup> under Schedule 7 whether by consent or otherwise.

### **Scotland**

131. Under paragraph 20 of Schedule 8, for persons detained at a police station in Scotland, fingerprints or non-intimate samples (e.g. a DNA mouth swab) may be taken by a constable as long as he is satisfied that it is necessary to do so in order to assist in determining whether the person is or has been involved in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism; or, in the case of fingerprints only, that the fingerprints will assist in ascertaining the person's identity where the person has refused to identify themselves or where the officer has reasonable grounds for suspecting that that person is not who they claim to be.
132. Samples must be managed in compliance with the requirements of paragraph 20A to 20J of Schedule 8. There is no power to take intimate samples under Schedule 7 whether by consent or otherwise.

## **Recording of interviews of persons detained under Schedule 7**

133. Where a person is detained under Schedule 7 at a police station in England, Wales or Scotland, the interview must be conducted in accordance with the separate Code of Practice for the video recording with sound of interviews under the Act.
134. Where a person is detained at a port, and suitable audio recording facilities are readily available, the examining officer must record the interview, unless the person willingly expresses a wish not to have it recorded. In such a situation, the recording of the interview will be at the examining officer's discretion. Where such audio recording facilities are available but recording of an interview does not take place, the examining officer must record the reason why.
135. Any such audio recordings are not made for evidential purposes but can be used for policing purposes, or in the case of a complaint, and this must be explained to the person.
136. Forces must make arrangements for the recording to be held securely for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the power can be made and managed in accordance with the Management of Police Information guidance.

<sup>26</sup> As defined by section 65 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

## 5. Examination of Goods

### What goods can be examined?

137. An examining officer, or a person designated under paragraph 10 of Schedule 7, may only examine goods for the purpose of determining whether they have been used in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of “terrorism” as defined in section 1 of the Terrorism Act 2000. The examination must not be undertaken for any other purpose.
138. “Goods” includes property of any description and containers. This includes both non-postal and postal items, whether unaccompanied or in the possession of a person.

### Where Schedule 7 powers can be exercised in relation to goods

139. The power to examine goods under paragraph 9 of Schedule 7 may be exercised:
- a. In respect of goods which have arrived in or about to leave Great Britain or Northern Ireland on a ship, vehicle or international train.<sup>27</sup>
  - b. In respect of goods which have arrived at or are about to leave any place in Great Britain or Northern Ireland on an aircraft (whether the place they have come from or are going to is within or outside Great Britain or Northern Ireland).
140. Examination of goods may be carried out only:
- a. at a port;
  - b. at premises operated by a sea cargo agent or an air cargo agent;
  - c. at a temporary storage facility;
  - d. at a location designated by the Secretary of State.

---

<sup>27</sup> The Channel Tunnel (International Arrangements) Order 1993 provides that examining officers can exercise Schedule 7 powers in a railway station or other place where persons embark or disembark, or goods are loaded or unloaded, on or from a through train or shuttle train and in the tunnel system.

141. In order to determine whether to examine goods under paragraph 9, examining officers are permitted to:

- a. board a ship or aircraft;
- b. enter a vehicle;
- c. enter premises operated by a sea cargo agent or an air cargo agent;
- d. enter a temporary storage facility; and
- e. enter a designated examination location.

Wherever possible, reasonable efforts should be made to obtain the permission of the owner or occupier before boarding and/or entering.

## Selection Criteria

142. Although the selection of goods for examination is not conditional upon the examining officer having grounds to suspect that the goods have been used in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism, the decision to select goods for examination must not be arbitrary. An examining officer's decision to select goods for examination must be informed by the threat from terrorism to the United Kingdom and its interests posed by the various terrorist groups, networks and individuals active in and outside the United Kingdom.

143. It is not appropriate for race, ethnic background, religion and/or other "protected characteristics" (whether separately or together) to be used as criteria for selection except to the extent that they are used in association with considerations that relate to the threat from terrorism. Considerations that relate to the threat from terrorism include factors such as, but not exclusively:

- a. Known and suspected sources of terrorism;
- b. Person's or groups whose current or past involvement in acts or threats of terrorism is known or suspected, and suspected or known supporters or sponsors of such activity and supporters or sponsors of such activity who are known or suspected;
- c. Any information on the origins and/or location of terrorist groups;
- d. Possible current, emerging and future terrorist activity;
- e. The means and documentation by which the goods have travelled and the route of travel that the goods have taken;

- f. Patterns of travel through specific ports or in the wider vicinity that may be linked to terrorist activity; or appear unusual for the intended destination;
- g. The physical appearance of the goods; and/or
- h. Referrals made to examining officers by other security, transport or enforcement bodies.

## Examination

### Conducting an examination

- 144. If goods that are selected for examination are unaccompanied, the examining officer must apply a notice to the outside of the goods, or enclose a notice within the goods, once the examination has been completed to indicate that the goods have been examined. The notice will identify the police force that has examined the goods, and will give a unique reference number for the examination. This must allow the identification of the examining officer who carried out the examination in the event of a query or complaint. The procedure for making a complaint about the examination of goods is the same as that relating to complaints about the examination of an individual, and is set out in section 7 of this Code.
- 145. After examining goods, an examining officer will also enclose a Goods Information Leaflet with the goods. The Goods Information Leaflet is available in multiple languages, and outlines the purpose and provisions of Schedule 7, obligations under Schedule 7, and relevant contact details (including those needed to provide feedback or make a complaint).
- 146. Examining officers must make every reasonable effort to exercise the power in such a way as to minimise causing embarrassment or offence to the sender or recipient of the goods or a person carrying the goods, and must not examine goods for longer than is necessary.

### Treatment of 'protected material'

- 147. If before an examining officer examines or copies any goods, or during the course of such examination, the officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the goods consist of or include protected material, the examining officer must apply the same principles to the treatment of those items as outlined in Section 3 of this Code ('treatment of protected material').

### Records of examinations

- 148. Records of all examinations of goods must be kept for statistical or reference purposes for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the

powers can be made. Records must include, as a minimum, the date, time and place of the examination, brief details of the item examined, the name of the person to whom the goods belong, any damage caused during the examination, and the identification number of the examining officer. Records of all examinations will be managed in accordance with Management of Police Information guidance, General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018.

DRAFT

## 6. Carding

149. Under paragraph 16 of Schedule 7, an examining officer may require a person to complete and produce an embarkation or landing card,<sup>28</sup> containing such information as required by virtue of the Terrorism Act 2000 (Carding) Order 2001.
150. An examining officer may make the requirement at any time to a person who falls within paragraph 16(3) of Schedule 7.
151. The requirement to complete and produce a landing or embarkation card is independent from any other power exercised, or duty or obligation imposed, under Schedule 7, for example the power to question or examine a person under paragraph 2 of Schedule 7.

---

<sup>28</sup> See Annex C.

## 7. Complaints

Complaints about the conduct of examining officers, treatment of an individual during an examination, or by individuals who have sent or received goods which have been examined must be directed to:

- **The Chief Officer of the force responsible for the port/airport where the person has been examined/detained where the examining officer is a police officer;** or
- **Independent Office for Police Conduct**  
Address: The Independent Office for Police Conduct  
PO Box 473, Sale, M33 0BW  
Phone: 0300 020 0096 (09:00-17:00)  
Email: [enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk](mailto:enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk); or
- **Police Investigations and Review Commissioner for Scotland**  
Address: Hamilton House, Hamilton Business Park, Caird Park,  
Hamilton, ML3 0QA  
Phone: 01698 542900 (09:00-16:45)  
Email: [enquiries@pirc.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:enquiries@pirc.gsi.gov.uk); or
- **Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland**  
Address: The Police Ombudsman Office, New Cathedral  
Buildings, Writers' Square, 11 Church Street, Belfast, BT1 1PG  
Phone: 03001232989  
Email: [complaints@policeombudsman.org](mailto:complaints@policeombudsman.org); or
- **Where the examining officer is an immigration or customs officer:**  
Address: Complaints Allocations Hub, Central point of receipt  
7th Floor, Lunar House, 40 Wellesley Road,  
Croydon CR9 2BY  
Email: [complaints@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:complaints@homeoffice.gov.uk)



# ANNEX A: Notice of Detention under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000

To.....

You have been detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 ("Schedule 7"). This is so that an Examining Officer may exercise his or her powers under that Schedule for the purpose either of determining whether you appear to be a person who is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of acts of terrorism or for the purpose of determining whether your presence in the border area is connected with your entering or leaving Northern Ireland. **You will only be questioned to determine if your presence in the border area is connected with your entering or leaving Northern Ireland in order to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 2(2) to Schedule 7.** You will not be examined under this power for more than 6 hours beginning with the time you were first questioned under Schedule 7. You are not under criminal investigation and are not under arrest on suspicion of having committed an offence. For this reason, you are not being issued with a caution and you do not have the right to remain silent. Should the circumstances change during the course of the examination you will be notified.

## Your duties

Whilst being examined you must:

- a. Answer questions put to you by the examining officer;
- b. Give the examining officer any information in your possession which the officer requests (including the PINs and passcodes to any electronic devices in your possession);
- c. Give the examining officer on request either a valid passport which includes a photograph, or another document which establishes your identity;
- d. Declare whether you have with you documents of a kind specified by the examining officer;

- e. Give the examining officer on request any document which you have with you and is of a kind specified by the officer;
- f. Complete and hand to the officer an arrival or embarkation card if you have been required to do so;
- g. Co-operate with any search of your person or property.

If you wilfully fail to comply with any of these duties, wilfully contravene a prohibition under or by virtue of Schedule 7 or wilfully obstruct or seek to frustrate a search or examination conducted under Schedule 7, you could be prosecuted for a criminal offence under paragraph 18.

During your detention, an examining officer can take any steps which are reasonably necessary for photographing, measuring or identifying you with or without your consent.

If you are detained in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, a police officer can also take your fingerprints and a non-intimate sample either with your consent or without it if you: (i) have been convicted of a previous recordable offence; or (ii) are detained at a police station and the taking has been authorised by a police officer of at least the rank of superintendent. If you are detained at a police station in Scotland, a police officer can take your fingerprints and a nonintimate sample, either with or without your consent.

Any answer or information that you provide orally in response to a question asked during the examination cannot be used as evidence in criminal proceedings. This, however, will not apply where you are prosecuted for wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed by Schedule 7, willingly contravening a prohibition under or by virtue of the Schedule, or wilfully obstructing or seeking to frustrate a search or examination under the Schedule, or where you are prosecuted for perjury.

It will also be possible for oral answers given in response to questions put to you in a Schedule 7 examination to be used as evidence in a situation where you have been prosecuted for an offence other than those mentioned above where, in giving evidence, you make a statement that is inconsistent with that oral answer or information given. In such a situation, your statement can only be used as evidence where you or your lawyer first adduces it as evidence, or asks a question relating to it, in your defence.

## Your rights:

### Do you want someone informed?

You may, if you wish, have a friend, a relative or a person who is known to you, or who is likely to take an interest in your welfare, informed that you are being detained. **In certain circumstances, under paragraph 8 of Schedule 8 to the Terrorism Act 2000 (or paragraph 16 of Schedule 8 in Scotland), an officer of at least the rank of superintendent may delay the exercise of this right.**

Do you want to consult a solicitor?

You may wish to consult a solicitor privately, whether in person or by telephone. This will be at public expense subject to legal aid. You may not be questioned until you have consulted a solicitor (or have communicated that you no longer wish to do so) unless the examining officer reasonably believes that postponing questioning would be likely to prejudice the determination of the matters to be established by the examination. If you do not wish to consult a solicitor now, you may do so later and at any time while you are detained. **In certain circumstances, under paragraph 8 of Schedule 8 to the Terrorism Act 2000, or paragraph 16 of Schedule 8 in Scotland, an officer of at least the rank of superintendent may delay this right.**

If you do not have details of a solicitor, details of an independent solicitor can be supplied to you.

**Do you want to contact your Consul?**

If you are detained and a citizen of a Commonwealth country or a national of a foreign country you may request access to your Consul. **You may also** request that your High Commission, Embassy or Consulate be told of your whereabouts and the ground for your detention. **If you do, this** request will be acted upon as soon as practicable. The exercise of this right may not be interfered with. **You may be questioned before contact with your Consul has been achieved and must still comply with your duties under Schedule 7 (as set out above).**

Additionally, if you are a citizen of a country with which the United Kingdom has a bilateral consular convention or agreement in force requiring notification of the detention of its citizens, notification of your detention will be sent to the appropriate High Commission, Embassy or Consulate as soon as practicable, whether you request it or not. Consular officers may, if you agree, visit you to talk with you, and if required, arrange legal advice. Such legal advice will take place out of the hearing of a police officer.

**Notice of Detention**

Served on Day: ..... date: ..... at: ..... hours By (Warrant No):.....

Witnessed by (Warrant No):

.....

Signature of detained person:

.....

Witnessed by: (Interpreter/Appropriate Adult/Solicitor):

.....

DRAFT

# ANNEX B: Training and Accreditation of examining officers and review officers under Schedules 7 and 8 to the Terrorism Act 2000

## ACCREDITATION OF EXAMINING OFFICERS

### Requirement for Code of Practice

1. The Secretary of State is required to issue a Code of Practice about training to be undertaken by constables, immigration officers and customs officers who are to act as examining officers or exercise other functions under Schedule 7 and the procedure for making designations under paragraph 1(1)(b) and (c) of Schedule 7. This annex satisfies that requirement.
2. The Code must make provisions for consultation with the relevant Chief Officer of police before designations are made under paragraph 1(1)(b) or (c) of Schedule 7.

### Requirement for training and accreditation

3. Any police, immigration or customs officer who is to use Schedule 7 powers unsupervised must have received training and accreditation in the exercise of those functions.<sup>29</sup>

### Training and accreditation standards

4. Training standards and the accreditation programme will be set by and governed by the College of Policing on behalf of the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC) (or their successors).
5. The training and accreditation of examining officers must be a national programme delivered at a local level by a force or a group of regional forces. This approach gives individual forces or regional areas the responsibility and flexibility to deliver training and accreditation to suit their local needs, although the core elements of competence to practice as an examining officer will be a mandatory requirement.

---

<sup>29</sup> See Section 1, paragraph 11 of this Code of Practice.

## Training and accreditation for an examining officer

6. All examining officers must undertake a nationally approved programme, which must include a period of training and a pass/fail examination. On successful completion of the training and examination, officers must be accredited by their relevant chief officer, Director General or Commissioner (as appropriate).

## Accreditation of police officers as examining officers

7. The accreditation training and testing programme is periodically updated and delivered nationally.

## Accreditation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers

8. A customs officer may not act as an examining officer unless that officer has been designated by both the Secretary of State and the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs.
9. An immigration officer may not act as an examining officer unless that officer has been designated by the Secretary of State.
10. In order to exercise Schedule 7 powers, an immigration or customs officer must have been accredited, unless authorised by an examining officer to exercise powers under paragraphs 7-9 of Schedule 7. The process of attaining such accreditation will be similar to that for accrediting police officers as examining officers and will include a period of training followed by a pass/fail examination. The Chief Officer of the police force area where the immigration or customs officer will operate must have been consulted and in agreement with the process used for testing competency and the proposal to accredit.

## Designation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers

11. An immigration or customs officer can only exercise functions under Schedule 7 where the officer has been designated by the Secretary of State and the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs, as required by Schedule 7.
12. Before considering whether to designate immigration or customs officers, the Secretary of State (or the Secretary of State and the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs in relation to customs officers) must consult the Chief Officer of the police area in which it is proposed to designate immigration or customs officers to be examining officers.
13. The purpose of the consultation is for the Home Secretary (and the Commissioners as applicable) to ascertain whether in the view of the Chief Constable there are exceptional circumstances which necessitate the urgent deployment of immigration or customs officers to be examining officers at ports in their area.

14. The Home Secretary and Commissioners may exercise their discretions to designate immigration or customs officers where they believe that there is an urgent need for immigration and customs officers to act as examining officers because there is an exceptional threat level to the public which requires additional resourcing beyond that which can be provided by the police acting alone.
15. The Home Secretary and Commissioners will only designate immigration and customs officers where they have successfully undertaken training in the use of the Schedule 7 powers and been accredited by the Director General (DG) of Border Force.
16. The Home Secretary will notify the Director General of Border Force of the name and employee number of each individual immigration or customs officer who has been designated.

### Two year cycle of accreditation

17. To continue to use the Schedule 7 powers, examining officers must be reaccredited at least every two years. The re-accreditation process will consist of a refresher course followed by a pass/fail multiple choice examination. If an individual fails the examination they will not be able to act as an examining officer until they have successfully completed the accreditation Annex B process or unless supervised by an accredited examining officer for the purposes of paragraphs 7 to 9 of Schedule 7. The scope for re-training and testing will be as agreed between NPCC and appropriate stakeholders.

### Assessment of ongoing competence

18. Ongoing competence in the use of Schedule 7 powers will be assessed during the two year period following accreditation at a force level through local staff management and performance monitoring processes. Any necessary remedial action will be taken in line with individual force policy and procedure.
19. NPCC will issue advice to forces on methods of assessing on-going competence for the examining officer role. Local forces are responsible for ensuring that the performance of their officers continues to meet the standards set by the training and accreditation process. They are also responsible for ensuring remedial steps are taken to address the performance standards of any officer that is not meeting those standards.

## ACCREDITATION OF REVIEW OFFICERS

### Requirement for Code of Practice

20. The Secretary of State is required to issue a Code of Practice about the provision of training to be undertaken by persons who are to act as review officers. This annex satisfies that requirement.

### Requirement for training and accreditation

21. Review officers are required to periodically review the need for continued detention of people detained under Schedule 7. Only accredited review officers may perform this function. In order to be accredited, prospective review officers must receive training. Training will only be provided to appropriate supervisory police officers, including those likely to perform the role in an acting capacity<sup>30</sup>.

### Training and accreditation standards

22. Training standards will be set and governed by the College of Policing on behalf of NPCC (or their successors).
23. The training and accreditation of review officers will be a national programme delivered at a local level by a force or a group of regional forces. This approach gives individual forces or regional areas the responsibility and flexibility to deliver training and accreditation to suit their local needs, although the core elements of competence to practice as a review officer will be a mandatory requirement.

### Training and accreditation for a review officer

24. All review officers will undertake a nationally approved programme, which will include a period of training and a pass/fail examination. On successful completion of the training and examination, officers will be accredited by their appropriate Chief Officer.

### Accreditation of police officers as review officers

25. The accreditation training and testing programme is periodically updated and delivered nationally.

---

<sup>30</sup> An officer in an acting role must be an accredited Examining Officer and accredited Review Officer. They must also hold a current OSPRE Part 1 / NPPF Step 2 qualification. The Review Officer must be one rank above the officer carrying out the Schedule 7 examination. The officer acting up will be in this rank for a specific period of time. If no such officer is available, then an alternative Review Officer would be sought from another location.



## Designation and accreditation of immigration and customs officers as review officers

26. An immigration or customs officer can only exercise functions under Schedule 7 where the officer has been designated by the Secretary of State (or, in the case of customs officers, by the Secretary of State and the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs), as required by Schedule 7.
27. In order to be eligible for designation, a customs officer or immigration officer must have been accredited. The process of attaining such accreditation will be similar to that for accrediting police officers as review officers and will include a period of training followed by a pass/fail examination. The Chief Officer of the police force area where the immigration or customs officer will operate must have been consulted and in agreement with the process used for testing competency and the proposal to accredit.
28. Before considering whether to designate immigration officers or customs officers, the Secretary of State (or the Secretary of State and Commissioners in the case of customs officers) must consult the Chief Officer of the police area in which it is proposed to designate immigration or customs officers to be review officers.
29. The Home Secretary and Commissioners will only designate immigration and customs officers where they have successfully undertaken training in the use of the Schedule 7 powers and been accredited by the Director General (DG) of Border Force.
30. The Home Secretary will notify the Director General of Border Force of the name and employee number of each individual Immigration or Customs Officer who has been designated.

## Two year cycle of accreditation

31. To continue to use the Schedule 7 powers, examining officers must be re-accredited at least every two years. The re-accreditation process will consist of a refresher course followed by a pass/fail multiple choice examination. If an individual fails the examination they will not be able to act as a review officer until they have successfully completed the re-accreditation process. The scope for re-training and testing will be as agreed between NPCC and appropriate stakeholders.

## Assessment of ongoing competence

32. Ongoing competence in the role of review officer will be assessed during the two year period following accreditation at a force level through local staff management and performance monitoring processes. Any necessary remedial action will be taken in line with individual force policy and procedure.

33. NPCC will issue advice to forces on methods of assessing on-going competence for the review officer role. Local forces are responsible for ensuring that the performance of their officers continues to meet the standards set by the training and accreditation process. They are also responsible for ensuring remedial steps are taken to address the performance standards of any officer that is not meeting those standards.

DRAFT

# ANNEX C: Carding

## PART 1 LANDING CARD

Please complete in BLOCK letters

Surname (Mr/Mrs/Miss\*) .....

Maiden Name (if applicable) .....

Forenames .....

Nationality/Citizenship\* ..... Date & Place of Birth .....

Home Address .....

Address Visiting .....

Purpose of Visit .....

Occupation ..... Employer .....

Date ..... Signature .....

*\*Delete as appropriate*

### Note

Under paragraph 16 of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000, if required to do so by an examining officer, you are required to complete this Landing Card and hand it to the officer. Under paragraph 18 of Schedule 7 it is an offence wilfully to fail to comply with this requirement.

## PART 2 EMBARKATION CARD

Please complete in BLOCK letters

Surname (Mr/Mrs/Miss\*) .....

Maiden Name (if applicable) .....

Forenames .....

Nationality/Citizenship\* ..... Date & Place of Birth .....

Home Address .....

Address Visiting .....

Purpose of Visit .....

Occupation ..... Employer .....

Date ..... Signature .....

*\*Delete as appropriate*

### Note

Under paragraph 16 of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000, if required to do so by an examining officer, you are required to complete this Embarkation Card and hand it to the officer. Under paragraph 18 of Schedule 7 it is an offence wilfully to fail to comply with this requirement.

DRAFT



Home Office

# **Examining Officers and Review Officers under Schedule 3 to the Counter- Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019**

Code of Practice DRAFT



© Crown copyright 2025

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit [nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3](https://nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3) or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: [psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk).

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/codes-of-practice-for-officers-using-examination-powers-at-ports>

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at [public.enquiries@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:public.enquiries@homeoffice.gov.uk).

# Contents

Contents	1
1. Introduction	4
General	4
Training and use of the powers	6
Police officers	6
Immigration and customs officers	6
Use of the power in emergencies	7
Law enforcement engagement with the public	8
2. Identifying Persons for Examination	10
Who can be examined?	10
Where Schedule 3 powers can be exercised	11
Selection Criteria	11
3. Examination of Persons	13
Commencing an examination	13
Conducting an examination	13
Duties under examination	14
Rights under examination	15
Welfare considerations during examination	16
Production of information	17
Searches	17
Retention of an article	19
Retention of an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) and (e) – non-urgent conditions	20
Retention of an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) – urgency condition	23
Making copies of an article	26
Treatment of confidential material	27
Cases in which confidential material is not to be examined	27
Retention of an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) that consists of or includes confidential material	30

Retention of copies under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e) that consist of or include confidential material – non-urgent conditions	31
Confidential Business Material	37
Records of examinations	37
4. Detention	38
When can a person be detained under Schedule 3?	38
Duties of the person being examined when under detention	39
Rights of the person being examined when under detention	40
Right to access a solicitor in private	40
Other rights under detention	43
Access to healthcare and the examination period	44
Children and other vulnerable people	45
Strip Search	46
Reviews of Detention	47
Identity, biometrics and photographs	50
Recording of interviews of persons detained under Schedule 3	51
5. Video recording with sound of interviews of persons detained under Schedule 3 at a police station	53
General	53
Interviews to be recorded with sound	53
Recording and sealing of master recordings	55
The Interview	55
After the interview	58
Media security	58
Recording of interviews by Secure Digital Network	60
6. Examination of Goods	63
What goods can be examined?	63
Where Schedule 3 powers can be exercised in relation to goods	63
Selection Criteria	64
Examination	65
Conducting an examination	65
Treatment of confidential material	65
Records of examinations	65



7. Complaints	67
Annex A: Notice of Detention under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019	68
Your duties	68
Your rights:	69
Annex B: Training and Accreditation of examining officers and review officers under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019.	72
ACCREDITATION OF EXAMINING OFFICERS	72
Requirement for Code of Practice	72
Requirement for training and accreditation	72
Training and accreditation standards	72
Training and accreditation for an examining officer	72
Accreditation of police officers as examining officers	73
Accreditation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers	73
Designation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers	73
Two year cycle of accreditation	73
Assessment of ongoing competence	74
ACCREDITATION OF REVIEW OFFICERS	74
Requirement for Code of Practice	74
Requirement for training and accreditation	74
Training and accreditation standards	74
Training and accreditation for a review officer	75
Accreditation of police officers and review officers	75
Two-year cycle of accreditation	75
Assessment of ongoing competence	75
Annex C: Hostile Activity	76
Espionage	77
Cyber Attack/ Sabotage	77
Murder/ Kidnap	78
Disinformation	78

# 1. Introduction

## General

1. Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 (“Schedule 3”), allows an examining officer to stop, question, search and detain a person at a port or the border area in Northern Ireland for the purpose of determining whether the person appears to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity. It also allows for the examination of goods for the purpose of determining whether they have been used in connection with a person’s engagement in hostile activity.
2. A person is or has been engaged in hostile activity for the purposes of Schedule 3 if the person is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of a hostile act that is or may be (a) carried out for, or on behalf of, a State other than the United Kingdom, or (b) otherwise in the interests of a State other than the United Kingdom. An act is a “hostile act” if it (a) threatens national security (b) threatens the economic well-being of the United Kingdom in a way that is relevant to the interests of national security, or (c) is an act of serious crime. It is immaterial whether a person is aware that activity in which they are or have been engaged is hostile activity, or whether a State for or on behalf of which, or in the interests of which, a hostile act is carried out has instigated, sanctioned, or is otherwise aware of, the carrying out of the act.
3. This Code of Practice is issued under paragraph 56 of Schedule 3. It applies to: (i) training to be undertaken by constables, immigration officers and customs officers who are to act as examining officers or exercise other functions under Schedule 3; (ii) the exercise by such persons of functions conferred on them by virtue of Schedule 3; (iii) the video recording (with sound) of interviews by constables of persons detained under Part 1 of Schedule 3 at a police station; and, (iv) reviews under Part 3 of Schedule 3.
4. This Code, which replaces the Code dated XXXXXX, takes account of amendments made XXXXXX.
5. The term “examining officer”<sup>1</sup> for the purpose of this Code has the same meaning as in paragraph 64(3) of Schedule 3 i.e. a constable, or an immigration officer or customs officer designated for the purpose of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 by the Secretary of State (and, in the case of a customs officer, the Commissioners of Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs). Any such officer will have been accredited as having successfully completed training in the use of the Schedule 3 powers. The code

---

<sup>1</sup> For further information, please see Annex B

only applies to police officers and designated immigration or customs officers when they are exercising their functions as examining officers under Schedule 3 and not in any other circumstances (for example where they may be questioning a person under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000, the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Immigration Act 1971 or the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979).

6. This Code contains provisions concerning reviews of detention under Schedule 3 (see Section 4). Those provisions require that the “review officer”<sup>2</sup> must carry out a periodic review where a person has been detained under Schedule 3. “Review officer” means an officer, at least one rank higher to the examining officer, who has not been directly involved in questioning the detained person. In all cases (except for emergencies), review officers must have been accredited as having successfully completed training in the exercise of review officer functions in relation to Schedule 3 detention.
7. The powers contained in Schedule 3 to which this Code relates must be used fairly and responsibly in accordance with the prescribed procedures and with respect for the people to whom the powers have been applied. They must be exercised in accordance with the Human Rights Act 1998 and with respect for the European Convention on Human Rights. Where this Code directs that an examining officer must undertake a duty or obligation in the exercise of their powers under Schedule 3, the officer will abide by that direction as far as reasonably practicable.
8. When using Schedule 3 powers, the Equality Act 2010 prohibits officers from unlawfully discriminating against, harassing or victimising any person on the grounds of the protected characteristics of age, disability, gender reassignment, race (including colour, nationality, ethnic or national origin), religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, and pregnancy and maternity when using their powers.
9. Under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010, public authorities have a duty to have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation; advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it; and foster good relations between those persons.
10. The code must be available at all police stations for consultation by the police and members of the public. It must also be available at police offices at ports or in the border area where the powers are, or are likely, to be used. The code must form part of the published departmental instructions/guidance for immigration officers and customs officers. The code should also be accessible to members of the public on the websites of the relevant agencies, including the police, the Home Office, the

---

<sup>2</sup> For further information, please see Annex B.

National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC), Police Service of Scotland and the Police Service of Northern Ireland (or their successors).

11. An examining officer must exercise the powers conferred by Schedule 3 in accordance with this Code of practice. The code is admissible in evidence in civil and criminal proceedings and will be taken into account by a court or tribunal in any case where it is considered relevant.

## Training and use of the powers

### Police officers

12. The powers contained in Schedule 3 may only be used by police officers who have been accredited by their Chief Officer<sup>3</sup> as having met a national standard<sup>4</sup> in the use of the powers. While awaiting accreditation, an unaccredited police officer must not use Schedule 3 powers unless they are supervised by an accredited examining officer. Chief Officers must reassess whether an officer should retain his or her accreditation on a biennial basis so that a high level of expertise is maintained.
13. In order to act as review officers (i.e. those officers who will review whether it is necessary to continue a person's detention under Schedule 3) police officers must have been assessed by their Chief Officer as having successfully undertaken training in the exercise of the Schedule 3 powers (including the review functions) to a national standard. Police officers who have been accredited as being able to exercise review officer functions must be reassessed on a biennial basis.

### Immigration and customs officers

14. An immigration or customs officer must only exercise functions under Schedule 3 exceptionally, and only if the officer has been designated by the Secretary of State (and, in the case of customs officers, by the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs) as an examining officer for the purposes of Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000. The Chief Officer of the police force area where the immigration or customs officer will operate must have been consulted and be in agreement with the proposal to accredit and the process for ensuring ongoing competence to practice.

---

<sup>3</sup> Reference in this Code to "Chief Officer" are to a Chief Constable of a police force in England and Wales, the Chief Constable of the Police Service of Scotland, the Chief Constable of the Police Service of Northern Ireland and the Commissioners of the Metropolitan Police and City of London police.

<sup>4</sup> Further details of the training that an examining (or review officer) is expected to undertake, as agreed by the National Police Chiefs' Council, Police Service of Scotland, and Police Service of Northern Ireland (or their successors) can be found at Annex B.

15. Immigration and customs officers must have undertaken training in the use of the Schedule 3 powers and have been accredited by the Director General (DG) of Border Force after they have met the required standard. The DG of Border Force must reassess whether an immigration or customs officer should retain their accredited status on a biennial basis.
16. The accredited immigration or customs officer must only exercise Schedule 3 powers when one or both of the following apply:
  - a. a police officer is not readily available; and/or
  - b. If specifically requested to do so by a police officer of the rank of sergeant or above.
17. In all cases where an immigration or customs officer exercises any examining officer powers under Schedule 3<sup>5</sup>, the authority of a chief immigration officer (in the case of an immigration officer), or a higher officer (in the case of a customs officer) or above must be obtained before exercising the power, if it is reasonably practicable to obtain that authority. Where it is not reasonably practicable to obtain prior authority, the chief immigration officer or the customs higher officer or above must be notified of the exercise of the power as soon as possible after it has begun.
18. In order to act as review officers, immigration and customs officers must have been assessed by the DG of Border Force or the Commissioner of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs as having successfully undertaken training in the exercise of the Schedule 3 powers and functions to a national standard. Immigration and customs officers who have been accredited as being able to exercise Schedule 3 review officer functions must be reassessed on a biennial basis.

## Use of the power in emergencies

19. The powers may also be used by any constable who has not been accredited where a police officer of at least the rank of Commander or Assistant Chief Constable<sup>6</sup> believes that this is necessary due to an exceptional urgent operational need. The constable may be given temporary permission to act as an examining officer for the duration of the emergency, whether or not they are awaiting accreditation. In such circumstances, officers must receive a briefing<sup>7</sup> on the powers prior to deployment

<sup>5</sup> Unless an examining officer has authorised a person to carry out on the officer's behalf a search or examination under any of paragraphs 7 to 9 of Schedule 3, and that person is an immigration or customs officer. See paragraph 10 of Schedule 3.

<sup>6</sup> Officer of the National Police Chiefs' Council, Police Service of Scotland and Police Service of Northern Ireland (or their successors).

<sup>7</sup> A template for emergency briefing is owned by the National Police Chiefs' Council (or their successors).

and their exercise of the powers must be supervised by an accredited examining officer. This can be done remotely.

20. 'Urgent operational need' might arise during a period where there is a heightened threat from hostile activity. For example, where there is credible intelligence to indicate that the activity of a hostile actor would result in the death or significant injury of persons within the United Kingdom or where such an incident has already taken place. A heightened period of threat may mean that accredited police officers are otherwise occupied, and the relevant Chief Officer may need to deploy non-accredited police officers as examining officers. Additionally, the relevant Chief Officer should document the reasons for deploying non-accredited police officers.

### Law enforcement engagement with the public

21. During the ordinary course of their duties, law enforcement officers, including officers working at UK ports, international railway stations or in the border area in Northern Ireland, may engage with members of the public. Whether or not officers will engage with the public in the course of their duties will depend on the circumstances.
22. Law enforcement officers may converse with members of the public to establish certain information which could include, but is not limited to, asking questions and conducting checks to establish their identity<sup>8</sup>, provenance, or destination. Officers may use these interactions to assist in making a decision on whether to select a person for examination under Schedule 3 and it is not necessary to commence a Schedule 3 examination to engage with a person or conduct checks for this purpose. Doing so will not constitute an examination and there is no legal duty of members of the public to cooperate. There is no requirement for officers to make a record of this form of encounter with the public.
23. In the border area in Northern Ireland, it may be less obvious to an examining officer that a person's presence there is connected with their entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland and/or they may be unable to form this belief through the engagement as described in paragraph 22. In such circumstances, an officer may first require (using their power under paragraph 2 of Schedule 3), a person to answer questions for the purpose of determining whether their presence in the area is connected with them entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland. An officer should only use their power under paragraph 2 in order to determine whether the conditions for use of the power in paragraph 1(2) are satisfied in order to then, if necessary, question them to determine whether the

<sup>8</sup> Powers are available under Schedule 8 to the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 for a constable to require, search for, take possession of and inspect all travel documents in a person's possession in order to establish the validity of those documents. These powers should only be used for the purpose of confirming the validity of travel documents.

person appears to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity. The power at paragraph 2 should not, for example, be used for an immigration purpose.

24. Where an officer requires a person to answer questions for the purpose of determining whether they appear to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity, or to determine whether a person's presence in the border area is connected with them entering or leaving Great Britain or Northern Ireland, this will constitute the beginning of a Schedule 3 examination.

DRAFT



## 2. Identifying Persons for Examination

### Who can be examined?

25. The examining officer may only stop and question a person for the purpose of determining whether that person appears to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in “hostile activity” as defined in paragraph 1 of Schedule 3 (see paragraph 2 of this Code) or for the purpose of determining whether a person’s presence in the border area is connected with the person’s entry into, or departure, from, Northern Ireland. **An examining officer should only exercise the power under paragraph 2 of Schedule 3 where they have been unable, or the officer deems it inappropriate, to determine an individual’s purpose for being in the border area through engagement (as in paragraph 22) with that individual.** An examining officer may stop and question a person whether or not there are grounds for suspecting that the person is or has been engaged in hostile activity.
26. The examination powers are additional to the powers of arrest available to police officers under separate legislation and must not be used for any other purpose.<sup>9</sup> Examining officers must make every reasonable effort to exercise the power in such a way as to minimise causing embarrassment or offence to a person who is being questioned. All persons being stopped and questioned by examining officers must be treated in a respectful and courteous manner and in accordance with the Human Rights Act 1998 and with respect for the European Convention on Human Rights. Examining officers must be aware of the potential disruption to which an examination may give rise, (for example, the disruption to a person’s travel plans where selection for examination has occurred prior to embarkation at a UK port) and should seek to minimise such disruption wherever possible.
27. Schedule 3 powers must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim and which does not unlawfully discriminate against anyone on the grounds of age, race (including colour, nationality, ethnic or national origin), religion or belief, gender or sexual orientation. Examining officers must take particular care to ensure that “protected characteristics”<sup>10</sup> (whether separately or together) are not used as criteria for selection except to the extent that they are used in association with considerations that relate to the threat from hostile activity.
28. If a person is stopped and questioned, this may inconvenience their ongoing travel arrangements. Where appropriate the examining officers will try to assist with seeking

<sup>9</sup> Detention powers under Schedule 3 and reasons for detaining a person are explained in Section 4.

<sup>10</sup> Protected characteristics as defined in the Equalities Act 2010 and set out in para 7 of this Code of Practice.



advice from transport operators on options for alternative travel arrangements. An examining officer, however, will not be able to guarantee or book alternative travel for that person.

## Where Schedule 3 powers can be exercised

29. The power to examine someone under Schedule 3 may be exercised in respect of a person only if either of the following conditions is met:
  - a. The person is on a ship, aircraft or international train, which has arrived at any place in Great Britain or Northern Ireland (whether from within or outside Great Britain or Northern Ireland); see paragraph 1(3) of Schedule 3;<sup>11</sup>
  - b. The person is at a port or in the border area in Northern Ireland and the examining officer believes that the person's presence there is connected with the person's entry into, or departure from, Great Britain or Northern Ireland, or the person's travel by air within Great Britain or within Northern Ireland; see paragraph 1(2) of Schedule 3.
30. The examining officer's belief must be justifiable, and much will depend on the individual circumstances. For example, the following factors, if present in a particular case, may support a belief for the purposes of paragraph 1(2) of Schedule 3:
  - a. The presence of the person in a controlled arrivals or departure area or common departure lounge at a port. Presence in such areas alone, however, may not be sufficient to form a reasonable basis for a belief without other indicators suggesting the person's presence is connected with their travel; and/or
  - b. Where the person is waiting to be, is being, or has been checked in for a flight or ferry to or from Great Britain or Northern Ireland.

The examples given above are not intended as an exhaustive list.

## Selection Criteria

31. Although the selection of a person for examination is not conditional upon the examining officer having grounds to suspect that person of being engaged in hostile activity, the decision to select a person for examination must not be arbitrary. An

---

<sup>11</sup> The Channel Tunnel (International Arrangements) Order 1993, as amended by Schedule 3, provides that examining officers can exercise Schedule 3 powers in a railway station or other place where persons embark or disembark, or goods are loaded or unloaded, on or from a through train or shuttle train and in the tunnel system.

examining officer's decision to select a person for examination must be informed by the threat from hostile activity posed to the United Kingdom and its interests by foreign States and hostile actors acting for, on behalf of, or otherwise in the interests of, those States, whether those actors are active in or outside the United Kingdom.

32. It is not appropriate for race, ethnic background, religion and/or other “protected characteristics” (whether separately or together) to be used as criteria for selection except to the extent that they are used in association with considerations that relate to the threat from hostile activity. Considerations that relate to the threat from hostile activity include factors such as, but not exclusively:
- a. Known and suspected sources of hostile activity;
  - b. Persons, organisations or groups whose current or past involvement in hostile activity, or threats of it, is known or suspected;
  - c. Any information on the origins and/or location of hostile actors;
  - d. Possible current, emerging and future hostile activity;
  - e. The means of travel (and documentation) that a group or persons involved in hostile activity could use;
  - f. Patterns of travel through specific ports or in the wider vicinity that may be linked to hostile activity, or appear unusual for the intended destination;
  - g. Observation of a person's behaviour; and/or
  - h. Referrals made to examining officer by other security, transport or enforcement bodies.

## 3. Examination of Persons

### Commencing an examination

33. The examining officer must not exercise powers under Schedule 3 with respect to any person unless that person has been notified, **verbally or in writing**, that an examination has commenced.
34. In notifying the person of their examination under Schedule 3, an examining officer may direct the person to a nearby place for this purpose.
35. At the start of the examination the examining officer must explain to the person, verbally or in writing, that they are being examined under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 and that the officer has the power to detain that person for the purpose of conducting the examination (see paragraph 124 of this Code for the types of reasons that might cause an officer to decide to detain a person).

### Conducting an examination

36. The examining officer must explain their role to the person and that Schedule 3 is part of hostile activity policing at the port/UK border, but that this does not mean that they are suspected of being involved in hostile activity. The purpose for the examination is to determine whether or not they appear to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity. If requested, the examining officer must provide sufficient information, such as an identification number and location, to the person or his or her representative to enable the officer to be identified in the event of any query or complaint.
37. The examining officer will explain how the examination will be conducted and must offer a Schedule 3 Public Information Leaflet.<sup>12</sup> The Public Information Leaflet is available in multiple languages, and outlines the purpose and provisions of Schedule 3, duties under Schedule 3, key points of the code of practice including a person's rights, and relevant contact details (including those needed to provide feedback or make a complaint).
38. Where Schedule 3 powers are exercised regularly, the duties and rights of a person subject to examination must be displayed prominently, alongside an explanation of the powers, in a place where the person will be able to read them. If the examining

---

<sup>12</sup> The Public Information Leaflet is owned by the National Police Chiefs' Council (or their successors).

officer doubts the person's ability to understand English, every reasonable effort should be made to communicate the relevant information, where practicable using someone who can act as an interpreter (see paragraphs 48-50 of this Code).

39. An examining officer must cease an examination and inform the person being examined accordingly when the examining officer is satisfied that sufficient information has been obtained to allow a determination to be made as to whether the person appears to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity, or where the officer has concluded that further examination of the person would not assist in making such a determination.
40. It is important to note that the examining officer does not need to administer a caution to a person being examined under Schedule 3. Questioning that does require a caution (e.g. where a person is being questioned in relation to suspected involvement in an offence) should be dealt with outside of the Schedule 3 examination process.
41. Where an officer decides it is necessary to examine a person for longer than an hour, then questioning under paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 3 can only continue beyond the hour point if the person has been detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3. Any period of examination, including detention, must not exceed six hours from the commencement of the examination.
42. If after (or during the course of) examining a person under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3, the examining officer decides to examine the person under Schedule 7 to the 2000 Act for counter-terrorism purposes, the officer must explain to the person that they are no longer being examined under Schedule 3 and are now instead being examined under Schedule 7 (at which point the officer must adhere to the Schedule 7 Code of Practice). In such circumstances, the time spent in examination under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3 is to be included in calculating the 6 hour period with respect to any subsequent examination that takes place under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7.
43. The reverse is true where an examining officer decides after (or during the course of) examining a person under Schedule 7 to examine the person under Schedule 3. In such circumstances, the time spent in examination under paragraph 2 or 3 of Schedule 7 is to be included in calculating the 6 hour period with respect to any subsequent examination that takes place under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3. Examination under either Schedule 3 or Schedule 7, or a combination of both, must not exceed a period of 6 hours.

## Duties under examination

44. The examining officer must inform the person being examined that Schedule 3 places them under a duty to give the officer any information in his or her possession which

the officer requests for the purposes of the examination (see paragraph 3 of Schedule 3). The examining officer may, if appropriate, inform the person that wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed under Schedule 3, or wilfully obstructing, or seeking to frustrate, a search or examination under Schedule 3, is a criminal offence under paragraph 23 of Schedule 3. An examining officer may not ask a question under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3 where they have reasonable grounds to believe that the answer to the question would require a person to disclose or confirm the identity of a source of journalistic information or disclose information subject to legal privilege. An examining officer may only ask such a question with prior independent or judicial authorisation, or (in urgent cases) immediate after-the-event authorisation.

45. The examining officer should also, if appropriate, inform the person that any answer or information that the person provides orally in response to a question asked during the examination cannot be used as evidence in criminal proceedings. The person should also be informed that this will not be the case where the person is prosecuted for wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed under or by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 3; for obstructing or seeking to frustrate, a search or examination under Schedule 3; or where they are prosecuted for perjury. The person should also be informed that it will be possible for oral answers given in response to questions put to the person in a Schedule 3 examination to be used as evidence in a situation where the person has been prosecuted for an offence other than those already mentioned where, in giving evidence, they make a statement that is inconsistent with that oral answer or information given. In such a situation, the person's statement can only be used where they or their lawyer first adduces it as evidence, or asks a question relating to it, in their defence.

## Rights under examination

46. A person who is being examined at a port, but not detained, is not entitled to consult a solicitor. Should the person request to do so, the examining officer may grant this at his or her discretion. Where reasonably practicable, a consultation should be allowed. When a consultation is allowed, it should be allowed to be conducted in private. The person must be informed that any consultation will not be at public expense. Requests for consultation, the response and, if applicable, the reason for refusal must be recorded in writing.
47. A person who is being examined at a port, but not detained, is not entitled to have a named person informed of their examination and whereabouts. Should the person request to inform a named person, the examining officer may grant that request at his or her discretion. Where reasonably practicable, the request should be granted. The named person should be a friend, relative or a person known to the examinee who is likely to take an interest in their welfare. Where the request is granted, the named person should be informed as soon as reasonably practicable. If the named person

cannot be contacted, further attempts may be made at the examining officer's discretion. A request to have a named person informed of a person's examination and their whereabouts, the response and, if applicable, the reason for refusal must be recorded in writing.

## Welfare considerations during examination

48. An examining officer should bear in mind that the person being examined, while they may not be obviously vulnerable (for which see from paragraph 149), may be unaware of the Schedule 3 powers, may be distressed by references to hostile activity, may be from a different country or may be a non-English speaker. Examinations should be conducted in a manner which minimises the potential for a person to become unnecessarily alarmed or distressed by the encounter.
49. If the person being examined is travelling with any other person who may be vulnerable (including children) and there is no other responsible adult present, the examining officer must make suitable arrangements to ensure the wellbeing of the vulnerable person. The examining officer should inform the person being examined of these arrangements before continuing with the examination.
50. The examining officer must consider the person's welfare and make arrangements to ensure that they have the opportunity to have refreshments and breaks at regular intervals. Access to a healthcare professional should be provided if necessary, as determined by the examining officer. Where reasonably practicable, provision should be made to allow for the practice of religious observance, where this will not jeopardise the purpose of the examination.
51. If the examining officer is unable to communicate effectively with the person being examined either because the person has a hearing or speech impediment or because they do not understand English, then the examining officer must make all reasonable effort to obtain the assistance of another person who is able to communicate effectively with the person being examined. For example, Border Force interpreters can be used to communicate effectively with a person.
52. A police officer, immigration officer, customs officer or Border Force interpreter must never be used as an interpreter to facilitate communication between the person being examined and a solicitor. Where communication with a solicitor is necessary then an interpreter must be provided at public expense and all reasonable steps must be taken to make the person being examined understand that interpretation and translation will be provided at public expense.



53. If it is not possible to obtain the assistance of someone who can act as an interpreter, the examining officer must not interview the person. However, the examining officer may continue to use other Schedule 3 powers (for example, the search power under paragraph 8 of Schedule 3).

## Production of information

54. Where the examining officer chooses to request information or documents (including a passport or other document used to establish identity) under paragraph 3 of Schedule 3, he or she must specify the kind of information or document which must be produced. Information requested by an examining officer under paragraph 3(a) may include passwords to electronic devices. An examining officer may not, however, compel a person to disclose or confirm the identity of a source of journalistic information or disclose information subject to legal privilege without prior or immediate after-the-event independent or judicial authorisation (see paragraph 41 of this Code). The examining officer should give the person concerned a reasonable opportunity within the period of examination to produce the information or documents requested.
55. People travelling to or from Great Britain or Northern Ireland from another place in the Common Travel Area, or by air or ship within Great Britain or by air or ship within Northern Ireland (whether from within or outside Great Britain or Northern Ireland) may not be carrying a passport. In such a case, an examining officer may request the person to provide any other document which can be used to establish their identity. Production of information, documents or evidence of identity under paragraph 3 of Schedule 3 is separate from the powers of search and examination contained in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 of Schedule 3.
56. An examining officer may use electronic equipment in order to examine persons and property.

## Searches

57. Under paragraph 8 of Schedule 3, an examining officer may search a person questioned under paragraph 1 and anything they have with them, or which belongs to them, for the purpose of determining whether the person appears to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity (see paragraph 89 on how confidential material should be treated by officers). This includes baggage or any other personal items such as mobile electronic devices, whether found on the person or on a ship, aircraft or international train service, or where the examining officer reasonably believes that thing has been or is about to be on a ship, aircraft or

international train service.<sup>13</sup> An examining officer may, under paragraph 10 of Schedule 3, authorise another person to carry out a search on their behalf.

58. Every reasonable effort must be made to minimise potential embarrassment or offence that may be caused to a person being searched. A baggage search need not be carried out by a person of the same sex, but where that is requested or where an objection is raised to the search being conducted by a person of the opposite sex, the search should be conducted by a person of the same sex where that is reasonably practicable. If it is not reasonably practicable, the examining officer should record the objection, but may proceed with the search. A search of a person under paragraph 8 of Schedule 3 must only be carried out by a person of the same sex.
59. All searches and procedures must be carried out with courtesy, consideration and respect. Officers should show sensitivity when dealing with transgender individuals (including transsexual and transvestite persons). In such cases, officers should follow the relevant guidance.
60. The power to search anything which the person has with them includes the power to search electronic devices, such as mobile phones. The person must provide access to any electronic device to allow for a search to be undertaken, including where access to a device requires the person to unlock a device through application of their thumb or finger, or any other form of access control (e.g. voice or face recognition). Searching such a device may result in information being accessed which is stored other than on the device itself. However, the power to search does not include the power to use information obtained from such a device (or information requested under paragraph 3(a)) to access information using a device that is not the subject of a search under paragraph 8 (for example, the officer may access emails using an application on the phone being searched, but may not obtain the email username and password and log onto the email account from another computer). Nor can the power to search electronic devices be used to intercept communications in the course of their transmission, within the meaning in the Investigatory Powers Act 2016, other than where the obtaining of stored communications is authorised by section 6(1)(c)(ii) of that Act.

---

<sup>13</sup> Examining officers are also permitted to: search anything belonging to the person which is, has been, or is about to be, on a ship or aircraft; search an aircraft for anything belonging to the person; and search a vehicle which is, or which the officer reasonably believes has been, or is about to be, on a ship or an aircraft. Where an officer questions a person in the border area in Northern Ireland he may also search a vehicle, anything in or on a vehicle, any anything which he reasonably believes has been, or is about to be, in or on a vehicle.



61. An examining officer may only search a person or anything they have with them to determine whether the person appears to be someone who is or has been engaged in hostile activity. A search must be limited to what is necessary for that purpose. This does not, however, preclude a search being carried out under other powers if appropriate, for example, where the examining officer is a constable and has other powers by virtue of common law or other statute.
62. An examining officer may use reasonable force<sup>14</sup> for the purpose of exercising a search power under Schedule 3. Reasonable force may be used to conduct a search where that is necessary and proportionate. Where an examining officer exercises powers of search under Schedule 3 there is no requirement for any notice of search to be provided regarding the search of a person, property or vehicles.
63. When a search of a person is carried out the examining officer must, if not uniformed, show a warrant card or similar evidence of his or her authority, but need not give his or her name.
64. If requested, the examining officer must provide sufficient information to the person or his or her representative, such as an identification number and location, which would enable the officer to be identified in the event of any query or complaint.

## Retention of an article

65. An examining officer may retain any article (including electronic devices) which is given to the officer in accordance with paragraph 3(d) of Schedule 3; which is searched or found during a search under paragraph 8; or which is examined under paragraph 9. The officer may retain the article under paragraph 11(2):
  - a. For the purpose of examination, for a period not exceeding 7 days beginning with the day on which the retention commences,
  - b. While the officer believes that it may be needed for use as evidence in criminal proceedings,
  - c. While the officer believes that it may be needed in connection with a decision of the Secretary of State whether to make a deportation order under the Immigration Act 1971,

---

<sup>14</sup> See paragraph 59 of Schedule 3. Reasonable force must not be used when questioning a person under paragraph 1 or 2 or Schedule 3.

- d. While the officer believes that the article could be used in connection with the carrying out of a hostile act, or
- e. While the officer believes it necessary to do so for the purpose of preventing death or significant injury.

66. The powers to retain an article must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. The article may be retained for as long as the examining officer believes it is needed for these purposes (although not beyond 7 days if the article is retained only under paragraph 11(2)(a))<sup>15</sup>. If the examining officer retains any article beyond the conclusion of the examination of that person, he/she must inform the person of the power under which their property is being retained. In such circumstances, the examining officer should ask the person how they would prefer to be contacted regarding the status or return of their property.<sup>16</sup>

67. Where the examining officer has retained an article under one power and then subsequently decides to retain the article under a different power, the person from whom the article was taken must be informed of the retention under the different power in writing as soon as reasonably practicable. If the subsequent retention power is either that of paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) and meets the urgency condition, the examining officer should also attempt to contact the person by phone to inform them of the urgent retention process and the written notice.

### Retention of an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) and (e) – non-urgent conditions

68. Where an article has been retained under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e), it is the role of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner (the Commissioner)<sup>17</sup> to decide whether to authorise the retention or use of the article, or to direct the return or destruction of the article. After considering any representations made by the affected parties, the decision will depend on whether it appears to the Commissioner that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the article has been or could be used in

<sup>15</sup> It is important to note that where an examining officer has retained an article under 11(2)(b) or (c), examination of the article is permitted in order to fulfil the purpose of the retention.

<sup>16</sup> Where an article is retained under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e), the requirements to invite representations from, or to provide information to, the person from whom an article was taken applies only so far as it is reasonably practicable to do so. For example, the examining officer cannot be expected to fulfil this obligation if the person has provided false or incorrect details.

<sup>17</sup> In practice, these functions may be delegated to a Judicial Commissioner. Section 227(8) of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016 provides that the Commissioner may, to such an extent as the Commissioner may decide, delegate the exercise of functions of the Commissioner to any other Judicial Commissioner.

connection with the carrying out of a hostile act, or that returning the article to the person from whom it was taken could result in a risk of death.

69. Once an article has been retained under 11(2)(d) or (e), it must not be further examined until the Commissioner has made a decision. It is the examining officer's responsibility to notify the Commissioner as soon as reasonably practicable so that a decision can be taken. In being notified, the Commissioner must be provided with general details of the examination, including a case reference number, date and time of the stop, name and contact address (email or home address) of the person examined, identifier for the examining officer, power under which the article was retained and whether any confidential material had been identified as being on or comprising the article (see paragraph 89 for how such material should be treated). Where the investigating police force is different to the force to which the examining officer belongs, the Commissioner must also be provided with details of which force to invite to make representations regarding retention of the article.
70. When an examining officer retains an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e), the examining officer must provide a notice to the person from whom the article was taken to inform them of the role of the Commissioner in relation to the article. The notice must explain that the person is invited to make representations about how the Commissioner should proceed with the article, and the notice must include details of where to send the representations and associated timescales for making them. The notice must explain that representations may be made by all other affected parties, including the Chief Officer of the relevant police force and the Secretary of State (in this case, the Home Secretary). The notice must also explain that there is no obligation on any affected party or the Commissioner to provide information to other affected parties relating to any representations that have been submitted.
71. Where the examining officer has retained an article under one power and then subsequently decides to retain the article under 11(2)(d) or (e), the person from whom the article was taken must be informed of the retention under the different power in writing as soon as reasonably practicable, which must include the notice.
72. All representations to the Commissioner must be made in writing no later than the end of four weeks beginning with the date of the notice provided to the person by the examining officer. Written representations may include email.
73. When representations are provided that retention and use or destruction is necessary, they should explain the reasons for believing that the article has been or could be used in connection with the carrying out of a hostile act, or for believing the article's retention and use, or destruction is necessary to prevent death or significant injury.

74. It is not the responsibility of the examining officer to communicate a decision of the Commissioner to the affected parties. Once the Commissioner has made a decision on how to proceed with the article, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties whether or not a direction to destroy the article has been given, or authorisation to retain and use the article has been granted. The Commissioner will inform all affected parties of a decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable following the receipt of representations (that have been provided by the required deadline).
75. Paragraph 13(2) of Schedule 3 provides the opportunity for an affected party to appeal where a Judicial Commissioner, other than the Commissioner, exercises a function in relation to an article. In these circumstances, the affected party may ask the Commissioner to decide whether to approve the way in which the function was exercised. This must be explained in the notice provided to the examinee where an article has been retained under 11(2)(d) or (e), but it is the responsibility of the Commissioner's office, when communicating the outcome to all affected parties, to indicate whether it was the decision of a Judicial Commissioner.
76. In communicating the decision of a Judicial Commissioner (but not a decision of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner) to the affected parties in relation to an article retained under 11(2)(d) or (e), the Commissioner's office will inform each party that no action will be taken until the Commissioner's office has confirmed that there has been no appeal requested, or if there has been an appeal, until the outcome of the appeal is notified. Where it has been communicated that the decision was taken by a Judicial Commissioner, the affected parties have two weeks beginning on the day of the notification of the decision, to seek an appeal to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner. Where an appeal has been made, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties of the decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable. The decision at the conclusion of any appeal will be final and the associated action will be taken forward upon notification of the outcome.
77. The examining officer must comply with the decision and any associated direction of the Judicial Commissioner as soon as reasonably practicable, but only following notification by the Commissioner's office that no appeal has been requested or having been notified of an appeal, the Commissioner confirms the outcome. A direction by the Commissioner to return an article does not preclude the examining officer from further retaining the article under paragraph 11(2)(b) or (c) (see paragraph 12(7); but such retention is precluded if the Commissioner directs destruction of the article under paragraph 12(4)(a)). Where the examining officer retains the article under a different power, the person must be informed of the power under which the article continues to be retained as soon as reasonably practicable.

## Retention of an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) – urgency condition

78. Where the examining officer decides to retain an article solely under paragraphs 11(2)(d) or (e) and considers that the urgency condition is met in relation to the article, the examining officer may apply to a senior officer for authorisation to retain and use the article prior to seeking authorisation from the Commissioner. In such circumstances, it is the role of the Commissioner to decide firstly whether to approve or cancel the grant of the authorisation to retain and use the article under the urgency condition and secondly whether to vary or add further conditions to the conditions on which the authorisation was granted, or to direct the return or destruction of the article. The urgent provisions under paragraphs 14, 15 and 16 of Schedule 3 can only be used if the examining officer considers:
- a. There is an urgent need for the article to be examined or otherwise used for the purpose of preventing;
    - i. the carrying out of a hostile act, or
    - ii. death or significant injury, or for the purpose of mitigating the risk of any such act, death or injury occurring, and
  - b. The time it would take for the requirements of the standard authorisation process (i.e. the authorisation process under paragraphs 12 and 13) to be complied with in relation to the article would not enable such use to take place with sufficient urgency.
79. The examining officer must take no further action in relation to the article unless authorised to do so by a senior officer of a rank no lower than a superintendent who is not directly involved in the exercise of any power under Part 1 of Schedule 3 to take the article or to question a person from whom the article was taken. The senior officer may grant an authorisation for the retention and use of the article if satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for considering that the urgency condition is met in relation to the article. An authorisation must be recorded in writing and may be granted subject to whatever conditions the senior officer thinks appropriate. If the senior officer does not agree to give authorisation, then the article must be returned to the person from whom it was taken, unless the examining officer retains the article under a different power or seeks a non-urgent authorisation under paragraph 12 and 13.
80. Where that authorisation by the senior officer has been given, it is the examining officer's responsibility to notify the Commissioner and each affected party as soon as reasonably practicable and in any event within 24 hours after the grant of the authorisation. In being notified, the Commissioner must be provided with general details of the examination, including a case reference number, date and time of the stop, name and contact address (email or home address) of the person examined,

identifier for the examining officer, power under which the article was retained and whether any confidential material had been identified as being on or comprising the article (see paragraph 89 for how such material should be treated). The notification must also detail the conditions on which the authorisation was granted, the reasons for believing that there was an urgent need to use the article and why the standard retention process would not enable such use to take place with sufficient urgency. The notification must also include the name and identifier of the senior officer who gave the authorisation. Where the investigating police force is different to the force to which the examining officer belongs, the Commissioner must also be provided with details of which force to invite to make representations regarding retention of the article.

81. In being notified, the person from whom the article was taken must be provided with a notice to inform them of the role of the Commissioner in relation to the article. The notice must explain that the person is invited to make representations about how the Commissioner should proceed with the article, and the notice must include details of where to send the representations and associated timescales for making them. The notice must explain that representations may be made by all other affected parties, including the Chief Officer of the relevant police force and the Secretary of State. The notice must also explain that there is no obligation on any affected party or the Commissioner to provide information to other affected parties relating to any representations that have been submitted.
82. Where the examining officer initially retains an article under one power but subsequently retains the article under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition is met, the person from whom the article was taken must be informed of the retention under the new power in writing as soon as reasonably practicable, which must include the notice. The examining officer should also attempt to contact the person by phone to inform them of this notice.
83. All representations to the Commissioner must be made in writing, which may include email. Any representations should be made no later than the end of two working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted. The Commissioner is required to have regard to any representations received within the two working-day period before making a decision. A decision to approve the authorisation or cancel the authorisation must be made after the end of the time for making representations and before the end of the period of three working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted.<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>18</sup> For example, if authorisation to use the urgency condition is granted on a Saturday, representations must be made no later than 11.59 on the following Tuesday (end of two working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted). In this case, a decision to approve or cancel the authorisation must be made between 12:00am and 11:59 on Wednesday (after the end of the



84. When representations are provided that continued retention and use is necessary, they should set out their support for the grant of the authorisation and if necessary, any further conditions or variation of the existing conditions that are sought.
85. It is not the responsibility of the examining officer to communicate a decision of the Commissioner to the affected parties. Once the Commissioner has made a decision on whether to approve, amend, or cancel the authorisation, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties whether or not a direction to destroy the article has been given, or authorisation to retain and use the article has been granted. The Commissioner will inform all affected parties, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable following a decision.
86. If the decision of the Commissioner is to cancel the authorisation, any further use of the article by virtue of the authorisation must stop as soon as possible. The Commissioner may also direct that the article:
- a. Is destroyed, or
  - b. Is returned to the person from whom it was taken, and the Commissioner may further direct that all reasonable steps must be taken to secure that any information derived from the article is destroyed.
87. Paragraph 16(10) provides an opportunity for an affected party to appeal where a Judicial Commissioner, other than the Commissioner, exercises a function in relation to an article. In these circumstances, the affected party may ask the Commissioner to decide whether to approve the way in which the function was exercised. This must be explained in the notice provided to the examinee where an article has been retained under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition is met. It is, however, the responsibility of the Commissioner's office, when communicating the outcome to all affected parties, to indicate whether it was the decision of a Judicial Commissioner.
88. In communicating the decision of a Judicial Commissioner (but not a decision of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner) to the affected parties in relation to an article retained under the urgency condition, the Commissioner's office will inform each party that the decision will not take effect until the Commissioner's office has confirmed that there has been no appeal requested, or if there has been an appeal, until the outcome of the appeal is notified. Where it has been communicated that the decision was taken by a Judicial Commissioner, the affected parties may appeal to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner within two working days beginning with the first

---

time for making representations and before the end of the period of three working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted.)

working day after the day on which the decision is notified. Where an appeal has been made, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties of the decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable. The decision at the conclusion of any appeal will be final and the associated action will be taken forward upon notification of the outcome.

89. The examining officer must comply with the decision and any associated direction of the Judicial Commissioner as soon as reasonably practicable, but only following notification by the Commissioner's office that no appeal has been requested, or having been notified of an appeal, the Commissioner confirms the outcome. A decision or direction of the Commissioner does not preclude the examining officer from further retaining the article under paragraph 11(2)(b) or (c) if any items of confidential material that are present within the article are capable of being separated from non-confidential material (see paragraph 91). Where the examining officer retains the article under a new power, the person must be informed of the power under which the article continues to be retained as soon as reasonably practicable.

## Making copies of an article

90. An examining officer who is a constable may copy anything obtained under paragraph 3; searched or found on a search under paragraph 8; or anything examined under paragraph 9, and this includes making copies of electronic data. The copies may be retained under paragraph 17(3):
- a. For so long as it is necessary for the purpose of determining whether a person is or has been engaged in hostile activity,
  - b. While the examining officer believes that it may be needed for use as evidence in criminal proceedings,
  - c. While the officer believes that a copy may be needed in connection with a decision by the Secretary of State whether to make a deportation order under the Immigration Act 1971,
  - d. While the officer believes it is necessary to retain a copy—
    - i. in the interests of national security,
    - ii. in the interests of the economic well-being of the United Kingdom so far as those interests are also relevant to the interests of national security, or
    - iii. for the purpose of preventing or detecting an act of serious crime,



- e. While the officer believes it is necessary to retain a copy to prevent death or significant injury.

91. The powers to copy must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. Copies of information obtained during an examination must be managed in compliance with the requirements of Management of Police Information guidance, General Data Protection Regulation provisions and the Data Protection Act 2018.

## Treatment of confidential material

92. Schedule 3 contains powers for examining officers to retain and access “confidential material”<sup>19</sup> in certain prescribed circumstances. These powers may only be exercised where the officer considers it necessary to fulfil the Schedule 3 functions, and where it is proportionate to that end.

## Cases in which confidential material is not to be examined

93. Where an examining officer does not consider it is necessary or proportionate to seek access to confidential material, in exercising the powers in paragraphs 11 (retention of property) and 17 (power to make and retain copies), the examining officer must ensure that all material which is likely to be confidential material is treated with care to minimise the risk that it is seen by the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 3. In the absence of any prior authorisation which may be granted by virtue of paragraphs 12(4)(b) and (5), 14(5), 18(3), or 20(5), or a power other than Schedule 3 (for example, s19 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984), confidential material cannot be examined. The officer may, however,

---

<sup>19</sup> In this Code, “confidential material” means (a) confidential journalistic material, within the meaning of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016 (see section 264(6) and (7) of that Act, (b) material which identifies or confirms a “source of journalistic material” within the meaning of section 263(1) of that Act; and (c) protected material. “Protected material” means:

- a) In relation to England and Wales, means (i) items subject to legal privilege, within the meaning of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (see section 10 of that Act), (ii) material falling within section 11(1)(a) or (b) of that Act (certain personal records, human tissues or tissue fluid held in confidence).
- b) In relation to Scotland, means (i) items in respect of which a claim to confidentiality of communications could be maintained in legal proceedings, or (ii) other material of a kind mentioned in paragraph (a)(ii) or (iii) above.
- c) In relation to Northern Ireland, means (i) items subject to legal privilege, within the meaning of the Police and Criminal Evidence (Northern Ireland) Order 1989 (S.I. 1989/1341 (N.I.12)) (see Article 12 of that Order), (ii) material falling with Article 13(1)(a) or (b) of that Order (certain personal records, human tissue or tissue fluid held in confidence), or (iii) material to which Article 16(2) of that Order applies (other material acquired in the course of a trade etc. that is held in confidence).

examine any non-confidential material, subject to the conditions of the following paragraphs.

94. If before an examining officer examines or copies an article, the officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the article consists of or includes confidential material, the officer must only proceed to examine the article or make the copy if the confidential material is capable of being separated from non-confidential material. If the officer has developed such reasonable grounds during the process of examining the article or copy, the officer must cease examining the article or copy and may only subsequently continue to examine the article or copy if the non-confidential material has been separated from the confidential material. Save as to any other power applying which permits retention, where it is assessed that confidential material within an article or copy cannot be separated from non-confidential material, the article must be returned to the person from whom it was taken, and any copies of the article must be destroyed, unless the examining officer decides that it has become necessary, and is proportionate, to seek authorisation to access the confidential material. However, where an examining officer believes this to be the case, the only bases for retention or copying of the article are paragraphs 11(2)(d) or (e) or 17(3)(d) or (e) of Schedule 3. Articles retained and copies made under these provisions are subject to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner and (in urgent cases) senior officer authorisation processes. The article or copy so retained or made must not be examined and must be held securely in a way designed to prevent the risks of unintentional viewing, pending a decision by the Commissioner or senior officer, as applicable, to permit examination and use of the confidential material.
95. In cases where the examining officer does not consider it necessary and proportionate to examine confidential material, the officer should consider whether it is reasonably practicable for the confidential material to be separated at the time and place of the Schedule 3 examination. This can be done either using the sifting and independent review process described below, or in any other manner designed to prevent the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 3 from accessing the confidential material. If this is not reasonably practicable at the time and place of the Schedule 3 examination, the officer may retain the article or copy in accordance with paragraphs 11(2) or 17(3), as applicable, and during the retention period the sifting and independent review process in relation to an article or copy must be carried out. The sifting and independent review process requires the following steps to be taken;
  - a. In the case of electronic devices, the article which likely contains confidential material must be passed to a police digital forensic unit for copying (and any existing copy which an officer has come, during examination, to have reasonable grounds to believe contains confidential material must be passed to that unit);

- b. The article and/or copy of the article must be stored securely (whether at the place of the Schedule 3 examination or elsewhere) and not examined by the examining officer or any other officer connected to the Schedule 3 examination, pending a sift for potentially confidential material by a person independent of the Schedule 3 examination and subsequent review of that material by an independent counsel;
- c. The independent counsel must be engaged as soon as reasonably practicable for the purpose of reviewing the sifted material to identify which items of material the officer is authorised to examine;
- d. In cases where the material on the article or copy is electronic, voluminous and capable of being searched electronically, the person from whom the article has been taken (or from whose article a copy has been taken), or that person's legal representative, must be given the opportunity to provide search terms to be applied to identify potential confidential material. The ultimate decision as to which search terms are applied shall be for the relevant police force. Those search terms must be applied by a member of the police digital forensic unit, who is independent of the Schedule 3 examination. The result of the search terms applied must be confined in a separate folder to which the examining officer and any other officer involved in the Schedule 3 examination do not have access. Any data which is not covered by the search terms can be provided to the examining officer for the review;
- e. Independent Counsel will review the result of the sift which has been confined in the separate folder. Following the review by independent counsel, any material which is identified as confidential material must be extracted from the non-confidential material. Only the non-confidential material will be made available to the examining officer;
- f. In the case of a copy of an article, the independent counsel must mark for destruction all items of copied material which the officer is not authorised to examine and the identified material is destroyed without being examined. In the case of confidential material comprised in an article, that material must be returned to the person from whom it was taken as soon as reasonably practicable. In the event the copy is seized under a separate power, it will not need to be destroyed or returned.

96. The Chief Officer of the force area where the Schedule 3 examination takes place shall be responsible for ensuring that arrangements are in place for secure storage of articles and copies pending independent counsel's review and that in the case of digital copies, the available technology does not allow for the retrieval of destroyed material.

97. Where an examining officer or any other officer involved in the Schedule 3 examination inadvertently sees confidential material, measures must be put in place to ensure that the confidential material is not taken account of, either in the course of making a determination under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3 or in any subsequent legal proceedings, or any executive action.
98. The following paragraphs relate to cases in which an examining officer has reasonable grounds to believe that an article consists of or includes confidential material and the officer considers it necessary to examine such material to fulfil the Schedule 3 functions, and it is proportionate to do so. In such cases, an article or copy can only be retained if the conditions in paragraphs 11(2)(d) or (e) or 17(3)(d) or (e) are met.

### **Retention of an article under paragraph 11(2)(d) or (e) that consists of or includes confidential material**

99. Where an article has been retained under paragraphs 11(2)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition has not been met, an examining officer may only continue to retain and use it if authorised to do so by the Commissioner. In such circumstances, the Commissioner will only authorise the continued retention and use of the article where it appears that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the article has been or could be used in connection with the carrying out of a hostile act or that returning the article to the person from whom it was taken could result in a risk of death or significant injury to any person. Where the article consists of or includes confidential material, the Commissioner must be satisfied that arrangements are in place that are sufficient for ensuring that the material is retained securely and that any confidential material will be used only so far as necessary and proportionate for a relevant purpose – for example, in the interests of national security or the economic well-being of the United Kingdom so far as those interests are also relevant to the interests of national security; for the purpose of preventing or detecting serious crime; or for the purpose of preventing death or significant injury.
100. Where an article has been retained under paragraphs 11(2)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition has been met, a senior officer may authorise the retention and use of the article prior to the Commissioner's authorisation. Where the article consists of or includes confidential material, the senior officer must be satisfied that arrangements are in place that are sufficient for ensuring that the material is retained securely, and the material will be used only so far as necessary and proportionate for the purpose of the preventing the carrying out of a hostile act, or death or significant injury, or for the purpose of mitigating the risk of any such act, death or injury occurring.

## Retention of copies under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e) that consist of or include confidential material – non-urgent conditions

101. Where a copy of an article that consists of or includes confidential material has been retained under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e), it is the role of the Commissioner to decide whether to authorise the retention or use of the copy or direct that the copy is destroyed. After considering any representations made by the affected parties, the decision will depend on whether it appears to the Commissioner that there are reasonable grounds to believe that it is necessary to retain the copy in the interests of national security or the economic well-being of the United Kingdom so far as those interests are also relevant to the interests of national security; for the purpose of preventing or detecting an act of serious crime; or for the purpose of preventing death or significant injury. The Commissioner will then direct that the copy can be retained by the examining officer and used, or that it should be destroyed.
102. The Commissioner must also be satisfied that arrangements are in place that are sufficient for ensuring that the confidential material is retained securely and will be used only so far as necessary and proportionate for a relevant purpose – for example, in the interests of national security or the economic well-being of the United Kingdom so far as those interests are also relevant to the interests of national security; for the purpose of preventing or detecting serious crime; or for the purpose of preventing death or significant injury.
103. Once a copy that consists of or includes confidential material has been retained under 17(3)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition has not been met, it must not be used until the Commissioner authorises its use. It is the examining officer's responsibility to notify the Commissioner as soon as reasonably practicable so that a decision can be taken. In being notified, the Commissioner must be provided with general details of the examination, including a case reference number, date and time of the stop, name and contact address (email or home address) of the person examined, identifier for the examining officer, power under which the copy was retained and that confidential material has been identified as being contained in the copy. Where the investigating police force is different to the force to which the examining officer belongs, the Commissioner must also be provided with details of which force to invite to make representations regarding retention of the copy.
104. When an examining officer retains a copy that consists of or includes confidential material under 17(3)(d) or (e), the examining officer must provide a notice to the person from whom the copy was taken to inform them of the role of the Commissioner in relation to the copy. The notice must explain that the person is invited to make representations about how the Commissioner should proceed with the copy, and the notice must include details of where to send the representations and the timescales for making them. The notice must explain that representations may be made by all other affected parties, including the Chief Officer of the relevant police

force and the Secretary of State. The notice must also explain that there is no obligation on any affected party or the Commissioner to provide information to other affected parties relating to any representations that have been submitted.

105. Where the examining officer has retained a copy that consists of or includes confidential material under one power (e.g. under paragraph 17(3)(a), in order to separate out the confidential material so as to examine only the non-confidential material) and then subsequently decides to retain the copy of confidential items under 17(3)(d) or (e), the person from whom the copy was taken must be informed of the retention under the 17(3)(d) or (e) power in writing as soon as reasonably practicable, which must include the notice.
106. All representations to the Commissioner must be made in writing no later than the end of four weeks beginning with the date of the notice provided to the person by the examining officer. Written representations may include email.
107. When representations are provided that retention and use is necessary, they should explain the reasons for believing that retention of the copy is necessary in the interests of national security or the economic well-being of the United Kingdom so far as those interests are also relevant to the interests of national security; for the purpose of preventing or detecting an act of serious crime; or to prevent death or significant injury.
108. It is not the responsibility of the examining officer to communicate a decision of the Commissioner to the affected parties. Once the Commissioner has made a decision on how to proceed with the copy, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties whether or not authorisation to retain and use the copy has been granted. The Commissioner will inform all affected parties of a decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable following the receipt of representations (that have been provided by the required deadline).
109. Paragraph 19(2) of Schedule 3 provides an opportunity for an affected party to appeal where a Judicial Commissioner, other than the Commissioner, exercises a function in relation to a copy that consists of or includes confidential material. In these circumstances, the affected party may ask the Commissioner to decide whether to approve the way in which the function was exercised. This must be explained in the notice provided to the examinee where a copy that consists of or includes confidential material has been retained under 17(3)(d) or (e), but it is the responsibility of the Commissioner's office, when communicating the outcome to all affected parties, to indicate whether it was the decision of a Judicial Commissioner.
110. In communicating the decision of a Judicial Commissioner (but not a decision of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner) to the affected parties in relation to a copy that consists of or includes confidential material retained under 17(3)(d) or (e), the Commissioner's office will inform each party that no action will be taken until the



Commissioner's office has confirmed that there has been no appeal requested, or if there has been an appeal, until the outcome of the appeal is notified. Where it has been communicated that the decision was taken by a Judicial Commissioner, the affected parties have two weeks beginning on the day of the notification of the decision, to seek an appeal to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner. Where an appeal has been made, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties of the decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable. The decision at the conclusion of any appeal will be final and the associated action will be taken forward upon notification of the outcome.

111. The examining officer must comply with the decision and any associated direction of the Judicial Commissioner as soon as reasonably practicable, but only following notification by the Commissioner's office that no appeal has been requested, or having been notified of an appeal, the Commissioner confirms the outcome. Where the Commissioner directs the destruction of the copy, the person must be informed that no confidential material is being retained under paragraphs 17(3)(d) or (e) as soon as reasonably practicable. A decision or direction of the Commissioner does not preclude the examining officer from further retaining the copy under paragraph 17(3)(b) or (c) if the confidential material is capable of being separated from non-confidential material (see paragraph 91).

**Retention of copies under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e) that consist of or include confidential material – urgency condition**

112. Where the examining officer retains a copy of an article that consists of or includes confidential material under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e) and considers that the urgency condition is met in relation to the copy, the examining officer may apply to a senior officer for authorisation to retain and use the copy prior to seeking authorisation from the Commissioner. In such circumstances, it is the role of the Commissioner to decide firstly whether to approve or cancel the grant of the authorisation to retain and use the copy under the urgency condition and secondly whether to vary or add further conditions to the conditions on which the authorisation was granted, or to direct that the copy is destroyed. The urgent provisions under paragraphs 20, 21 and 22 of Schedule 3 can be used if the examining officer considers that:
  - a. There is an urgent need for the copy to be examined or otherwise used for the purpose of preventing;
    - i. the carrying out of a hostile act, or
    - ii. death or significant injury, or for the purpose of mitigating the risk of any such act, death or injury occurring, and

- b. The time it would take for the requirements of the standard authorisation process (i.e. the authorisation process under paragraph 18) to be complied with in relation to the copy would not enable such use to take place with sufficient urgency.
113. The examining officer must take no further action in relation to the copy unless authorised to do so by a senior officer of a rank no lower than a superintendent who is not directly involved in the exercise of any power under Part 1 of Schedule 3 to make the copy or to question a person from whom the article was taken from which the copy was made. The senior officer may grant an authorisation for the retention and use of the copy if satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for considering that the urgency condition is met in relation to the copy. An authorisation must be recorded in writing and may be granted subject to whatever conditions the senior officer thinks appropriate. If the senior officer does not agree to give authorisation, then the copy must be destroyed as soon as reasonably practicable, unless the examining officer decides to retain the copy under a different power or seek a non-urgent authorisation under paragraph 18.
114. Where that authorisation by the senior officer has been given, it is the examining officer's responsibility to notify the Commissioner and each affected party as soon as reasonably practicable and in any event within 24 hours after the grant of the authorisation. In being notified, the Commissioner must be provided with general details of the examination, including a case reference number, date and time of the stop, name and contact address (email or home address) of the person examined, identifier for the examining officer, power under which the copy was retained and that confidential material has been identified as being contained in the copy. The notification must also detail the conditions on which the authorisation was granted, the reasons for believing that there was an urgent need to retain and use the copy and why the standard retention process would not enable such use to take place with sufficient urgency. The notification must also include the name and identifier of the senior officer who gave the authorisation. Where the investigating police force is different to the force to which the examining officer belongs, the Commissioner must also be provided with details of which force to invite to make representations regarding retention of the copy.
115. In being notified, the person from whom the copy of the article was taken must be provided with a notice to inform them of the role of the Commissioner in relation to the copy. The notice must explain that the person is invited to make representations about how the Commissioner should proceed in relation to the copy, and the notice must include details of where to send the representations and the associated timescales for making them. The notice must explain that representations may be made by all other affected parties, including the Chief Officer of the relevant police force and the Secretary of State. The notice must also explain that there is no



obligation on any affected party or the Commissioner to provide information to other affected parties relating to any representations that have been submitted.

116. Where the examining officer initially retains a copy which consists of or includes confidential material under one power but subsequently retains the copy under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition is met, the person from whom the article was taken must be informed of the retention under the new power in writing as soon as reasonably practicable, which must include the notice. The examining officer should also attempt to contact the person by phone to inform them of this notice.
117. All representations to the Commissioner must be made in writing, which may include email. Any representations should be made no later than the end of two working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted. The Commissioner is required to have regard to any representations received within the two working-day period before making a decision. A decision to approve the authorisation or cancel the authorisation must be made after the end of the time for making representations and before the end of the period of three working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted.<sup>20</sup>
118. When representations are provided that continued retention and use is necessary, they should set out their support for the grant of the authorisation and if necessary, any further conditions or variation of the existing conditions that are sought.
119. It is not the responsibility of the examining officer to communicate a decision of the Commissioner to the affected parties. Once the Commissioner has made a decision on whether to approve, amend or cancel the authorisation, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties whether or not authorisation to retain and use the copy has been granted. The Commissioner will inform all affected parties, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable following a decision.
120. If the decision of the Commissioner is to cancel the authorisation, any further use of the copy by virtue of the authorisation must stop as soon as possible. The Commissioner may also direct that:

---

<sup>20</sup> For example, if authorisation to use the urgency condition is granted on a Monday, representations must be made no later than 11.59pm on the following Wednesday (end of two working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted). In this case, a decision to approve or cancel the authorisation must be made between 12.00am and 11.59 on Thursday (after the end of the time for making representations and before the end of the period of three working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the authorisation is granted.)

- a. The copy is destroyed, and
- b. All reasonable steps are taken to secure that any information derived from the copy is also destroyed.

121. Paragraph 22(10) of Schedule 3 provides an opportunity for an affected party to appeal where a Judicial Commissioner, other than the Commissioner, exercises a function in relation to a copy which consists of or includes confidential material. In these circumstances, the affected party may ask the Commissioner to decide whether to approve the way in which the function was exercised. This must be explained in the notice provided to the examinee where a copy which consist of or includes confidential material has been retained under paragraph 17(3)(d) or (e) and the urgency condition is met. It is, however, the responsibility of the Commissioner's office, when communicating the outcome to all affected parties, to indicate whether it was the decision of a Judicial Commissioner.
122. In communicating the decision of a Judicial Commissioner (but not a decision of the Investigatory Powers Commissioner) to the affected parties in relation to a copy retained under the urgency condition, the Commissioner's office will inform each party that the decision will not take effect until the Commissioner's office has confirmed that there has been no appeal requested, or if there has been an appeal, until the outcome of the appeal is notified. Where it has been communicated that the decision was taken by a Judicial Commissioner, the affected parties may appeal to the Investigatory Powers Commissioner within two working days beginning with the first working day after the day on which the decision is notified. Where an appeal has been made, the Commissioner will inform all affected parties of the decision, in writing, as soon as reasonably practicable. The decision at the conclusion of any appeal will be final and the associated action will be taken forward upon notification of the outcome.
123. The examining officer must comply with the decision and any associated direction of the Judicial Commissioner as soon as reasonably practicable, but only following notification by the Commissioner's office that no appeal has been requested, or having been notified of an appeal, the Commissioner confirms the outcome. Where the Commissioner directs the destruction of the copy, the person must be informed that no confidential material is being retained under paragraphs 17(3)(d) or (e) as soon as reasonably practicable. A decision or direction of the Commissioner does not preclude the examining officer from further retaining the copy under paragraph 17(3)(b) or (c) if the confidential material is capable of being separated from non-confidential material (see paragraph 91).

## Confidential Business Material

124. If, before an examining officer examines or copies an article, or during the process of examining the article or copy, the examining officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the article or copy consists of or includes (or would consist of or include, were the copy to be made) confidential business material within the meaning of section 14(2) of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, the examining officer must obtain the authorisation of an officer of at least the rank of superintendent who has not been directly involved in the exercise of Schedule 3 powers to the article or copy's retention, examination and use. The authorising officer may give such an authorisation only if satisfied that arrangements are in place that are sufficient for ensuring that the material is retained securely, and the material will be used only so far as necessary and proportionate in accordance with paragraphs 12(1)(9) or 18(1)(4) as applicable. Where authorisation is not given, the independent review process (or any other process designed to prevent the examining officer or any other officer involved in an examination under Schedule 3 examining the material) must be applied to separate out the confidential business material, if the examining officer considers it necessary and proportionate to examine non-confidential business material within the article or copy.

## Records of examinations

125. Records of all examinations and detentions must be kept for statistical or reference purposes for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the powers can be made. Records must include, as a minimum, the name of the person examined, the identification of officers using the powers, self-declared ethnicity of the person examined, if given; the location, date, time and total duration of the examination; and, if relevant, the time of detention.
126. Records of the examination and reviews of detention by the review officer must also be kept in accordance with the Management of Police Information guidance. Records of the examination, including records of reviews of detention, will not be given to the person or their solicitor at the time of the examination but will be managed in accordance with Management of Police Information guidance, General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018.

## 4. Detention

### When can a person be detained under Schedule 3?

127. An examining officer may detain a person under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3, at any time, for the purpose of exercising examination powers under paragraph 1 and 2 (i.e. in order to determine whether the person appears to be, or to have been, engaged in hostile activity and to question a person in the border area for the purpose of determining whether the person's presence there is connected with the person's entry into, or departure from, Northern Ireland). In order to continue to question a person beyond one hour from the commencement of the examination, the examining officer must detain the person before the expiry of that first hour of the examination.
128. The power to detain must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. The decision of an examining officer to detain a person will be made on a case by case basis, but may be made for the types of reasons described below:
  - a. **The person refuses to co-operate with any legal obligation or insists on leaving.** For example, where the person obstructs or seeks to frustrate the examination or where engagement as described above in paragraph 22 and/or examination have not allowed the examining officer to establish the purpose of someone's presence in the border area such that detention for the purposes of paragraph 2 is needed to satisfy requirements of paragraph 1(2).
  - b. **The examining officer determines that he/she will need longer than one hour to complete the examination.** For example, where a more extensive search of a person's belongings is necessary, or where the services of an interpreter are required.
  - c. **The examining officer deems it appropriate to exercise additional powers available through detention.** For example, to establish a person's identity or to assist in determining a person's engagement in hostile activity through the taking of fingerprints and samples (paragraphs 34 and 42 of Schedule 3), or where it is necessary to carry out a strip search (paragraph 8(5) of Schedule 3).
  - d. **To facilitate relocation of the examination from a port or border area location to another place, because there would be more suitable facilities at that place.**

The above list of reasons is illustrative only, not exhaustive. It is important to note that detention is an option at any time to compel the person to remain with the examining officer to continue to question and/or search during the examination process.

129. Where a person is detained under Schedule 3, at the start of the detention the examining officer must:
  - a. Inform the person that he or she is not under arrest or caution, but that he or she is being detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3;
  - b. Inform the person that the purpose of the detention is to facilitate his or her examination for the purpose explained at the outset of the examination;
  - c. Explain that the person is under particular duties and that he or she has particular rights (see from paragraph 127 of this Code for further information); and
  - d. Give them a Notice of Detention under Schedule 3 (as set out at Annex A), which explains a person's duties and rights.
130. Detention does not necessitate taking the person to another place. An examining officer may consider relocation from a port location to a police station or any other place where the person's presence is considered necessary:
  - a. If the port location **or the border area** is unsuitable for continued examination i.e. due to a lack of facilities, such as refreshments, toilets, or for some other welfare consideration;
  - b. To gain access to facilities or equipment not available at the port **or the border area** i.e. interpretation and translation services, video/audio recording<sup>21</sup>, biometric sampling equipment; and/or
  - c. The examining officer considers it appropriate to relocate for safety reasons.

The above list of reasons is illustrative only, not exhaustive.

## Duties of the person being examined when under detention

131. The examining officer must advise the detained person that he or she continues to be under a duty to answer questions and give the officer any information in his or her possession which the officer requests for the purposes of the examination (see paragraph 41 regarding questioning that would require a person to disclose or confirm the identity of a source of journalistic information or disclose information

<sup>21</sup> The lack of availability of recording facilities should not be the sole reason for a relocation decision.

subject to legal privilege). The examining officer must inform the person that wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed by Schedule 3, or wilfully obstructing, or seeking to frustrate a search or examination under Schedule 3, is a criminal offence under paragraph 23 of Schedule 3.

132. The examining officer should inform the person that any answer or information that the person provides orally in response to a question asked during the examination cannot be used as evidence in criminal proceedings. The person should also be informed that this will not be the case where the person is prosecuted for wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed under or by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 3; for obstructing or seeking to frustrate, a search or examination under Schedule 3; or where they are prosecuted for perjury.
133. The person should also be informed that it will be possible for oral answers given in response to questions put to the person in a Schedule 3 examination to be used as evidence in a situation where the person has been prosecuted for an offence other than those already mentioned where, in giving evidence, they make a statement that is inconsistent with that oral answer or information given. In such a situation, the person's statement can only be used where they or their lawyer first adduces it as evidence, or asks a question relating to it, in their defence.

## **Rights of the person being examined when under detention**

134. If during the course of examining a person who has been detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3, the examining officer decides to examine the person under Schedule 7 to the 2000 Act for counter-terrorism purposes, the person must be detained under paragraph 6 of Schedule 7. The officer must explain to the person that they are no longer detained under Schedule 3 and are being detained under Schedule 7 (at which point the officer must adhere to the Schedule 7 Code of Practice).
135. The reverse is true where an examining officer decides, during the course of examining a person who has been detained under Schedule 7, to examine the person under Schedule 3. In such circumstances, the person will have the rights and entitlements of a person detained for the purposes of examination from the outset of the second detention, and any rights that have been exercised with respect to the first detention may continue to be exercised during the second detention.

## **Right to access a solicitor in private**

136. Where a person is detained for the purpose of a Schedule 3 examination, that person is entitled to consult a solicitor in private (whether in person or by telephone or any



other reasonable way that the person wants to carry out that consultation) at any time if he or she so requests, which will be at public expense through legal aid.<sup>22</sup>

137. The examining officer must postpone questioning the person until he or she has consulted a solicitor in private (or has communicated that he or she no longer wishes to do so), unless the examining officer reasonably believes that postponing questioning until then would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination. For example, where the person insists on consulting with a particular solicitor who will not be available to consult with the person within a reasonable time period whether in person, on the telephone or by other means. If the examining officer decides not to postpone questioning the reasons must be recorded.
138. A detained person is entitled to consult privately with a solicitor in person unless the examining officer reasonably believes that the time it would take to consult a solicitor in person would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination. For example, if the detained person's solicitor of choice is a number of hours away and unable to get to the police station or port in sufficient time to allow the examination to proceed. Where the examining officer refuses to allow a person to consult with a particular solicitor in person, he or she must make a written record of their reasons for doing so. The examining officer must inform the detained person that he or she may consult with their solicitor by another mode, for example, private telephone conversation.
139. Where consultation in person between the detained person and a particular solicitor is refused, and the person elects to consult in another way, for example by telephone, the examining officer must facilitate this, unless they reasonably believe that doing so would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination. For example, the person may not phone the solicitor multiple times, or extend the duration of a call beyond a reasonable time if it appears that the purpose of the examination is likely to be prejudiced. In such circumstances, if the examining officer reasonably believes that the delay caused by requesting multiple phone calls will prejudice the purpose of the examination, for example by leaving insufficient time to interview the person properly, the questioning may proceed.
140. Where the person specifies that he or she wants to consult a particular solicitor, and that solicitor will not be available within a reasonable period of time by any means (personal, telephone or other means) the examining officer must advise the person of the duty solicitor scheme.
141. The person may choose to be accompanied by a solicitor during questioning, whether the consultation is or has been in person or by way of a telephone call. If the detained person expresses a wish for a solicitor to be present during questioning, the

---

<sup>22</sup> This includes UK and non-UK nationals

examining officer must facilitate this unless the officer reasonably believes that doing so would be likely to prejudice the purpose of the examination, for example by causing unreasonable delay to the process of examination.

142. The examining officer must explain the Schedule 3 powers to the solicitor and the obligations the person is under. The solicitor can also be provided with the Public Information Leaflet.

### ***England, Wales and Northern Ireland***

143. In certain exceptional circumstances, the entitlement to consult a solicitor in England, Wales and Northern Ireland can be delayed under paragraph 32 of Schedule 3 with the authority of a superintendent or above. For example, where the officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the consultation would lead to (paragraph 32(3)):
- a. Interference with or harm to evidence of an indictable offence;
  - b. Interference with or physical injury to any person;
  - c. The alerting of persons who are suspected of having committed an indictable offence but who have not been arrested for it;
  - d. The hindering of the recovery of property obtained as a result of an indictable offence; or,
  - e. Interference with the gathering of information about the commission, preparation, or instigation of acts carried out in connection with a person's engagement in hostile activity.
144. In such circumstances a police officer not below the rank of superintendent, may, if it appears to the officer to be necessary, direct (under paragraph 33 of Schedule 3) that the right may not be exercised (or further exercised) by consulting the solicitor who attends for the purpose of the consultation or who would so attend but for the giving of the direction. The right may instead be exercised by consulting a different solicitor of the detained person's choosing. This may be where the directing officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the detainee will use the cover of confidentiality of conversations with a solicitor to pass on instructions or information to a third party, either wittingly or unwittingly.

### ***Scotland***

145. In certain exceptional circumstances, the entitlement to consult a solicitor in Scotland can be delayed under paragraph 37 of Schedule 3 with the authority of a superintendent or above. For example, where, in the officer's view, the delay is necessary on one of the following grounds (paragraph 39(4)):



- a. That it is in the interests of the investigation or prevention of crime;
  - b. That it is in the interests of the apprehension, prosecution or conviction of offenders;
  - c. That it will further the recovery of property obtained as a result of the commission of an offence;
  - d. That it will further the operation of Part 2 or 3 of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 or the Proceeds of Crime (Northern Ireland) Order 1996 (S.I. 1996/1299 (N.I. 9)) (confiscation of the proceeds of an offence);
  - e. That it will further the gathering of information about the commission, preparation or instigation of acts carried out in connection with a person's engagement in hostile activity.
146. In such exceptional circumstances a police officer not below the rank of superintendent, may, if it appears to the officer to be necessary, direct (under paragraph 39 of Schedule 3) that the right may not be exercised (or further exercised) by consulting the solicitor who attends for the purpose of the consultation or who would so attend but for the giving of the direction. The right may instead be exercised by consulting a different solicitor of the detained person's choosing. This may be where the directing officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the detainee will use the cover of confidentiality of conversations with a solicitor to pass on instructions or information to a third party, either wittingly or unwittingly.
147. A police officer of at least the rank of Assistant Chief Constable, in Scotland, may also direct that the solicitor is not to be allowed to be present at an interview (under paragraph 41 of Schedule 3) if the officer is satisfied that the solicitor's behaviour during the interview would interfere with, or obstruct, the conduct of the interview.

### Other rights under detention

148. **The detained person is entitled to have a friend, relative or other person known to them who is likely to take an interest in their welfare informed as soon as is reasonably practicable that he or she is being detained (paragraph 29 of Schedule 3 or paragraph 37 for Scotland).** Under certain exceptional circumstances this right can be delayed under paragraph 32 or 37 of Schedule 3 with the authority of a police officer of at least the rank of superintendent, for example, if that officer has reasonable grounds for believing that informing that person will have any of the consequences referred to above.
149. **The detained person is entitled to obtain consular access, upon request, where the person is a citizen of a Commonwealth country or a national of a foreign country.** The detained person must be informed of this right as soon as reasonably practicable. Should the detained person request that his or her High

Commission, Embassy or Consulate be told of his or her whereabouts and the ground<sup>23</sup> for his or her detention, the request will be acted upon as soon as reasonably practicable. The exercise of this right must not be interfered with. An examining officer may continue to examine the detained person before contact with their Consul has been achieved. The examining officer may also continue to examine the detained person if the consulate has been notified. Finally, the examining officer may continue the questioning if the Consul is not available to communicate directly with the detained person. In all of these circumstances, the individual must comply with their duties under Schedule 3.

150. Where the detained person is a citizen of a country with which a bilateral consular convention or agreement<sup>24</sup> is in force requiring notification of their detention, the detained person must be informed that notification of their detention will be sent to the appropriate High Commission, Embassy or Consulate as soon as practicable, whether he or she request it or not, and such notification must be so given. If the detained person has dual or multiple nationalities, this will require both (or all) countries to be informed. Consular officers may, if the detained person agrees, visit the detained person and, if required, arrange legal advice.
151. Notwithstanding the provisions of consular conventions, if the detained person claims that they are a refugee or have applied, or intend to apply, for asylum status in the UK, the examining officer must ensure that UK Visas and Immigration (UKVI) is informed as soon as practicable. UKVI will then determine whether compliance with the relevant international obligations requires notification of the detention to be sent and will inform the examining officer as to what action is required.

### Access to healthcare and the examination period

152. The examining officer must continue to consider the person's welfare in accordance with paragraph 45 of this Code. Where a person detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3 is removed to hospital because the person needs medical treatment, the period of travel to and from hospital and time spent in hospital is not to be included in calculating the 6 hour examination period. Any time, however, during which the person is being questioned under paragraph 1 or 2 in hospital, or on the way there or back is to be included in calculating the 6 hour examination period (see paragraph 5(5) of Schedule 3). Where a person is removed to hospital for medical treatment, the examining officer must make a written record, clearly indicating when the examination was postponed and restarted.

<sup>23</sup> "Ground" here refers to the power under which they are detained and the purpose of the power.

<sup>24</sup> Consulate Convention or Agreement: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/table-of-consular-conventions-and-mandatory-notification-obligations> and <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/foreign-embassies-in-the-uk>.

## Children and other vulnerable people

153. Special care must be taken when considering whether to examine someone, where it is evident that the person is a child.<sup>25</sup>
154. Examining officers must bear in mind that children can be easily intimidated when examined especially if they are travelling alone. It is also important to bear in mind that children can be vulnerable to exploitation by adults wishing to further aims relating to hostile activity. Examining officers are not precluded from examining or detaining children but must do so only where they consider it to be absolutely necessary, for the purpose of allowing a determination to be made as to whether the child may be engaged in some way, wittingly or otherwise, in hostile activity. The examining officer must consider it necessary for the best interest of the child or in the interests of the public to question that child.
155. A child travelling alone must not be questioned in the absence of a responsible adult (for example a parent, guardian, teacher or social worker) unless there are exceptional reasons. If there are such reasons, the examining officer must record these in writing.
156. A child travelling with a responsible adult over 18 must be questioned in the presence of that responsible adult unless that person is thought to be exerting influence or pressure which could be detrimental to the child's interest, or is obstructive to the achievement of the purpose of the examination or the child objects to the presence of this person. If the examining officer decides to question the child in the absence of the responsible adult with whom that child is travelling, the reasons for taking that decision must be recorded.
157. If questioning under detention is considered necessary, it must only take place in the presence of an adult who can represent the child's interests. This should be a parent, a guardian, or (if the child is in care) a representative of the care authority or voluntary organisation. In the absence of any of the above, a social worker, or an adult who is not a police, immigration, customs or border enforcement officer, (or a person employed by those organisations), can act in this capacity. If the adult present during the questioning feels the need to consult a solicitor on the child's behalf they can do so.
158. The term 'in care' is used in this Code to cover all cases in which a child is 'looked after' by a local authority under the terms of the Children Act 1989, the Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 or the Children (Scotland) Act 1995.

---

<sup>25</sup> A child means anyone who appears to be under the age of 18 in the absence of clear evidence that he or she is older.

159. These principles apply to other vulnerable people such as those who have a mental disorder. 'Mental disorder' is a generic term which has the meaning given to it in section 1(2) of the Mental Health Act 1983 as amended by the Mental Health Act 2007 – that is, any disorder or disability of mind. In Scotland, the relevant definition of "mental disorder" is contained in section 328 of the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003, which is defined as "mental illness, personality disorder; or, learning disability". In Northern Ireland, the relevant definition of "mental disorder" is contained in article 3(1) of the Mental Health (Northern Ireland) Order 1986 and contains reference to "mental handicap", which is defined as "a state of arrested or incomplete development of mind which includes significant impairment of intelligence and social functioning".

## Strip Search

160. A strip search is a search involving the removal of more than outer clothing. This search power does not extend to requiring a person to undergo an intimate search (searching a person's body orifice other than the mouth). Strip searches must not be undertaken routinely and can only be conducted when a person has been detained. A strip search at a port or police station may only take place where an examining officer has reasonable grounds to suspect that the person is concealing something which may be evidence that the person is or has been engaged in hostile activity. The search must be authorised by an officer of at least one rank higher than the examining officer and who has not been directly involved in the questioning of the person.
161. Strip searches may also be conducted under other powers where appropriate, for example where there is a risk of serious harm to a person or there is reason to believe that evidence of an offence is in imminent danger of being destroyed.
162. The following procedures must be observed when strip searches are conducted:
- An officer carrying out a strip search must be of the same sex as the person searched. For guidance on dealing with persons who are transgender (including transsexual or transvestite) see paragraph 56 of this Code;
  - The search must take place in an area where the person being searched cannot be seen by anyone who does not need to be present, nor by a member of the opposite sex (except an appropriate adult whose presence has been specifically requested by the person being searched);
  - Except in cases of urgency, where the examining officer believes there is a risk of serious harm to the person being searched or to others, or whenever a strip search involves exposure of intimate parts of the body, there must be at least two people present other than the person being searched, and if the search is of a child or an otherwise vulnerable person (as defined in relevant

legislation referred to in paragraphs 149-155), a responsible adult must also be present;

- d. Except in urgent cases as above, a strip search of a child may take place in the absence of the responsible adult or with a different responsible adult present, only if the child signifies in the presence of the responsible adult that he or she prefers the search to be done either in the responsible adult's absence, or in the presence of a different responsible adult, provided that the responsible adult whose presence is not wanted agrees to be absent (and the responsible adult who is asked to attend agrees). A record must be made of the child's decision and signed by the responsible adult and any other responsible adult asked to attend. The presence of more than two people, other than a responsible adult, must be permitted only in the most exceptional circumstances;
- e. The search must be conducted with the proper regard to the sensitivity and vulnerability of the person concerned in these circumstances, and every reasonable effort must be made to secure the person's co-operation and minimise embarrassment. Persons who are searched must not, in the absence of exceptional circumstances, be required to have all their clothes removed at the same time, for example, a person should be allowed to put on their upper garments and then remove lower garments before further clothing is removed;
- f. Where necessary to assist the search, the person may be required to hold his or her arms in the air or to stand with his or her legs apart and to bend forward so that a visual examination may be made of the genital and anal areas, provided that no physical contact is made with any bodily orifice;
  - i. If, during the search, articles are found, the person may be asked to hand them over; and
  - ii. A strip search must be conducted as quickly as possible and the person allowed to dress as soon as the procedure is complete.

163. A record must be made of a strip search, including those present, the identity of the examining officer and the authorising officer, why it was considered necessary and the outcome of the search. The above provisions also apply to any person authorised under paragraph 10 of Schedule 3 by an examining officer to carry out a search on the officer's behalf.

## Reviews of Detention

164. A person who has been detained for examination under Schedule 3 must have his or her continued detention reviewed before the end of the period of one hour from the start of the detention. Subsequent reviews of detention must take place at intervals of

no more than two hours from the conclusion of the previous review. The reviewing officer who carries out the first review may also carry out subsequent reviews.

165. Where a person detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3 is removed to hospital because he or she needs medical treatment and the detention clock has been paused under paragraph 5(5), the review clock will also pause. For the purposes of calculating when a review under paragraph 52 is required, any time during which the person is being questioned under paragraph 1 or 2 in hospital or on the way there or back is to be included. Any other time when the person is in hospital or on the way there or back is not to be included.
166. Where a person who was initially detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3 has been further detained under Schedule 7 to the 2000 Act for counter-terrorism purposes, or vice versa, the review clock will continue to be calculated in accordance with the first detention.
167. The review officer must:
  - a. Be accredited to a nationally recognised standard;<sup>26</sup>
  - b. Not be directly involved in the questioning of the person. The review officer may have been involved in carrying out initial background checks or in supervising the examining officer but will not be involved in the questioning under examination. The review officer may speak to the person during the period of the examination, for example where the person wishes to make representations; and
  - c. Be of at least one rank higher than the examining officer. When this Code refers to an officer of higher rank, this includes an officer duly authorised in an acting capacity to perform the functions of the higher rank.
168. The review officer must consider whether:
  - a. The continued detention is necessary to enable the examining officer to obtain sufficient information for the purpose of allowing a determination to be made as to whether the person appears to be a person who is or has been engaged in hostile activity;
  - b. The examination is being conducted diligently and expeditiously;
  - c. The person being examined is aware of his or her duties and rights under paragraphs 29 and 30, or 37, of Schedule 3, and if any rights have been

---

<sup>26</sup> For further information please see Annex B.



requested to be exercised, the degree to which those requests have been satisfied (or otherwise); and

d. Reasonable steps have been taken to satisfy the welfare needs of the person.

169. A review may be carried out in person or remotely at the discretion of the review officer. The review officer must give the detained person or their solicitor an opportunity to make representations, but this should not be allowed to delay the review within the specified time limits. The review officer is required to inform the detained person of the result of the review. This should be done as soon as practicable but need not happen prior to the end of the one hour period from the point of detention, or the subsequent two hour periods from the point of the previous review. The person must, however, be informed of the result of the review before the recommencement of any interview.
170. Where a review officer authorises continued detention, the officer must inform the detainee of any of the detainee's rights, which have not yet been exercised. There is no requirement for the officer to inform the detainee of rights that have already been exercised or are in the process of being exercised.
171. There is no requirement to conduct a review of detention where the period of examination following detention lasts less than one hour (this does not include the time under examination prior to detention). A further review of detention must take place before the end of a period of two hours from the conclusion of the first review. Subsequent reviews of detention must take place at intervals of no more than two hours from the conclusion of the previous review.
172. A review officer carrying out a review must make a written record of the outcome of the review, including, where applicable:
  - a. The fact that the officer is satisfied that continued detention is necessary for the purposes of exercising a power under paragraph 1 or 2 of Schedule 3;
  - b. The fact that the detained person has been informed of any rights under paragraphs 29 or 30 (or 37 if the detention is in Scotland) of Schedule 3<sup>27</sup> which have not yet been exercised, and if the exercise of any of those rights is being delayed in accordance with paragraphs 31, 32, 37, or 38 of Schedule 3; and
  - c. Where exercise of a right under paragraph 29, 30 or 37 of Schedule 3 is being delayed, whether the reason(s) for the delay continue to apply.

---

<sup>27</sup> The rights to inform another person of the detention and to consult a solicitor.

173. If the review officer is of the opinion that the reason(s) have ceased to apply, he or she must inform the officer who authorised the delay of that opinion, unless the review officer was that officer. The officer who authorised the delay, or another officer of at least the rank of superintendent, must then review the need for the delay to continue.
174. Records of reviews must be made by the review officer as soon as reasonably practicable and must be kept for statistical or reference purposes for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the powers can be made.

## Identity, biometrics and photographs

175. Once a person has been detained an examining officer can take any steps which are reasonably necessary for photographing, measuring or identifying the detained person without the need for the person's consent, but this does not include the taking of fingerprints, intimate samples or non-intimate samples. Methods of photographing, measuring or identifying, which do not require consent, may involve the use of reasonable force if necessary and appropriate, and include photographing, measuring or examining any marks, scars, tattoos or other physical features which may assist in establishing the identity of that person. This may include verifying that a person is not a particular person.

## Non-intimate samples and fingerprints

### ***England, Wales and Northern Ireland***

176. Under paragraphs 34-36 of Schedule 3 for persons detained at a port or a police station in England, Wales or Northern Ireland, fingerprints or non-intimate samples (e.g. a DNA mouth swab) may be taken by a constable:
- a. With the person's consent in writing; or
  - b. Without the person's consent in writing if the person has been convicted of a recordable offence<sup>28</sup> and, where a non-intimate sample is to be taken, they were convicted of the offence on or after 10th April 1995 (or 29th July 1996 where the non-intimate sample is to be taken in Northern Ireland); as long as the officer is satisfied that it is necessary to do so in order to assist in determining whether the person is or has been engaged in hostile activity or that it will assist in ascertaining the person's identity.

---

<sup>28</sup> "Conviction" in this case does not include cautions, reprimands or warnings. "Recordable Offence" means any offence punishable with imprisonment, as well as any specified offence listed in the Schedule of the National Police Records (Recordable Offences) Regulations 2000.



177. Fingerprints or non-intimate samples (e.g. a DNA mouth swab) may also be taken by a constable without the person's consent where they are detained at a police station and a police officer of at least the rank of superintendent authorises the fingerprints or sample to be taken.
178. Such authority can only be given if the authorising officer is satisfied that if the authorising officer is satisfied that the taking of the fingerprints or sample is necessary to assist in determining whether the detained person appears to be someone who is or has been engaged in hostile activity or, in the case of fingerprints only, that the fingerprints will assist in ascertaining the person's identity where the person has refused to identify themselves or where the officer has reasonable grounds for suspecting that that person is not who they claim to be. If this authority is given verbally, it must be confirmed in writing as soon as is reasonably practicable.
179. The powers to take non-intimate samples and fingerprints must be exercised in a manner which is proportionate to the legitimate aim. Samples must be managed in compliance with the requirements of paragraph 43-51 of Schedule 3. There is no power to take intimate samples<sup>29</sup> under Schedule 3 whether by consent or otherwise.

### **Scotland**

180. Under paragraph 42 of Schedule 3, for persons detained at a police station in Scotland, fingerprints or non-intimate samples (e.g. a DNA mouth swab) may be taken by a constable as long as he is satisfied that it is necessary to do so in order to assist in determining whether the person is or has been engaged in hostile activity; or, in the case of fingerprints only, that the fingerprints will assist in ascertaining the person's identity where the person has refused to identify themselves or where the officer has reasonable grounds for suspecting that that person is not who they claim to be.
181. Samples must be managed in compliance with the requirements of paragraph 43-51 of Schedule 3. There is no power to take intimate samples under Schedule 3 whether by consent or otherwise.

### **Recording of interviews of persons detained under Schedule 3**

182. Where a person is detained under Schedule 3 at a police station in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, the interview must be conducted in accordance with Section 5 of this Code of practice for the video recording with sound of interviews, as provided for by paragraph 56(1)(c) of Schedule 3.

---

<sup>29</sup> As defined by section 65 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PartV).

183. Where a person is detained at a port, and suitable audio recording facilities are readily available, the examining officer must record the interview, unless the person willingly expresses a wish not to have it recorded. In such a situation, the recording of the interview will be at the examining officer's discretion. Where such audio recording facilities are available but recording of an interview does not take place, the examining officer must record the reason why.
184. Any such audio recordings are not made for evidential purposes but can be used for policing purposes, or in the case of a complaint, and this must be explained to the person.
185. Forces must make arrangements for the recording to be held securely for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the power can be made and managed in accordance with the Management of Police Information guidance.

DRAFT

## 5. Video recording with sound of interviews of persons detained under Schedule 3 at a police station

### General

186. This section applies to the video recording with sound of any questioning of a person detained for examination under Schedule 3 which takes place at a police station in England, Wales, Scotland or Northern Ireland.
187. The video recording of interviews shall be carried out openly to instil confidence in its reliability as an impartial and accurate record of the interview.
188. Any reference in this Code to video recording shall be taken to mean video recording with sound and in this Code:
- a. 'recording medium' means any removable, physical video recording medium (such as magnetic tape, optical disc or solid state memory) which can be played and copied; and
  - b. 'secure digital network' is a computer network system which enables an original interview video recording to be stored as a digital multimedia file or a series of such files, on a secure file server which is accredited by the National Accrator for Police Information Systems in accordance with the UK Government Protective Marking Scheme.
189. Before commencing an interview, the person must be reminded of their right to legal advice and the reasoning for any restriction to that right that may have been imposed by virtue of paragraphs 31-33 (England, Wales and Northern Ireland) and 37-41 (Scotland) of Schedule 3.
190. A decision not to video record an interview with a Schedule 3 detainee for any reason may be the subject of comment if a case comes to court. The authorising officer should therefore be prepared to justify their decision in each case.

### Interviews to be recorded with sound

191. The whole of the interview shall be recorded, including the taking and reading back of any statement.

192. On occasions it may be necessary to delay an interview to make arrangements to overcome any difficulties or problems that might otherwise prevent the record being made, for example, non-availability of suitable recording equipment and interview facilities.
193. Before any interview to which this Code applies starts, the person concerned and any solicitor, responsible adult<sup>30</sup> (where the person being questioned is a child or vulnerable person) or interpreter shall be given a written notice which explains the requirement that under this Code the interview must be video recorded with sound. At the same time, the person, the solicitor, the responsible adult and interpreter shall be informed verbally of the content of the notice.
194. If the person to be interviewed, the solicitor or the responsible adult raises objections to the interview being recorded, either at the outset or during the interview or during the break in the interview, the interviewing officer shall explain that the interview is being recorded in order to protect both the person being interviewed and the interviewing officer and that there is no opt out facility.
195. A sign or indicator which is visible to the detainee must show when the recording equipment is recording.
196. A uniformed officer not below the rank of inspector who has not been directly involved in the questioning (the authorising officer) may, if the conditions in paragraph 193 are satisfied, give authority in writing for the interviewing officer not to video record or, as the case may be, continue to video record, that interview. In this case:
- a. The interview or its continuation, shall, without exception, be audio recorded;
  - b. References in this Code to such an interview being video recorded shall be replaced by references to the interview being audio recorded; and,
  - c. The authorising officer shall make a note in specific terms of the reasons for not video recording the interview.
197. The conditions referred to in paragraph 192 are:
- a. If it is not reasonably practicable to video record or, as the case may be, continue to video record, the interview because of failure of the recording equipment or the non-availability of a suitable interview room or recording equipment; and,

---

<sup>30</sup> As defined in paragraph 151 of Section 4 of this Code.

- b. The authorising officer considers on reasonable grounds that the interview, or continuation of the interview, should not be delayed until the failure has been rectified or until a suitable room or recording equipment becomes available.

## Recording and sealing of master recordings

- 198. Where it is not already fixed in the appropriate position, the camera(s) shall be placed in the interview room so as to ensure coverage of as much of the room as is practicably possible whilst the interviews are taking place. Examining officers will wish to arrange that, as far as possible, video recording arrangements are unobtrusive. It must be clear to the person being interviewed, however, that there is no opportunity to interfere with the recording equipment or the recording media.
- 199. One recording, the master recording, will be sealed in the detainee's presence. A second recording will be used as a working copy. The master recording is either of the two recordings used in a twin deck/drive machine or the only recording in a single deck/drive machine. The working copy is either the second/third recording used in a twin/triple deck/ drive machine or a copy of the master recording made by a single deck/drive machine.
- 200. For the purpose of any interview to which this Code applies, no examining officer or other officer is required to record or disclose their identity. To protect the identity of officers, the officer may keep their backs to the camera and may use their warrant or other identification number and the name of the police location/unit to which they are attached.

## The Interview

### Commencement of interviews

- 201. When the person to be interviewed is brought into the interview room the interviewing officer shall without delay, but in sight of the person, load the recording equipment and set it to record. The recording media must be unwrapped or otherwise opened in the presence of the detainee.
- 202. The examining officer shall then tell the person formally about the video recording with sound and point out the sign or indicator which shows that the recording equipment is activated and recording. The examining officer shall:
  - a. Say the interview is being video recorded with sound (or audio recorded where video recording facilities are unavailable);
  - b. Give their warrant or other identification number and state the police location/unit they are attached to, and provide these details in relation to any other police officer present;

- c. Ask the person to be interviewed and any other party present (e.g. a solicitor) to identify themselves;
  - d. State the date, time of commencement and place of the interview; and
  - e. State that the person to be interviewed will be given a notice explaining that they will be supplied with a copy of the recording if they are charged or informed they will be prosecuted.
203. Any person entering the interview room after the interview has commenced shall be invited by the interviewing officer to identify themselves for the purpose of the video recording and state the reason why they have entered the interview room.
204. The examining officer shall then remind the person of their entitlement to independent legal advice and their right to consult a solicitor.
205. The examining officer should then explain that there is no right to silence and confirm that the person understands that a failure to answer a question asked of them or give any information requested by the officer is an offence under paragraph 23 of Schedule 3.

### **Interviews with the deaf or with those who do not understand English**

206. If the person appears to be deaf or there is doubt about their hearing or speaking ability or ability to understand English, they must not be interviewed in the absence of an interpreter.

### **Objections and complaints by the person**

207. If the detained person or a responsible adult raises objections to the interview being video recorded, either at the outset or during the interview or during a break in the interview the provisions outlined in paragraph 192 should be followed.
208. If in the course of an interview at a police station a complaint is made by the person being questioned, or on their behalf, about their detention, treatment or questioning or if the complaint is that the provisions of this Code have not been observed, then the examining officer shall record the matter and explain to the person how to make a formal complaint (as set out on the Schedule 3 Public Information Leaflet).
209. If the person being interviewed indicates that they wish to tell the examining officer about matters not directly connected with the matter about which they are being interviewed and that they are unwilling for these matters to be recorded, the detainee shall be given the opportunity to tell the examining officer about these matters after the conclusion of the formal interview.

### Changing the recording media

210. When the recording equipment indicates that the recording media has only a short time left to run, the examining officer shall so inform the person being interviewed and round off that part of the interview. If the examining officer leaves the room for a second set of recording media, the detainee shall not be left unattended. The examining officer will remove the recording media from the recording equipment and insert the new recording media which shall be unwrapped or opened in the person's presence. The recording equipment shall then be set to record on the new media. To avoid confusion between the recording media, the examining officer shall mark the media with an identification number immediately after they are removed from the recorder.

### Taking a break during the interview

211. When a break is taken, the fact that a break is to be taken, the reason for it and the time shall be recorded on the video record.
212. When the break is taken and the interview room vacated by the detainee, the recording media shall be removed from the recorder and the procedures for the conclusion of an interview followed.
213. When a break is to be a short one, and both the person being interviewed and a police officer remain in the interview room, the recording may be stopped. There is no need to remove the recording media and when the interview recommences the recording should continue on the same recording media. The time the interview recommences shall be recorded on the video record.
214. After any break in an interview, once the recording has resumed, the interviewing officer must before resuming the interview remind the person of their right to legal advice if they have not exercised it.

### Failure of recording equipment

215. If there is a failure of equipment which can be rectified quickly, e.g. by inserting new recording media, the appropriate procedures outlined under 'changing the recording media' shall be followed. When the recording is resumed the examining officer shall explain what has happened and record the time the interview recommences. If, however, it is not possible to continue recording on that particular recorder and no alternative equipment is readily available, the interview must cease until suitable equipment is available.



## Removing used recording media from recording equipment

216. Recording media which is removed from the recording equipment during the course of an interview shall be retained and the procedures under 'conclusion of interview' followed.

## Conclusion of interview

217. At the conclusion of the interview, the person being interviewed shall be offered the opportunity to clarify anything he or she has said and asked if there is anything that they wish to add.
218. At the conclusion of the interview, including the taking and reading back of any written statement, the time shall be recorded in the video record and the recording shall be stopped. The examining officer shall seal the master recording with a master recording label and treat it as an exhibit in accordance with force standing orders. The examining officer shall sign the label and also ask the person, their solicitor and any responsible adult or other third-party present during the interview to sign it. If the person or third-party refuses to sign the label, an officer of at least the rank of inspector, or if one is not readily available, the custody officer, shall be called into the interview room and asked to sign it.
219. The detainee shall be handed a notice which explains that if they are charged or informed they will be prosecuted, a copy of the video recording will be supplied as soon as practicable or as otherwise agreed between the detainee and police or on the order of a court.

## After the interview

220. The examining officer shall make a note that the interview has taken place and that it has been recorded. They shall also make a note of the date of the interview, the time it commenced, its duration, any breaks that were taken and the date and the identification number of the master recording.
221. Where no proceedings follow in respect of the person whose interview was recorded, the recording media must be kept securely in accordance with the provisions under the following subsection.

## Media security

### General

222. The officer in charge of the police station or force area at which interviews are video recorded in accordance with this Code shall make arrangements for the master recordings to be kept securely and their movements accounted for on the same basis

as other material which may be used for evidential purposes, in accordance with force standing orders.

### **Breaking master recording seal for criminal proceedings**

223. A police officer may only break the seal on a master copy, which is required for criminal trial or appeal proceedings, with the appropriate authority.
224. If in England and Wales it is necessary to gain access to the master copy, the police officer shall arrange for its seal to be broken in the presence of a representative of the Crown Prosecution Service. The person who has been interviewed or their legal adviser shall be informed and given a reasonable opportunity to be present. If they or their legal representative are present they shall be invited to reseal and sign the master copy. If neither accepts or neither is present, this shall be done by the representative of the Crown Prosecution Service.
225. If in Scotland or Northern Ireland it is necessary to gain access to the master copy, the written authority not below the rank of Assistant Chief Constable must be obtained before the recording is viewed. The person who has been interviewed and/or his/her solicitor will have the opportunity to be present and to witness the breaking of the seal and the resealing of the master recording.

### **Breaking master recording seal: other cases**

226. In England and Wales the Chief Officer of police is responsible for establishing arrangements for breaking the seal of the master copy where no criminal proceedings result, or the criminal proceedings to which the interview relates, have been concluded and it becomes necessary to break the seal. These arrangements should be those which the Chief Officer considers are reasonably necessary to demonstrate to the person interviewed and any other party who may wish to use or refer to the video recording that the master recording has not been tampered with and that the video recording remains accurate.
227. In Scotland or Northern Ireland, the written authority not below the rank of Assistant Chief Constable must be obtained for breaking the seal of the master copy in all cases.
228. Subject to paragraph 226, a representative of each party must be given a reasonable opportunity to be present when the seal is broken, and the master copy is copied and re-sealed.
229. If one or more of the parties is not present when the master recording seal is broken because they cannot be contacted or refuse to attend, arrangements should be made for an independent person such as a custody visitor, to be present. Alternatively, or as an additional safeguard, arrangements should be made for a film or photographs to be taken of the procedure.

230. Paragraph 224 does not require a person to be given an opportunity to be present when:
- a. It is necessary to break the master copy seal for the proper and effective investigation of matters connected to or arising from the examination of the person or the investigation of other matters or an offence; and
  - b. The examining officer or an officer in charge of an investigation has reasonable grounds to suspect that allowing such an opportunity might prejudice any such investigation or criminal proceedings which may be brought as a result or endanger any person.

### Documentation

231. Where a seal on a master recording is broken, the tape, disk or other unit of recording medium shall be resealed using a new seal bearing a new number. (Only the original seal will bear a number identical to that pre-printed on the original recording.) The person resealing the master recording will enter on the new seal the date of resealing and a suffix indicating whether this is the first, second etc. time the seal on the master recording has been broken. He/she shall also invite the person who was previously interviewed, if present, to make a mark on the new seal.

### Recording of interviews by Secure Digital Network

232. A secure digital network does not use removable media and this section specifies the provisions which will apply when a secure digital network is used.
233. The following requirements are solely applicable to the use of a secure digital network for the recording of interviews. In some cases, these are instead of or additional to the practices outlined in the previous subsections.

### Commencement of interview

234. When the detainee is brought into the interview room, the examining officer shall without delay and in the sight of the detainee, switch on the recording equipment and enter the information necessary to log on to the secure network and start recording.
235. The examining officer must then inform the detainee that the interview is being recorded using a secure digital network and that recording has commenced.
236. In addition to the requirements of paragraph 198 (a) to (d) above, the interviewer must inform the person that they will be given access to the recording of the interview in the event that they are charged or informed that they will be prosecuted but if they are not charged or informed that they will be prosecuted they will only be given access as agreed with the police or on the order of a court.

### Taking a break during interview

- 237. When a break is taken, the fact that a break is to be taken, the reason for it and the time shall be recorded on the audio recording. The recording shall be stopped and the procedures for the conclusion of an interview followed.
- 238. When the interview recommences, the procedures for commencing an interview shall be followed to create a new file to record the continuation of the interview. The time the interview recommences shall be recorded on the audio recording.
- 239. After any break in the interview, once the recording has resumed, the interviewing officer must before resuming the interview remind the person of their right to legal advice if they have not exercised it.

### Failure of recording equipment

- 240. If there is an equipment failure which can be rectified quickly, e.g. by commencing a new secure digital network recording, the interviewer shall follow the appropriate procedures under 'taking a break during interview'. When the recording is resumed the interviewer shall explain what happened and record the time the interview recommences. If, however, it is not possible to continue recording on the secure digital network the interview should be recorded on removable media.

### Conclusion of interview

- 241. At the conclusion of interview, the detainee shall be offered the opportunity to clarify anything he or she has said and asked if there is anything they want to add.
- 242. At the conclusion of the interview, including the taking and reading back of any written statement, the time shall be recorded and the detainee shall be handed a notice which explains that if they are charged or informed that they will be prosecuted, they will be given access to the recording of the interview either electronically or by being given a copy on removable recording media, but if they are not charged or informed that they will be prosecuted, they will only be given access as agreed with the police or on the order of a court.
- 243. The detainee must be asked to confirm that they have received a copy of the notice. If the detainee fails to accept or to acknowledge receipt of the notice, the examining officer will state for the recording that a copy of the notice has been provided to the detainee and that they have refused to take a copy of the notice or have refused to acknowledge receipt.
- 244. The time shall be recorded and the examining officer shall notify the detainee that the recording is being saved to the secure network. The examining officer must save the recording in the presence of the detainee. The detainee should then be informed that the interview is terminated.

### After the interview

- 245. The examining officer shall make a note that the interview has taken place, was video and/or audio recorded, its time, duration and date and the original recording's identification number.
- 246. If no proceedings follow in respect of the person whose interview was recorded, the recordings must be kept securely.

### Security of secure digital network interview records

- 247. Interview record files are stored in read only format on non-removable storage devices, for example, hard disk drives, to ensure their integrity. The recordings are first saved locally to a secure non-removable device before being transferred to the remote network device. If for any reason the network connection fails, the recording remains on the local device and will be transferred when the network connections are restored.
- 248. Access to interview recordings, including copying to removable media, must be strictly controlled and monitored to ensure that access is restricted to those who require access to achieve a legitimate end. For example, police officers involved in the investigation of hostile activity or a criminal offence, or persons interviewed if they have been charged or informed they may be prosecuted and their legal representatives.

## 6. Examination of Goods

### What goods can be examined?

249. An examining officer, or a person designated under paragraph 10 of Schedule 3, may only examine goods for the purpose of determining whether they have been used in connection with a person's engagement in hostile activity. The examination must not be undertaken for any other purpose.
250. "Goods" includes property of any description and containers. This includes both non-postal and postal items, whether unaccompanied or in the possession of a person.

### Where Schedule 3 powers can be exercised in relation to goods

251. The power to examine goods under paragraph 9 of Schedule 3 may be exercised:
- a. In respect of goods which have arrived in or about to leave Great Britain or Northern Ireland on a ship, vehicle or international train.<sup>31</sup>
  - b. In respect of goods which have arrived at or are about to leave any place in Great Britain or Northern Ireland on an aircraft (whether the place they have come from or are going to is within or outside Great Britain or Northern Ireland).
252. Examination of goods may be carried out only:
- a. at a port;
  - b. at premises operated by a sea cargo agent or an air cargo agent;
  - c. at a temporary storage facility;
  - d. at a location designated by the Secretary of State.

---

<sup>31</sup> The Channel Tunnel (International Agreements) Order 1993, as amended by Schedule 3, provides that examining officers can exercise Schedule 3 powers in a railway station or other place where persons embark or disembark, or goods are loaded or unloaded, on or from a through train or shuttle train and in the tunnel system.

253. In order to determine whether to examine goods under paragraph 9, examining officers are permitted to:

- a. board a ship or aircraft;
- b. enter a vehicle;
- c. enter premises operated by a sea cargo agent or an air cargo agent;
- d. enter a temporary storage facility; and
- e. enter a designated examination location.

Wherever possible, reasonable efforts should be made to obtain the permission of the owner or occupier before entering.

## Selection Criteria

254. Although the selection of goods for examination is not conditional upon the examining officer having grounds to suspect that the goods have been used in connection with a person's engagement in hostile activity, the decision to select goods for examination must not be arbitrary. An examining officer's decision to select goods for examination must be informed by the threat from hostile activity posed to the United Kingdom and its interests by foreign states and hostile actors acting for, on behalf of, or otherwise in the interests of, those States, whether those actors are active in or outside the United Kingdom.

255. It is not appropriate for race, ethnic background, religion and/or other "protected characteristics" (whether separately or together) to be used as criteria for selection except to the extent that they are used in association with considerations that relate to the threat from hostile activity. Considerations that relate to the threat from hostile activity include factors such as, but not exclusively:

- a. Known and suspected sources of hostile activity;
- b. Persons or groups whose current or past involvement in hostile activity, or threats of it, is known or suspected, and suspected or known supporters or sponsors of such activity;
- c. Any information on the origins and/or location of hostile actors;
- d. Possible current, emerging and future hostile activity;
- e. The means and documentation by which the goods have travelled and the route of travel that the goods have taken;



- f. Patterns of travel through specific ports or in the wider vicinity that may be linked to hostile activity, or appear unusual for the intended destination;
- g. The physical appearance of the goods; and/or,
- h. Referrals made to examining officers by other security, transport or enforcement bodies.

## Examination

### Conducting an examination

- 256. If goods that are selected for examination are unaccompanied, the examining officer must apply a notice to the outside of the goods, or enclose a notice within the goods, once the examination has been completed to indicate that the goods have been examined. The notice will identify the police force that has examined the goods and will give a unique reference number for the examination. This must allow the identification of the examining officer who carried out the examination in the event of a query or complaint. The procedure for making a complaint about the examination of goods is the same as that relating to complaints about the examination of a person, and is set out in Section 7 of this Code.
- 257. After examining goods, an examining officer will also enclose a Goods Information Leaflet with the goods. The Goods Information Leaflet is available in multiple languages, and outlines the purpose and provisions of Schedule 3, obligations under Schedule 3, and relevant contact details (including those needed to provide feedback or make a complaint).
- 258. Examining officers must make every reasonable effort to exercise the power in such a way as to minimise causing embarrassment or offence to the sender or recipient of the goods or a person carrying the goods and must not examine goods for longer than is necessary.

### Treatment of confidential material

- 259. If before an examining officer examines or copies any goods, or during such an examination, the officer has reasonable grounds for believing that the goods consist of or include confidential material, the examining officer must apply the same principles to the treatment of those items as outlined in Section 3 of this Code under the relevant subsection ('treatment of confidential material').

### Records of examinations

- 260. Records of all examinations of goods must be kept for statistical or reference purposes for as long as judicial challenge or a complaint about the use of the

powers can be made. Records must include, as a minimum, the name of the person to whom the goods belong, the identification of the officer using the powers, the date, time and place of the examination, brief details of the item examined and any damage caused during the examination. Records of all examinations will be managed in accordance with Management of Police Information guidance, General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018.

DRAFT

## 7. Complaints

Complaints about the conduct of examining officers, treatment of an individual during an examination, or by individuals who have sent or received goods which have been examined must be directed to:

- **The Chief Officer of the force responsible for the port/airport where the person has been examined/detained where the examining officer is a police officer;** or
- **Independent Office for Police Conduct**  
Address: The Independent Office for Police Conduct  
PO Box 473, Sale, M33 0BW  
Phone: 0300 020 0096 (09:00-17:00)  
Email: [enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk](mailto:enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk); or
- **Police Investigations and Review Commissioner for Scotland**  
Address: Hamilton House, Hamilton Business Park, Caird Park,  
Hamilton, ML3 0QA  
Phone: 01698 542900 (09:00-16:45)  
Email: [enquiries@pirc.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:enquiries@pirc.gsi.gov.uk); or
- **Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland**  
Address: The Police Ombudsman Office, New Cathedral  
Buildings, Writers' Square, 11 Church Street, Belfast, BT1 1PG  
Phone: 03001232989  
Email: [complaints@policeombudsman.org](mailto:complaints@policeombudsman.org); or
- **Where the examining officer is an immigration or customs officer:**  
Address: Complaints Allocations Hub, Central point of receipt  
7th Floor, Lunar House, 40 Wellesley Road,  
Croydon CR9 2BY  
Email: [complaints@homeoffice.gov.uk](mailto:complaints@homeoffice.gov.uk)

# Annex A: Notice of Detention under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019

To.....

You have been detained under paragraph 4 of Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 ("Schedule 3"). This is so that an Examining Officer may exercise powers under that Schedule for the purpose either of determining whether you appear to be a person who is or has been engaged in hostile activity or whether your presence in the border area is connected with your entering or leaving Northern Ireland.

**You will only be questioned to determine if your presence in the border area is connected with your entering or leaving Northern Ireland in order to satisfy the requirements of paragraph 1(2) to Schedule 3.**

A person is or has been engaged in hostile activity for the purposes of Schedule 3 if the person is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of a hostile act that is or may be (a) carried out for, or on behalf of, a State other than the United Kingdom, or (b) otherwise in the interests of a State other than the United Kingdom. An act is a "hostile act" if it (a) threatens national security (b) threatens the economic well-being of the United Kingdom in a way that is relevant to the interests of national security, or (c) is an act of serious crime. It is immaterial whether a person is aware that activity in which they are or have been engaged is hostile activity, or whether a State for or on behalf of which, or in the interests of which, a hostile act is carried out has instigated, sanctioned, or is otherwise aware of, the carrying out of the act.

You will not be examined under this power for more than 6 hours beginning with the time you were first questioned under Schedule 3. You are not under criminal investigation and are not under arrest on suspicion of having committed an offence. For this reason, you are not being issued with a caution and you do not have the right to remain silent. Should the circumstances change during the course of the examination you will be notified.

## Your duties

Whilst being examined you must:

- a. Answer questions put to you by the examining officer;

- b. Give the examining officer any information in your possession which the officer requests (including the PINs and passcodes to any electronic devices in your possession);
- c. Give the examining officer on request either a valid passport which includes a photograph, or another document which establishes your identity;
- d. Declare whether you have with you documents of a kind specified by the examining officer;
- e. Give the examining officer on request any document which you have with you and is of a kind specified by the officer;
- f. Co-operate with any search of your person or property.

If you wilfully fail to comply with any of these duties, or willingly obstruct or seek to frustrate a search or examination conducted under Schedule 3, you could be prosecuted for a criminal offence under paragraph 23 of Schedule 3.

During your detention, an examining officer can take any steps which are reasonably necessary for photographing, measuring or identifying you with or without your consent.

If you are detained in England, Wales and Northern Ireland, a police officer can also take your fingerprints and a non-intimate sample either with your consent or without it if you: (i) have been convicted of a previous recordable offence; or (ii) are detained at a police station and the taking has been authorised by a police officer of at least the rank of superintendent. If you are detained at a police station in Scotland, a police officer can take your fingerprints and a non-intimate sample, either with or without your consent.

Any answer or information that you provide orally in response to a question asked during the examination cannot be used as evidence in criminal proceedings. This, however, will not be the case where you are prosecuted for wilfully failing to comply with a duty imposed, or wilfully obstructing or seeking to frustrate a search or examination under or by virtue of Schedule 3, or where you are prosecuted for perjury.

It will also be possible for oral answers given in response to questions put to you in a Schedule 3 examination to be used as evidence in a situation where you have been prosecuted for an offence other than those mentioned above where, in giving evidence, you make a statement that is inconsistent with that oral answer or information given. In such a situation, your statement can only be used as evidence where you or your lawyer first adduces it as evidence, or asks a question relating to it, in your defence.

## Your rights:

### Do you want someone informed?

You may, if you wish, have a friend, a relative or a person who is known to you, or who is likely to take an interest in your welfare, informed that you are being detained. **In certain circumstances, under paragraph 8 of Schedule 8 to the Terrorism Act 2000 (or paragraph 16 of Schedule 8 in Scotland), an officer of at least the rank of superintendent may delay the exercise of this right.**

Do you want to consult a solicitor?

You may wish to consult a solicitor privately, whether in person or by telephone. This will be at public expense subject to legal aid. You may not be questioned until you have consulted a solicitor (or have communicated that you no longer wish to do so) unless the examining officer reasonably believes that postponing questioning would be likely to prejudice the determination of the matters to be established by the examination. If you do not wish to consult a solicitor now, you may do so later and at any time while you are detained. **In certain circumstances, under paragraph 8 of Schedule 8 to the Terrorism Act 2000, or paragraph 16 of Schedule 8 in Scotland, an officer of at least the rank of superintendent may delay this right.**

If you do not have details of a solicitor, details of an independent solicitor can be supplied to you.

**Do you want to contact your Consul?**

If you are detained and a citizen of a Commonwealth country or a national of a foreign country you may request access to your Consul. **You may also** request that your High Commission, Embassy or Consulate be told of your whereabouts and the ground for your detention. **If you do, this** request will be acted upon as soon as practicable. The exercise of this right may not be interfered with. **You may be questioned before contact with your Consul has been achieved and must still comply with your duties under Schedule 3 (as set out above).**

Additionally, if you are a citizen of a country with which the United Kingdom has a bilateral consular convention or agreement in force requiring notification of the detention of its citizens, notification of your detention will be sent to the appropriate High Commission, Embassy or Consulate as soon as practicable, whether you request it or not. Consular officers may, if you agree, visit you to talk with you, and if required, arrange legal advice. Such legal advice will take place out of the hearing of a police officer.

**Notice of Detention**

Served on Day: ..... date: ..... at: ..... hours By (Warrant No):.....

Witnessed by (Warrant No):

.....  
Signature of detained person:

.....  
Witnessed by: (Interpreter/Appropriate Adult/Solicitor):

.....

DRAFT



# Annex B: Training and Accreditation of examining officers and review officers under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019.

## ACCREDITATION OF EXAMINING OFFICERS

### Requirement for Code of Practice

1. The Secretary of State is required to issue a Code of Practice about training to be undertaken by constables, immigration officers and customs officers who are to act as examining officers or exercise other functions under Schedule 3.

### Requirement for training and accreditation

2. Any police, immigration or customs officer who is to use Schedule 3 powers unsupervised must have received training and accreditation in the exercise of those functions.<sup>32</sup>

### Training and accreditation standards

3. Training standards and the accreditation programme will be set and governed by the College of Policing on behalf of the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC) (or their successors).
4. The training and accreditation of examining officers must be a national programme delivered at a local level by a force or a group of regional forces. This approach gives individual forces or regional areas the responsibility and flexibility to deliver training and accreditation to suit their local needs, although the core elements of competence to practice as an examining officer will be a mandatory requirement.

### Training and accreditation for an examining officer

5. All examining officers must undertake a nationally approved programme, which must include a period of training and a pass/fail examination. On successful completion of the training and examination, officers must be accredited by their relevant Chief Officer, Director General or Commissioner (as appropriate).

---

<sup>32</sup> See Section 1, paragraph 11 of this Code of Practice.

## Accreditation of police officers as examining officers

6. The accreditation training and testing programme is periodically delivered nationally.

## Accreditation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers

7. A customs officer may not seek accreditation to act as an examining officer under Schedule 3 unless that officer has been designated by both the Secretary of State and the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs for the purposes of exercising powers under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000 (see paragraph 64(3)(c) of Schedule 3).
8. Similarly, an immigration officer may not seek accreditation to act as an examining officer unless that officer has been designated by the Secretary of State for the purpose of exercising Schedule 7 powers (see paragraph 64(3)(b) of Schedule 3).
9. In order to exercise Schedule 3 powers, a customs officer or immigration officer must have been accredited, unless authorised by an examining officer to exercise powers under paragraphs 7-9 of Schedule 3. The process of attaining such accreditation will be similar to that for accrediting police officers as examining officers and will include a period of training followed by a pass/fail examination. The Chief Officer of the police force area where the immigration or customs officer will operate must have been consulted and in agreement with the process used for testing competency and the proposal to accredit.

## Designation of immigration and customs officers as examining officers

10. An immigration or customs officer can only exercise functions under Schedule 3 where the officer has been designated by the Secretary of State (or, in the case of customs officers, by the Secretary of State and the Commissioners of Customs and Excise), to exercise similar powers under Schedule 7 to the Terrorism Act 2000.

## Two year cycle of accreditation

11. To continue to use the Schedule 3 powers, examining officers must be re-accredited every two years. The re-accreditation process will consist of a refresher course followed by a pass/fail multiple choice examination. If an individual fails the examination they will not be able to act as an examining officer until they have successfully completed the accreditation process or unless supervised by an accredited examining officer for the purposes of paragraphs 7 to 9 of Schedule 3. The scope for re-training and testing will be as agreed between the NPCC and appropriate stakeholders.

## Assessment of ongoing competence

12. Ongoing competence in the use of Schedule 3 powers will be assessed during the two year period following accreditation at a force level through local staff management and performance monitoring processes. Any necessary remedial action will be taken in line with individual force policy and procedure.
13. The NPCC will issue advice to forces on methods of assessing on-going competence for the examining officer role. Local forces are responsible for ensuring that the performance of their officers continues to meet the standards set by the training and accreditation process. They are also responsible for ensuring remedial steps are taken to address the performance standards of any officer that is not meeting those standards.

## ACCREDITATION OF REVIEW OFFICERS

### Requirement for Code of Practice

14. The Secretary of State is required to issue a Code of Practice about the provision of training to be undertaken by persons who are to act as review officers.

### Requirement for training and accreditation

15. Review officers are required to periodically review the need for continued detention of people detained under Schedule 3. Only accredited review officers may perform this function. In order to be accredited, prospective review officers must receive training. Training will only be provided to appropriate supervisory police officers, including those likely to perform the role in an acting capacity.<sup>33</sup>

### Training and accreditation standards

16. Training standards and the accreditation programme will be set and governed by the College of Policing on behalf of the NPCC (or their successors).
17. The training and accreditation of review officers will be a national programme delivered at a local level by a force or a group of regional forces. This approach gives individual forces or regional areas the responsibility and flexibility to deliver training and accreditation to suit their local needs, although the core elements of competence to practice as a review officer will be a mandatory requirement.

---

<sup>33</sup> An officer in an acting role must be an accredited Examining Officer and accredited Review Officer. They must also hold a current OSPRE Part 1/ NPPF Step 2 qualification. The Review Officer must be one rank above the officer carrying out the Schedule 3 examination. The officer acting up will be in this rank for a specific period of time. If no such officer is available then an alternative Review Officer would be sought from another location.

## Training and accreditation for a review officer

18. All review officers will undertake a nationally approved programme, which will include a period of training and a pass/fail examination. On successful completion of the training and examination, officers will be accredited by their appropriate Chief Officer.

## Accreditation of police officers and review officers

19. The accreditation training and testing programme is periodically delivered nationally.

## Two-year cycle of accreditation

20. To continue to act as review officers, officers must be re-accredited every two years. The re-accreditation process will consist of a refresher course followed by a pass/fail multiple choice examination. If an individual fails the examination they will not be able to act as a review officer until they have successfully completed the accreditation process. The scope for re-training and testing will be as agreed between the NPCC and appropriate stakeholders.

## Assessment of ongoing competence

21. Ongoing competence in the role of review officer will be assessed during the two year period following accreditation at a force level through local staff management and performance monitoring processes. Any necessary remedial action will be taken in line with individual force policy and procedure.
22. The NPCC will issue advice to forces on methods of assessing on-going competence for the review officer role. Local forces are responsible for ensuring that the performance of their officers continues to meet the standards set by the training and accreditation process. They are also responsible for ensuring remedial steps are taken to address the performance standards of any officer that is not meeting those standards.

## Annex C: Hostile Activity

1. The United Kingdom's position and influence in the world makes us a target for a range of hostile actors who seek to undermine us politically, economically and militarily. The UK is also home to a number of foreign dissidents who cannot return to their country of origin for fear of detention without any prospect of a fair trial, torture or murder. Despite taking residence in the UK, such dissidents may continue to be of interest to other governments and security agencies who may seek to cause them harm.
2. Those engaged in hostile activity are not always acting on the explicit direction of another State, but this does not mean that the activity, whether wittingly or otherwise, would not further the interests of a State other than the UK. For example, the person engaging in hostile activity may not be aware of the benefits to another State, but the activity would threaten national security or the economic well-being of the UK in a way relevant to national security or would be an act of serious crime.
3. The impact of hostile activity on the security and economy of the UK can be severe. The powers under Schedule 3 to the Counter-Terrorism and Border Security Act 2019 will support UK law enforcement in detecting, disrupting and deterring those who are or have been engaged in hostile activity. They will allow an examining officer to stop, question, search and detain a person at a port or the border area in Northern Ireland for the purpose of determining whether the person appears to be a person who is, or has been, engaged in hostile activity. It also allows for the examination of goods for the purpose of determining whether they have been used in connection with a person's engagement in hostile activity.
4. A person is or has been engaged in hostile activity for the purposes of Schedule 3 if the person is or has been concerned in the commission, preparation or instigation of a hostile act that is or may be (a) carried out for, or on behalf of, a State other than the United Kingdom, or (b) otherwise in the interests of a State other than the United Kingdom. An act is a "hostile act" if it (a) threatens national security (b) threatens the economic well-being of the United Kingdom in a way that is relevant to the interests of national security, or (c) is an act of serious crime. It is immaterial whether a person is aware that activity in which they are or have been engaged is hostile activity, or whether a State for or on behalf of which, or in the interests of which, a hostile act is carried out has instigated, sanctioned, or is otherwise aware of, the carrying out of the act.
5. In particular, we are concerned with preventing and disrupting the following activity, although this is not an exhaustive list.

## Espionage

6. Those engaged in espionage are often concerned with the acquisition and collection of information that is generally unavailable to the public. The information that such actors may be interested in could include protectively marked government documents, sensitive business contracts or military information. Where hostile actors are seeking to undermine or disadvantage the national security or economy of the UK in a way relevant to national security, they may be interested in information relating to UK foreign and defence policy, as well as our financial, technological and commercial interests.
7. To gain access to such information, hostile actors may operate under the cover of a false identity and/or claim to be of a profession that would not arouse suspicion. For example, it is not uncommon for hostile actors to pose as tourists, business people, or even journalists and lawyers, as these professions can help facilitate access to information, individuals or organisations that might otherwise be unwilling to engage.
8. Hostile actors will often seek to cultivate and recruit people to act on their behalf. This can be beneficial where the person is in possession of, or can gain access to, information that may be of interest to the hostile actor. Individuals recruited for these purposes are referred to as 'agents'. Alternatively, a hostile actor may seek to recruit an individual who, based on their legitimate contacts, profile, or business activities, might be able to act as an intermediary, or provide access to an individual, organisation, or government of interest to another State. Individuals recruited for these purposes are referred to as 'co-optees'. Use of co-optees in this manner can sometimes decrease the risk of the hostile actor being detected.
9. A hostile actor will not always reveal their identity to their agent or co-optee, so it is possible that such individuals could be unaware that they are acting on behalf of a hostile actor. For example, they may believe that they are working for a legitimate business, or charity, which is in fact being utilised specifically for the purpose of espionage.

## Cyber Attack/ Sabotage

10. A wide range of hostile actors are capable of targeting the UK through the use of cyber-attacks, which can be conducted from anywhere in the world. Attacks that are directed at the UK by the agencies of another State are often the most damaging and some of their activity may require travel to the UK. Such attacks can severely damage and disrupt UK national infrastructure and critical systems, as well as the businesses that are vital to our economy. Cyber-attacks can also be used to gain unauthorised access to sensitive information without the risks that come with deploying individuals to acquire it in person.

11. Hostile actors may also engage in sabotage, which can take the form of physical damage or disruption to national infrastructure of the UK.

## **Murder/ Kidnap**

12. In the most extreme cases, hostile actors may seek to kidnap, forcibly repatriate or murder individuals residing in the UK. These individuals may be outspoken critics of a particular government or organisation. Such activity is often undertaken covertly but may have visibly devastating consequences. The most recent examples of this on UK soil are the murder of Alexander Litvinenko in 2006 and the poisoning of Sergei and Yulia Skripal in 2018, which resulted in the death of Dawn Sturgess.

## **Disinformation**

13. More recently, hostile actors have begun utilising a range of different techniques to attempt to sway public opinion and to divide and undermine their opponents. An increasingly common technique involves the use of human and automated 'troll farms' – the mass creation of fake social media profiles, online posts/comments and false imagery/video content – to proliferate disinformation. In some cases, 'troll farms' are backed by a State and are provided the resource to carry out large-scale disinformation campaigns.

DRAFT