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## Introduction

This report provides information about smoking and drinking based on data collected by the General Household Survey in 2006. It also includes tables showing data on the trends and changes in smoking and drinking measured by the GHS over several decades.

## An overview of the General Household Survey

The General Household Survey (GHS) is a multi-purpose continuous survey carried out by the Office for National Statistics (ONS). It collects information on a range of topics from people living in private households in Great Britain. The survey started in 1971 and has been carried out continuously since then, except for breaks to review it in 1997/ 1998 and to redevelop it in 1999/2000.

The survey presents a picture of households, families and people living in Great Britain. This information is used by government departments and other organisations, such as educational establishments, businesses and charities, to contribute to policy decisions and for planning and monitoring purposes.

The interview consists of questions relating to the household, answered by the household reference person or spouse, and an individual questionnaire, asked of all resident adults aged 16 and over. Demographic and health information is also collected about children in the household. The GHS collects data on a wide range of core topics which are included on the survey every year. These are:

- demographic information about households, families and people;
- housing tenure and household accommodation;
- access to and ownership of consumer durables, including vehicles;
- migration
- employment
- education;
- health and use of health services;
- smoking;
- drinking;
- family information, including marriage, cohabitation and fertility;
- income.

The modular structure of the GHS allows for a number of additional topics to be included each year to a plan agreed by its sponsors. Only one such topic, on social and cultural participation, was included in the 2006 survey.

The 2006 GHS was sponsored by the Office for National Statistics, Information Centre for health and social care, Department for Work and Pensions, HM Revenue \& Customs, Scottish Government and Eurostat.

Since April 1994, the GHS has been conducted on a financial year basis, with fieldwork spread evenly across the year April-March. However, in 2005 the survey period reverted to a calendar year to bring it in line with other ONS continuous surveys.

Another change in 2005 was that, in line with European requirements, the GHS adopted a longitudinal sample design, in which households remain in the sample for four years (waves) with one quarter of the sample being replaced each year. Thus approximately three quarters of the 2005 sample were re-interviewed in 2006. More details are given in Appendix B.

A major advantage of the longitudinal component of the design is that it is more efficient at detecting statistically significant estimates of change over time than the previous crosssectional design. This is because an individual's responses to the same question at different points in time tend to be positively correlated, and this reduces the standard errors of estimates of change.

The response rate for the 2006 survey was 76 per cent, giving an achieved sample size of 9,731 households and 18,214 adults aged 16 and over, of whom 16,736 gave a full interview in person (interviews obtained by proxy from another member of the household do not include questions on smoking and drinking).

## Other GHS results for 2006

Results for other GHS topics will be combined with those from other sources in Social Trends and other reports due to be published in 2008. Tables from all GHS topic areas are published on the National Statistics website: www.statistics.gov.uk/ ghs. Technical information about the GHS in the form of appendices is also available at www.statistics.gov.uk/ ghs, including:

- a glossary of definitions and terms used throughout the report and notes on how these have changed over time (Appendix A);
- information about the sample design and response (Appendix B);
- sampling errors (Appendix C)
- weighting and grossing (Appendix D);
- the household and individual questionnaires used in 2006, excluding self-completion forms and prompt cards (Appendix E);
- a list of the main topics covered by the survey since 1971 (Appendix F).


## 1 Smoking

Questions about smoking behaviour have been asked of GHS respondents aged 16 and over in alternate years since 1974. Following the review of the GHS carried out in 1997, the smoking questions became part of the continuous survey and have been included every year from 2000 onwards. Note, however, that the tables in this report show data for every four years from 1974 to 1998.

This report updates information about trends in cigarette smoking presented in earlier GHS reports and on the National Statistics website. It also discusses variations according to personal characteristics such as sex, age, socio-economic classification and economic activity status, and comments briefly on the prevalence of cigarette smoking in different parts of Great Britain. Smoking prevalence in relation to ethnicity is not included in this report: the 2005 reporti included this topic in some detail, based on five years combined data, to give large enough samples for analysis in minority ethnic groups. Other topics covered in 2006 include cigarette consumption, type of cigarette smoked, how old respondents were when they started smoking, and dependence on cigarettes.

## The reliability of smoking estimates

As noted in earlier GHS reports, it is likely that the GHS underestimates cigarette consumption and (perhaps to a lesser extent) prevalence (the proportion of people who smoke). For example, evidence suggests that when respondents are asked how many cigarettes they smoke each day, there is a tendency to round the figure down to the nearest multiple of 10 . Underestimates of consumption are likely to occur in all age groups.

Under-reporting of prevalence, however, is most likely to occur among young people. To protect their privacy, particularly when they are being interviewed in their parents' home, young people aged 16 and 17 complete the smoking and drinking sections of the questionnaire themselves, so that neither the questions nor their responses are heard by anyone else who may be present. This is probably only partially successful in encouraging honest answersii.

When considering trends in smoking, it is usually assumed that any under-reporting remains constant over time. However, since the prevalence of smoking has fallen, this assumption may not be entirely justified. As smoking has become less acceptable as a social habit, some people may have become less inclined to admit how much they smoke - or, indeed, to admit to smoking at all.

## The effect of weighting on the smoking data

Weighting to compensate for non-response was introduced on the GHS in 2000 and was described in detail in the GHS 2000 reportiii. The effect of weighting on the smoking data is slight, increasing the overall prevalence of cigarette smoking by one percentage point. The change occurs because weighting reduces the contribution to the overall figure of those aged 60 and over, among whom prevalence is relatively low.

## Government policy and targets for the reduction of smoking

In December 1998 Smoking Kills - a White Paper on tobacco ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ was released, which included targets for reducing the prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults in England to 24 per cent by 2010. In 2004, the Department of Health agreed a new Public Service Agreement (PSA) which revised the target downwards: the aim now is to reduce the prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults to 21 per cent or less by 2010 v .

Since smoking is estimated to be the cause of about one third of all cancers, reducing smoking is also one of three key commitments at the heart of the NHS Cancer Plan, which was published in $2000^{\text {vi. }}$. In particular, the Cancer Plan focuses on the need to reduce the comparatively high rates of smoking among those in manual socio-economic groups, which result in much higher death rates from cancer among unskilled workers than among professionals. The more recent PSA targets mentioned in the previous paragraph also included reducing prevalence among routine and manual groups to 26 per cent or less.

Legislation came into force in February 2003 which banned cigarette advertising on billboards and in the press and magazines, and further restrictions on advertising at the point of sale were introduced in December 2004. A ban on smoking in enclosed public places came into force in Scotland during the spring of 2006: similar bans in England and Wales were introduced in 2007.

The GHS interview cannot accommodate extensive questions about people's views on smoking, but the Information Centre for health and social care regularly commissions the inclusion of such questions on the ONS Omnibus Survey, most recently in October/ November 2006vii.

## The prevalence of cigarette smoking

## Trends in the prevalence of cigarette smoking

The overall prevalence of smoking among the adult population was 22 per cent in 2006, compared with 24 per cent the previous year. The fall of two percentage points is statistically significant, and it occurred among both men and women.

This downturn follows a period of little change since the second half of the 1990s: the prevalence of cigarette smoking fell substantially in the 1970s and the early 1980s, from 45 per cent in 1974 to 35 per cent in 1982. The rate of decline then slowed, with prevalence falling by only about one percentage point every two years until 1994, after which it levelled out at about 27 per cent before resuming a slow decline in the 2000s.

It should be noted that during periods when the prevalence of smoking in the general population is changing little, upward and downward movements in survey estimates are to be expected, and this can make the detection of trends over a short period difficult.

Throughout the period during which the GHS has been monitoring cigarette smoking, prevalence has been higher among men than among women, and this continues to be the case: in 2006, 23 per cent of men and 21 per cent of women were cigarette smokers.

Figure 1.1: Prevalence of cigarette smoking: Great Britain, 1974 to 2006


The present difference of two percentage points in prevalence between men and women is considerably less than it was in the 1970s. In 1974, for example, 51 per cent of men smoked cigarettes, compared with 41 per cent of women. The reduction in the difference results mainly from a combination of two factors.

1. First, there is a cohort effect resulting from the fact that smoking became common among men several decades before it did among women. In the 1970s there was a fall in the proportion of women aged 60 and over who had never smoked regularly.
2. Second, men are more likely than women to have given up smoking cigarettes. It should be noted, however, that this difference conceals the fact that some men who give up smoking cigarettes remain smokers (by continuing to smoke cigars and pipes). This is very rare among women who stop smoking cigarettes.

It should be noted that the proportion of respondents saying that they used to smoke regularly was the same in 2005 and 2006 ( 27 per cent of men and 21 per cent of women). However, the proportion saying that they had never smoked regularly did rise, suggesting that the measured fall in prevalence between 2005 and 2006 may be due to people becoming more reluctant to admit to smoking, rather than to more people giving up.

Smoking among different age groups is another key area of interest. Since the early 1990s, the prevalence of cigarette smoking has been higher among those aged 20 to 24 than among those in other age groups, but the difference relative to the next age group, those aged 25 to 34 , has reduced in recent years. Up to the early twenties, more young people are starting to smoke than are giving up (as shown later, only
about one in six of those who have smoked at some time in their lives took up the habit at age 20 or older).

Since the survey began, the GHS has shown considerable fluctuation in prevalence rates among those aged 16 to 19, particularly if young men and young women are considered separately. However, this is mainly because of the relatively small sample size in this age group and has occurred within a pattern of overall decline in smoking prevalence in this age group. The year on year fall in prevalence among those aged 16 to 19 from 24 per cent in 2005 to 20 per cent in 2006, although marked, is on the borderline of statistical significance, but is significantly lower than the rate of 31 per cent in 1998. Sampling fluctuations have also affected comparisons between young men and women in this age group. In recent years, prevalence has tended to be higher among young women than among young men, but this was not the case in 2006, when it was at the same level, 20 per cent, for both sexes.

At 12 per cent in 2006, prevalence continues to be lowest among men and women aged 60 and over. Although they are more likely than younger people to have ever been smokers, they are also much more likely to have given up.

Figure 1.1, Tables 1.1-1.3

## Cigarette smoking and marital status

The prevalence of cigarette smoking varies considerably according to marital status. It is much lower among married people than among those in any of the three other marital status categories (single, cohabiting, and widowed, divorced or separated). This is not explained by the association between age and marital status (for example, married people and those who are widowed, divorced or separated are older, on average, than single people). Table 1.5 shows that in every age group except the youngest, married people were less likely to be smokers than were other respondents (although the difference is not statistically significant among those aged 60 and over). For example, among those aged 25 to 34, 34 per cent of those who were single and 35 per cent of those who were cohabiting were smokers, compared with only 21 per cent of those who were married.

Tables 1.4-1.5

## Cigarette smoking and socio-economic classification

The National Statistics Socio-economic Classification (NS-SEC), which was introduced in 2001, does not allow categories to be collapsed into broad nonmanual and manual groupings. So, since the Cancer Plan targets for England relate particularly to those in the manual socio-economic groups, the old socio-economic groupings have been recreated for this report in Table 1.6. Because of the new occupation coding, the classifications are not exactly the same, and comparisons with previous years should be treated with caution.

The GHS has consistently shown striking differences in the prevalence of cigarette smoking in relation to socio-economic group, with smoking being considerably more prevalent among those in manual groups than among those in non-manual groups. In the 1970s and 1980s, the prevalence of cigarette smoking fell more sharply among those in non-manual than in manual groups, so that differences between the groups became proportionately greater (table not shown). There was little further change in the relative proportions smoking cigarettes during the 1990s.

In England in 2006, 28 per cent of those in manual groups were cigarette smokers, compared with 33 per cent in 1998, confirming progress towards the targets set out in the Cancer Plan. These are to reduce prevalence among those in the manual group to 26 per cent in 2010. However, since the proportion of those in nonmanual groups who are cigarette smokers has fallen by a similar amount (from 22 per cent in 1998 to 17 per cent in 2006) the differential between non-manual and manual has not reduced.

Figure 1.2: Prevalence of cigarette smoking by socio-economic group: England, 1992 to 2006*


* weighted data are shown from 1998 onwards

However, caution is advisable when making comparisons over this period: the recreated socio-economic groups may have been affected by the change from head of household to household reference person as the basis for assessing socio-economic group, and by revisions to the way in which occupation is coded.

Table 1.7 shows similar trends in England since 2001 using the new socio-economic classification of the household reference person. It was noted earlier that there is a PSA target to reduce the prevalence of smoking among those in households classified as routine or manual to 26 per cent or lower by 2010. Over the period 2001 to 2006, the prevalence of cigarette smoking fell by four percentage points among those in routine and manual households, from 33 per cent to 29 per cent. Prevalence also fell by four percentage points among those in managerial and professional households (from 19 per cent in 2001 to 15 per cent in 2006), but the decrease in prevalence was somewhat greater among those in intermediate households, where it fell from 27 per cent to 21 per cent over the same period.

The prevalence of cigarette smoking in Great Britain in 2006 in relation to the eight- and three category versions of NS- SEC is shown in Table 1.8. As was the case with the socio-economic groupings used previously, there were striking differences between the various classes. Prevalence was lowest among those in higher professional and higher managerial households ( 11 per cent and 14 per cent respectively) and highest, at 31-32 per cent, among those whose household reference person was in a routine or semi-routine occupation.

Figure 1.2, Tables 1.6-1.8

## Cigarette smoking and economic activity status

Those who were economically active were more likely to smoke than those who were not, but this is largely explained by the lower prevalence of smoking among those aged 60 and over, who form the majority of economically inactive people.

Indeed, prevalence was highest among economically inactive people aged 16 to 59: 30 per cent of this group were smokers, compared with 24 per cent of economically active people aged 16-59 and only 12 per cent of economically inactive people aged 60 and over. Prevalence was particularly high among economically inactive people aged 16 to 59 whose last job was a routine or manual one, 46 per cent of whom were cigarette smokers.

Table 1.9

## Regional variation in cigarette smoking

The data presented so far have been mainly for Great Britain, but the PSA targets and those included in the NHS Cancer Plan relate to England only. Table 1.10 shows that in 2006, overall prevalence in England was 22 per cent, the same as in Great Britain as a whole.

In every previous year except 2004, prevalence has been higher in Scotland than in England, although the difference has not always been large enough to be statistically significant. In 2006, 25 per cent of adults in Scotland were smokers, a significantly higher proportion than in England. In Wales, 20 per cent of adults were smokers, significantly fewer than in Scotland, but not significantly different from the proportion in England.

Care should be taken in interpreting differences between the regions of England in any one year, because sample sizes are small in some cases, making them subject to relatively high levels of sampling error. However, the inclusion of questions on smoking in every year since 2000, together with the relative stability of smoking prevalence in recent years, facilitates the combination of several years of data to enable more robust regional comparisons to be made. Table 1.12 shows data for the three years 2004 to 2006 combined, giving a sample of more than 50,000 adults in Great Britain.

This shows the same differences between the three countries of Great Britain as were described above for 2006 alone: prevalence among men and women is significantly higher in Scotland than in England and Wales. It also shows that the proportion of adults who have never smoked regularly is the same in all three countries, 53 per cent, so that the variation in prevalence is entirely due to different proportions having stopped smoking.

For men in England, the three regions of England with the highest prevalence were the North East, the North West and Yorkshire and the Humber, where 26-27 per cent of men were cigarette smokers (similar to the level in Scotland, and significantly higher than in all other regions except London). Among women, prevalence in the North East, at 28 per cent, was significantly higher than in every other region of England, and also significantly higher than in Wales and Scotland. The prevalence of cigarette smoking was lowest, at 20 per cent, among women in the West Midlands, the East of England, London and the South East.

Much of the overall regional variation in prevalence is contributed by differences in the proportions of smokers smoking 20 or more cigarettes a day. Among men, this
ranges from 6 per cent in London and the South West to 12 per cent in Scotland. Among women, the range is even greater, from 4 per cent in the East of England, London and the South East to 10 per cent in the North East.

Tables 1.10-1.12

## Cigarette consumption

The overall decline in smoking prevalence since the mid 1970s has been due to a fall in the proportions of both light smokers (defined as fewer than 20 cigarettes per day) and heavy smokers ( 20 cigarettes or more per day). The proportion of all adults smoking on average 20 or more cigarettes a day has fallen among men from 26 per cent in 1974 to 8 per cent in 2006, and from 13 per cent to 5 per cent of women over the same period.

In all age groups, respondents are much more likely to be light than heavy smokers, the difference being most pronounced among those aged under 35. For example, 17 per cent of young men and 19 per cent of young women aged 16 to 19 were light smokers in 2006, and only 2 per cent and 1 per cent respectively were heavy smokers.

The overall reported number of cigarettes smoked per male and female smoker has changed little since the early 1980s: the apparent slight fall among men smokers since the 1990s appears to be due to the introduction of weighting.

As in previous years, male smokers smoked more cigarettes a day on average than female smokers: in 2006, men smoked on average 15 cigarettes a day, compared with 13 for women. Cigarette consumption also varied by age. Among both men and women smokers, those aged 35 to 59 smoked the most - men smokers in this age group smoked on average 16 cigarettes a day and women smoked 14-15 a day.

GHS reports have consistently shown cigarette consumption levels to be higher among male and female smokers in manual socio-economic groups than among those in non-manual groups. A similar pattern is evident in relation to NS-SEC. In 2006, smokers in households where the household reference person was in a routine or manual occupation smoked an average of 15 cigarettes a day, compared with 12 a day for those in managerial or professional households.

Tables 1.13-1.16

## Cigarette type

Filter cigarettes continue to be the most widely smoked type of cigarette, especially among women, but there has been a marked increase since the early 1990s in the proportion of smokers who smoke mainly hand-rolled tobacco. In 1990, 18 per cent of men smokers and 2 per cent of women smokers said they smoked mainly handrolled cigarettes, but by 2006 this had risen to 35 per cent and 16 per cent respectively. It should be noted that this increase in the proportion of smokers smoking mainly hand-rolled tobacco coincides with a fall in the prevalence of cigarette smoking from 30 per cent in 1990 to 22 per cent in 2006, so that the proportion of all adults who smoke hand-rolled tobacco has not increased so sharply: it has risen from about 3 per cent to about 5 per cent (no table shown).

There are likely to be two main reasons for this increase in the use of hand-rolled cigarettes:

- the rise in the real price of packaged cigarettes - hand-rolled ones are cheaper;
- the reduced tar and nicotine yield of packaged cigarettes: depending on how they are rolled and smoked, hand-rolled ones can give a higher tar and nicotine yield.

The use of hand-rolled tobacco was more common among men aged 35 and over than among younger men. Among women smokers there was less variation with age, except that only 9 per cent of women smokers aged 60 or over used handrolled tobacco.

Figure 1.3, Tables 1.17-1.18

Figure 1.3: Type of cigarette smoked, by sex: Great Britain, 1974 to 2006
(a) Men

(b) Women


## Tar yield ${ }^{\text {viii }}$

Table 1.19 shows the very marked reduction in the tar yield of cigarettes over the period during which the GHS has been collecting information about brand smoked. In 1986, 40 per cent of those who smoked manufactured cigarettes smoked brands yielding 15 mg or more of tar per cigarette. In the following decade, the proportion smoking this type of cigarette fell to zero. Initially, this was partly due to smokers switching to lower tar brands, but the main factor has been the requirement for manufacturers to reduce substantially the tar yields of existing brands. Following legislation in 1992, they were required to reduce the tar yield to no more than 12 mg per cigarette by the beginning of 1998. An EU Directive which came into force at the end of 2002 further reduced the maximum tar yield to 10 mg per cigarette from J anuary 2004.

The effect of the recent changes in legislation can be seen in Table 1.20, in that there have been no brands with a yield of 12 mg or more since 2003, even though these were the main brand of more than one third of smokers in previous years. There has been a compensating increase in the next highest category: the proportion of smokers smoking brands with a yield of 10 but less than 12 mg increased from 13 per cent in 1998 to 71 per cent in 2002. since when it has remained at about the same level. Although this may seem surprising in view of the maximum legal declared yield of 10 mg , the Directive relates to the tar yield as declared by the manufacturer, and this is permitted to vary by up to 15 per cent from the yield as measured for the Laboratory of the Government Chemist. Thus the yield as measured, which is what the GHS tables show, may be up to 11.5 mg for a declared value of 10 mg .

Among smokers aged under 60, differences between men and women in the tar yield of their usual brand were small. Among those aged 60 and over, however, women were much less likely to smoke brands in the highest tar band: 84 per cent of men smokers but only 65 per cent of women smokers in that age group did so.

There was also a difference in tar yield of cigarettes smoked according to the socio-economic class of the smoker's household reference person. Those in managerial and professional households were more likely than other smokers to smoke lower tar cigarettes: 27 per cent of smokers in managerial and professional households smoked cigarettes with a tar yield less than 8 mg , compared with only 13 per cent of smokers in routine and manual households.

Tables 1.19-1.22

## Cigar and pipe smoking

A decline in the prevalence of pipe and cigar smoking among men has been evident since the survey began, with most of the reduction occurring in the 1970s and 1980s.

In 2006, only 3 per cent of men smoked at least one cigar a month, compared with 34 per cent in 1974. Only a small number of women smoked cigars in 1974, and since 1978 the percentages have been scarcely measurable on the GHS. In previous years, cigar smoking has not been related to age, but in 2006 there was a clear age difference, with men aged 35 and over being more likely than younger men to say they had a cigar at least once a month.

Men were also asked whether or not they smoked a pipe 'at all nowadays'. Only 1 per cent of men in 2006 said they did, and they were almost all aged 50 and over.

Figure 1.4, Tables 1.23-1.24
Figure 1.4: Type of tobacco product smoked by men, 1974 to 2006


## Age started smoking

The White Paper Smoking Kills ${ }^{3}$ noted that people who start smoking at an early age are more likely than other smokers to smoke for a long period of time and more likely to die prematurely from a smoking-related disease.

About two thirds of respondents who were either current smokers or who had smoked regularly at some time in their lives had started smoking before they were 18. Indeed, almost two fifths had started smoking regularly before the age of 16, which was until recently the lowest age at which cigarettes could legally be bought ${ }^{\text {ix }}$. Men were more likely than women to have started smoking before they were 16 ( 41 per cent of men who had ever smoked regularly, compared with 36 per cent of women in 2006).

Since the early 1990s there appears to have been an increase in the proportion of women taking up smoking before the age of 16: in 1992, 28 per cent of women who had ever smoked had started before they were 16: this had risen to 36 per cent in 2005, but there was no further increase in 2006. There has been little change since 1992 in the proportion of men who had ever smoked who had started smoking regularly before the age of 16 .

As the GHS has shown in previous years, there was an association between age started smoking regularly and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person. Of those in managerial and professional households, 31 per cent had started smoking before they were 16, compared with 45 per cent of those in routine and manual households.

Current heavy smokers were much more likely than light or ex-smokers to have started smoking at an early age. Of those smoking 20 or more cigarettes a day, 53 per cent started smoking regularly before they were 16, compared with only 33 per cent of those currently smoking fewer than 10 cigarettes a day.

Tables 1.25-1.27

## Dependence on cigarette smoking

In order for the prevalence of cigarette smoking to reduce, young people have to be discouraged from starting to smoke and existing smokers have to be encouraged to stop. Since 1992, the GHS has asked three questions relevant to the likelihood of a smoker giving up. First, whether they would like to stop smoking, and then two indicators of dependence: whether they think they would find it easy or difficult not to smoke for a whole day; and how soon after waking they smoke their first cigarette. There has been very little change since 1992 in any of the three dependence measures used.

For an attempt to stop smoking to be successful, the smoker must want to stop. In 2006, 68 per cent of smokers said they would like to stop smoking altogether. The relationship between wanting to stop smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked is not straightforward. In every survey since the questions were first included in 1992, the proportion wanting to give up has been highest among those smoking on average 10-19 cigarettes a week, although, as in 2006, differences have not always been statistically significant.

It is interesting that it is not the heaviest smokers who are most likely to want to stop. This may be because they feel it would be too difficult or because they have been discouraged from wanting to stop by previous unsuccessful attempts. Furthermore, some previously heavy smokers who would like to give up may have cut down their consumption prior to an attempt to do so.

In 2006, 59 per cent of smokers felt that it would be either very or fairly difficult to go without smoking for a whole day. Not surprisingly, heavier smokers were more likely to say they would find it difficult - 82 per cent of those smoking 20 or more cigarettes a day did so, compared with only 26 per cent of those smoking fewer than 10 cigarettes a day.

Since women are less likely to be heavy smokers than men, it might be expected that women would be less likely to say they would find it hard to stop smoking for a day. As in almost every year shown in Table 1.29, however, this was not the case: in each of the three consumption categories shown, women were more likely than men to say they would find it hard not to smoke for a day, although the differences were not statistically significant in 2006. This difference between men and women smokers is not inconsistent with the overall similarity of the proportions saying they would find it hard not to smoke for a day ( 59 per cent of men and 60 per cent of women) because women are less likely than men to be heavy smokers, who are most likely to say they would find it difficult.

In 2006, 16 per cent of smokers had their first cigarette within five minutes of waking up. Heavy smokers were more likely than light smokers to smoke immediately on waking up: 36 per cent of those smoking 20 or more cigarettes did so, compared with only 2 per cent of those smoking fewer than 10 a day. Men were more likely than women to say they had their first cigarette within five minutes of waking- 18 per cent of men smokers, compared with 15 per cent of women smokers did so.

Women smokers are therefore more likely to perceive themselves as dependent despite the fact that on average they smoke fewer cigarettes a day than men, but appear to be less dependent in that they are less likely to smoke first thing when they wake up. There is no statistically significant difference between men and women smokers in the proportions wanting to give up.

Smokers in intermediate households were more likely than smokers in either managerial and professional or routine and manual households to say they would like to give up smoking altogether ( 73 per cent compared with 69 per cent and 66 per cent respectively). The difference was particularly marked among those smoking 20 or more cigarettes a day.

Overall, smokers in routine and manual households were more likely than others to say they would find it difficult to go without smoking for a whole day (63 per cent compared with 53 per cent among those in managerial and professional households, and 60 per cent among those in intermediate households). However, once amount smoked was taken into account (smokers in the routine and manual group smoke more on average than smokers in other social classes) the pattern of association was less clear.

Overall, smokers in managerial and professional households were less likely than other smokers to have had their first cigarette within five minutes of waking, and this was the case even when allowing for the fact that they smoked fewer cigarettes, on average. The differences between smokers in the other two groups of households - intermediate, and routine and manual - were smaller, and not consistently in the same direction.

Tables 1.28-1.33

## Notes and references

i Goddard E, General Household Survey 2005, Smoking and drinking among adults, 2005, ONS 2006.
ii See Chapter 4, General Household Survey 1992, HMSO 1994. This includes a discussion of the differences found when smoking prevalence reported by young adults on the GHS was compared with prevalence among secondary school children.
iii See Appendix D, Living in Britain: results from the 2000 General Household Survey. The Stationery Office (London 2001).
iv Smoking kills - a White Paper on tobacco. The Stationery Office (London 1998) http://
v Available at www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/media/8/7/sr04_psa_ch3.pdf
vi The NHS Cancer Plan, Department of Health, 2000: available at www.dh.gov.uk/assetRoot/04/01/45/13/04014513.pdf
vii The results are published in Lader D et al, Smoking-related behaviour and attitudes, 2006 ONS (London 2007)
viii An error was found in the automated procedure for coding the brand of cigarette smoked which was introduced when the GHS moved to computerised interviewing in April 1994. The net effect of this was that from 1994 to 2000, some brands were wrongly assigned to a low tar category. The coding procedure was revised for the 2001 survey. Corrected data for 1998 and 2000 are given in Tables 1.19 and 1.20.
ix The legal minimum age for the purchase of cigarettes and other tobacco has been 16 since 1908, but it was raised to 18 on 1 October 2007.

Table 1.1 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex and age: 1974 to 2006


12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/05 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year

Table 1.2 Ex-regular cigarette smokers by sex and age: 1974 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { base 2006 } \\ 10005 \text { s } \\ =100 \%^{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ 2006 |
|  | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |  |  |
| Percentage of ex-regular cigarette smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1,295 | 392 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 1,270 | 376 |
| 25-34 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 3,140 | 1053 |
| 35-49 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 33 | 32 | 27 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 5,636 | 2093 |
| 50-59 | 30 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 42 | 40 | 41 | 40 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 3,337 | 1374 |
| 60 and over | 37 | 43 | 47 | 52 | 52 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 47 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 49 | 5,240 | 2389 |
| All aged 16 and over | 23 | 27 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 19,918 | 7677 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1,278 | 423 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 1,548 | 507 |
| 25-34 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 3,520 | 1320 |
| 35-49 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 6,392 | 2490 |
| 50-59 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 3,577 | 1513 |
| 60 and over | 11 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 6,406 | 2752 |
| All aged 16 and over | 11 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 22,721 | 9005 |

[^0]Table 1.3 Percentage who have never smoked cigarettes regularly by sex and age: 1974 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted <br> base 2006 <br> (000s) <br> $=100 \%{ }^{3}$ | Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ 2006 |
|  | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Percentage who have never smoked regularly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 56 | 61 | 65 | 65 | 68 | 67 | 64 | 65 | 67 | 71 | 75 | 68 | 72 | 74 | 77 | 1,295 | 392 |
| 20-24 | 38 | 46 | 50 | 47 | 54 | 53 | 49 | 50 | 58 | 51 | 55 | 54 | 55 | 59 | 56 | 1,270 | 376 |
| 25-34 | 26 | 33 | 39 | 43 | 48 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 47 | 51 | 49 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 3,140 | 1053 |
| 35-49 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 34 | 42 | 46 | 45 | 49 | 49 | 51 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 5,636 | 2093 |
| 50-59 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 26 | 31 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 41 | 40 | 41 | 46 | 3,337 | 1374 |
| 60 and over | 18 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 36 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 38 | 5,240 | 2389 |
| All aged 16 and over | 25 | 29 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 19,918 | 7677 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 58 | 62 | 64 | 62 | 62 | 67 | 62 | 61 | 66 | 63 | 66 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 76 | 1,278 | 423 |
| 20-24 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 55 | 62 | 61 | 61 | 1,548 | 507 |
| 25-34 | 42 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 52 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 51 | 53 | 58 | 56 | 57 | 3,520 | 1320 |
| 35-49 | 41 | 44 | 47 | 46 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 55 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 6,392 | 2490 |
| 50-59 | 38 | 39 | 41 | 47 | 51 | 52 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 51 | 53 | 3,577 | 1513 |
| 60 and over | 63 | 60 | 57 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 6,406 | 2752 |
| All aged 16 and over | 49 | 49 | 51 | 51 | 52 | 54 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 57 | 58 | 22,721 | 9005 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year

## Smoking and drinking among adults 2006

Table 1.4 Cigarette-smoking status by sex and marital status

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital status | Current cigarette smokers |  |  |  | Current non-smokers of cigarettes |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { base }(000 s)= \\ 100 \% \end{array}$ | Unweighted sample |
|  |  | Light (under 20 per day) | Heavy (20 or more per day) | Total | Ex-regular cigarette smokers | Never or only occasionally smoked cigarettes |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | \% | 20 | 6 | 27 | 11 | 62 | 4,868 | 1502 |
| Married/cohabiting | \% | 13 | 8 | 21 | 32 | 47 | 12,929 | 5357 |
| Married couple | \% | 11 | 7 | 18 | 34 | 49 | 10,754 | 4536 |
| Cohabiting couple | \% | 26 | 12 | 37 | 23 | 39 | 2,174 | 821 |
| Widowed/divorced/separated | \% | 14 | 13 | 28 | 35 | 37 | 2,122 | 818 |
| All aged 16 and over | \% | 15 | 8 | 23 | 27 | 50 | 19,918 | 7677 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | \% | 22 | 5 | 26 | 10 | 63 | 4,280 | 1513 |
| Married/cohabiting | \% | 0 | 0 | 18 | 22 | 59 | 13,682 | 5649 |
| Married couple | \% | 12 | 4 | 16 | 23 | 62 | 11,392 | 4773 |
| Cohabiting couple | \% | 26 | 7 | 33 | 21 | 46 | 2,290 | 876 |
| Widowed/divorced/separated | \% | 16 | 7 | 23 | 25 | 52 | 4,758 | 1843 |
| All aged 16 and over | \% | 16 | 5 | 21 | 21 | 58 | 22,720 | 9005 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | \% | 21 | 6 | 27 | 11 | 63 | 9,147 | 3015 |
| Married/cohabiting | \% | 14 | 6 | 20 | 27 | 53 | 26,611 | 11006 |
| Married couple | \% | 11 | 5 | 17 | 28 | 55 | 22,147 | 9309 |
| Cohabiting couple | \% | 26 | 9 | 35 | 22 | 43 | 4,464 | 1697 |
| Widowed/divorced/separated | \% | 15 | 9 | 25 | 28 | 47 | 6,879 | 2661 |
| All aged 16 and over | \% | 15 | 6 | 22 | 24 | 54 | 42,637 | 16682 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 1.5 Cigarette-smoking status by age and marital status


[^1]Table 1.6 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex and whether household reference person is in a non-manual or manual socio-economic group: England 1992 to 2006 ${ }^{\mathbf{1 , 2}}$

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | England |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-economic group of household reference person ${ }^{3}$ | Unweighted |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted base } \\ 2006(000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ =100 \%^{5} \end{array}$ | Unweighted sample ${ }^{5}$ 2006 |
|  | 1992 | 1994 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 20012 |  | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{4}$ | 2006 |  |  |
| Percentage smoking cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-manual | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 9,181 | 3657 |
| Manual | 35 | 34 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 6,976 | 2618 |
| Total ${ }^{6}$ | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 17,163 | 6598 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-manual | 23 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 10,498 | 4275 |
| Manual | 30 | 30 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 6,949 | 2692 |
| Total ${ }^{6}$ | 27 | 25 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 19,451 | 7693 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-manual | 23 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 19,679 | 7932 |
| Manual | 33 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 13,925 | 5310 |
| Total ${ }^{6}$ | 28 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 36,612 | 2618 |

1 Figures for 1992 to 1996 are taken from Department of Health bulletin Statistics on smoking: England, 1978 onwards. Figures for 2001 to 2006 are based on the NSSEC classification recoded to produce SEG and should therefore be treated with caution.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Head of household in years before 2000.
42005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
5 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year.
6 Respondents whose head of household/household reference person was a full time student, in the Armed forces, had an inadequately described occupation, had never worked or were long-term unemployed are not shown as separate categories but are included in the total

## Smoking and drinking among adults 2006

Table 1.7 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex and socio-economic classification of the household reference person: England, 2001 to $2006{ }^{1}$

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  | England |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-economic classification | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted base 2006(000s) = 100\% | Unweighted sample 2006 |
| of household reference person | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{2}$ | 2006 |  |  |
| Percentage smoking cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 7,358 | 2961 |
| Intermediate | 29 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 3,053 | 1150 |
| Routine and manual | 34 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 5,982 | 2238 |
| Total ${ }^{3}$ | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 17,163 | 6598 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 7,826 | 3231 |
| Intermediate | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 3,682 | 1435 |
| Routine and manual | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 6,907 | 2664 |
| Total ${ }^{3}$ | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 19,451 | 7693 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional | 19 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 15,184 | 6192 |
| Intermediate | 27 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 6,734 | 2585 |
| Routine and manual | 33 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 12,889 | 4902 |
| Total ${ }^{3}$ | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 36,612 | 14291 |

[^2]Table 1.8 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person

| Persons aged 16 and over | Great Britain: 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-economic classification of household reference person ${ }^{2}$ | Men | Women | Total |
|  | Percentage smoking cigarettes |  |  |
| Managerial and professional |  |  |  |
| Large employers and higher managerial | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Higher professional | 1317 | 914 | 1115 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 20 | 17 | 18 |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |
| Intermediate | 22 |  |  |
| Small employers and own account | 21. |  |  |
| Routine and manual |  |  |  |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Semi-routine | 3331 | 2928 | 3129 |
| Routine | 35 | 29 | 32 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 23 | 21 | 22 |
| Weighted bases (000s) $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Large employers and higher managerial | 1,768 | 1,819 | 3,588 |
| Higher professional | 2,059 | 1,902 | 3,960 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 4,607 | 5,280 | 9,887 |
| Intermediate | 1,392 | 2,272 | 3,664 |
| Small employers and own account | 2,118 | 2,044 | 4,162 |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 2,385 | 2,225 | 4,610 |
| Semi-routine | 2,231 | 3,183 | 5,413 |
| Routine | 2,488 | 2,825 | 5,312 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 19,919 | 22,721 | 42,636 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |
| Large employers and higher managerial | 734 | 770 | 1504 |
| Higher professional | 829 | 799 | 1628 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 1831 | 2155 | 3986 |
| Intermediate | 527 | 878 | 1405 |
| Small employers and own account | 808 | 809 | 1617 |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 900 | 864 | 1764 |
| Semi-routine | 837 | 1248 | 2085 |
| Routine | 929 | 1070 | 1999 |
| Tota $/^{2}$ | 7677 | 9005 | 16682 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
2 Respondents whose household reference person was a full time student, had an inadequately described occupation, had never worked or was long-term unemployed are not shown as separate categories but are included in the total

Table 1.9 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex and socio-economic classification based on own current or last job, whether economically active or inactive, and, for economically inactive persons, age

| Persons aged 16 and over Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| soclo-economic classification | Men |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  | All persons |  |  |  |  |
|  | Active Inactive 16-59 |  | Inactive 60 and over | Total inactive | Total | Active | $\begin{array}{r} \text { I nactive } \\ 16-59 \end{array}$ | Inactive 60 and over | Total inactive | Total | Active | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inactive } \\ & 16-59 \end{aligned}$ | Inactive 60 and over | Total inactive | Total |
|  | Percentage smoking cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial andprofessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate | 24 | 44 | 10 | 17 | 22 | 20 | 27 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 31 | 8 | 14 | 19 |
| manual | 34 | 61 | 16 | 29 | 32 | 32 | 40 | 15 | 25 | 28 | 33 | 46 | 16 | 26 | 30 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 24 | 37 | 12 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 12 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 30 | 12 | 19 | 22 |
| Weighted bases$(000 s)=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate | 2,484 | 153 | 630 | 782 | 3,266 | 3,063 | 603 | 1,445 | 2,049 | 5,111 | 5,547 | 757 | 2,074 | 2,830 | 8,377 |
| Routine and manual | 4,634 | 762 | 1,939 | 2,700 | 7,335 | 4,036 | 1,736 | 2,749 | 4,486 | 8,526 | 8,669 | 2498 | 4,688 | 7,186 | 15,861 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 13,760 | 1,996 | 4,154 | 6,146 | 19,918 | 12,786 | 4,242 | 5,682 | 9,926 | 22,719 | 26,546 | 6237 | 9,835 | 16,073 | 42,637 |
| Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sample Managerial and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| professional | 2205 | 102 | 745 | 847 | 3052 | 1893 | 290 | 535 | 825 | 2718 | 4098 | 392 | 1280 | 1672 | 5770 |
| Intermediate | 928 | 57 | 282 | 339 | 1267 | 1202 | 244 | 632 | 876 | 2078 | 2130 | 301 | 914 | 1215 | 3345 |
| Routine and manual | 1678 | 264 | 846 | 1110 | 2788 | 1544 | 675 | 1143 | 1818 | 3362 | 3222 | 939 | 1989 | 2928 | 6150 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 5093 | 682 | 1898 | 2580 | 7673 | 4947 | 1630 | 2425 | 4055 | 9002 | 10040 | 2312 | 4323 | 6635 | 16675 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
2 Full time students, those who had never worked or were long-term unemployed, and those whose occupation was inadequately described are not shown as separate categories but are included in the total.

## Smoking and drinking among adults 2006

Table1.10 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex and country: 1978 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Welghted } \\ \text { base } 2006 \\ 1000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ =100 \%{ }^{3} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unweighted } \\ \text { sample }{ }^{3} \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |  |  |
| Percentage smoking cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 44 | 37 | 34 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 17,162 | 6599 |
| Wales | 44 | 36 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 1,021 | 410 |
| Scotland | 48 | 45 | 37 | 33 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 30 | 32 | 29 | 35 | 29 | 28 | 25 | 1,735 | 668 |
| Great Britain | 45 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 19,918 | 7677 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 36 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 19,451 | 7693 |
| Wales | 37 | 34 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 1,152 | 476 |
| Scotland | 42 | 39 | 35 | 35 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 2,116 | 836 |
| Great Britain | 37 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 22,719 | 9005 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England | 40 | 35 | 32 | 29 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 36,613 | 14292 |
| Wales | 40 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 2,173 | 886 |
| Scotland | 45 | 42 | 36 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 3,852 | 1504 |
| Great Britain | 40 | 35 | 33 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 42,638 | 16682 |

[^3]Table 1.11 Prevalence of cigarette smoking by sex, country, and region of England: 1998 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government Office Region | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted base | Unweighted |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2006 \text { (000s) } \\ =100 \%{ }^{3} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sample }^{3} \\ 2006 \end{array}$ |
| Men | Percentage smoking cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 28 | 27 | 33 | 24 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 25 | 800 | 309 |
| North West | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 2,219 | 901 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 30 | 29 | 30 | 27 | 25 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 1,805 | 723 |
| East Midlands | 27 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 31 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 1,699 | 686 |
| West Midlands | 32 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 1,739 | 674 |
| East of England | 26 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 22 | 1,982 | 784 |
| London | 34 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 2,237 | 662 |
| South East | 28 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 2,858 | 1115 |
| South West | 26 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 22 | 1,823 | 745 |
| All England | 29 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 17,162 | 6599 |
| Wales | 29 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 19 | 1,021 | 410 |
| Scotland | 35 | 30 | 32 | 29 | 35 | 29 | 28 | 25 | 1,735 | 668 |
| Great Britain | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 19,918 | 7677 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 30 | 28 | 26 | 29 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 918 | 368 |
| North West | 32 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 2,667 | 1110 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 28 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 1,986 | 821 |
| East Midlands | 26 | 24 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 19 | 1,746 | 740 |
| West Midlands | 26 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 1,929 | 772 |
| East of England | 24 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 17 | 2,187 | 902 |
| London | 27 | 24 | 26 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 2,661 | 798 |
| South East | 21 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 3,233 | 1300 |
| South West | 25 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 25 | 23 | 2,121 | 882 |
| All England | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 19,451 | 7693 |
| Wales | 27 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 1,152 | 476 |
| Scotland | 29 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 2,116 | 836 |
| Great Britain | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 22,719 | 9005 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 29 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 25 | 1,719 | 677 |
| North West | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 24 | 25 | 4,885 | 2011 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 29 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 25 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 3,791 | 1544 |
| East Midlands | 27 | 25 | 28 | 24 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 20 | 3,444 | 1426 |
| West Midlands | 29 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 3,668 | 1446 |
| East of England | 25 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 4,170 | 1686 |
| London | 31 | 27 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 4,897 | 1460 |
| South East | 24 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 6,093 | 2415 |
| South West | 25 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 3,946 | 1627 |
| All England | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 36,613 | 14292 |
| Wales | 28 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 2,173 | 886 |
| Scotland | 31 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 31 | 25 | 27 | 25 | 3,852 | 1504 |
| Great Britain | 28 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 42,638 | 16682 |

[^4]Table 1.12 cigarette-smoking status by sex, country and region: 2004-2006 combined

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: 2004-2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  | Current cigarette smokers |  |  |  |  | Current non-smokers of cigarettes |  |  |  |  | Unweighted sample |
|  |  | Heavy (20 <br> or more <br> per day) | Moderate (10-19 per day) | Light <br> (fewer <br> than 10 <br> per day) |  | All current smokers | Ex-regular cigarette smokers |  | Never or only occasionally smoked cigarettes |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | \% | 10 | 11 |  | 5 | 27 |  | 24 |  | 49 | 847 | 1048 |
| North West | \% | 8 | 11 |  | 7 | 26 |  | 27 |  | 47 | 2,225 | 2873 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | \% | 10 | 10 |  | 6 | 27 |  | 25 |  | 48 | 1,749 | 2232 |
| East Midlands | \% | 8 | 9 |  | 7 | 24 |  | 26 |  | 50 | 1,627 | 2101 |
| West Midlands | \% | 9 | 10 |  | 6 | 24 |  | 29 |  | 47 | 1,670 | 2123 |
| East of England | \% | 8 | 10 |  | 6 | 24 |  | 30 |  | 46 | 1,884 | 2431 |
| London | \% | 6 | 9 |  | 9 | 25 |  | 23 |  | 53 | 2,287 | 2357 |
| South East | \% | 7 | 10 |  | 6 | 23 |  | 31 |  | 46 | 2,826 | 3584 |
| South West | \% | 6 | 9 |  | 8 | 24 |  | 32 |  | 43 | 1,758 | 2293 |
| All England | \% | 8 | 10 |  | 7 | 25 |  | 28 |  | 48 | 16,875 | 21042 |
| Wales | \% | 9 | 8 |  | 5 | 22 |  | 31 |  | 47 | 982 | 1240 |
| Scotland | \% | 12 | 10 |  | 6 | 27 |  | 23 |  | 50 | 1,710 | 2175 |
| Great Britain | \% | 8 | 10 |  | 7 | 25 |  | 27 |  | 48 | 19,567 | 24457 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | \% | 10 | 13 |  | 5 | 28 |  | 18 |  | 53 | 994 | 1266 |
| North West | \% | 6 | 11 |  | 8 | 25 |  | 19 |  | 56 | 2,602 | 3434 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | \% | 7 | 10 |  | 6 | 24 |  | 21 |  | 55 | 1,997 | 2574 |
| East Midlands | \% | 5 | 11 |  | 7 | 24 |  | 19 |  | 58 | 1,726 | 2293 |
| West Midlands | \% | 5 | 10 |  | 6 | 20 |  | 19 |  | 60 | 1,891 | 2436 |
| East of England | \% | 4 | 8 |  | 7 | 20 |  | 22 |  | 58 | 2,122 | 2805 |
| London | \% | 4 | 7 |  | 8 | 20 |  | 16 |  | 64 | 2,676 | 2807 |
| South East | \% | 4 | 9 |  | 7 | 20 |  | 24 |  | 56 | 3,156 | 4089 |
| South West | \% | 6 | 10 |  | 8 | 23 |  | 22 |  | 55 | 1,991 | 2648 |
| All England | \% | 5 | 10 |  | 7 | 22 |  | 20 |  | 58 | 19,152 | 24352 |
| Wales | \% | 6 | 10 |  | 5 | 21 |  | 21 |  | 58 | 1,151 | 1470 |
| Scotland | \% | 8 | 10 |  | 6 | 24 |  | 20 |  | 56 | 2,076 | 2693 |
| Great Britain | \% | 6 | 10 |  | 7 | 22 |  | 20 |  | 57 | 22,379 | 28515 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | \% | 10 | 12 |  | 5 | 28 |  | 21 |  | 51 | 1,841 | 2314 |
| North West | \% | 7 | 11 |  | 7 | 26 |  | 23 |  | 52 | 4,828 | 6307 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | \% | 8 | 10 |  | 6 | 25 |  | 23 |  | 52 | 3,744 | 4806 |
| East Midlands | \% | 7 | 10 |  | 7 | 24 |  | 22 |  | 54 | 3,354 | 4394 |
| West Midlands | \% | 7 | 10 |  | 6 | 22 |  | 24 |  | 54 | 3,561 | 4559 |
| East of England | \% | 6 | 9 |  | 7 | 22 |  | 26 |  | 52 | 4,006 | 5236 |
| London | \% | 5 | 8 |  | 9 | 22 |  | 19 |  | 59 | 4,963 | 5164 |
| South East | \% | 6 | 9 |  | 7 | 21 |  | 27 |  | 51 | 5,982 | 7673 |
| South West | \% | 6 | 10 |  | 8 | 24 |  | 27 |  | 49 | 3,750 | 4941 |
| All England | \% | 7 | 10 |  | 7 | 23 |  | 24 |  | 53 | 36,028 | 45394 |
| Wales | \% | 8 | 9 |  | 5 | 22 |  | 25 |  | 53 | 2,132 | 2710 |
| Scotland | \% | 10 | 10 |  | 6 | 26 |  | 21 |  | 53 | 3,788 | 4868 |
| Great Britain | \% | 7 | 10 |  | 7 | 23 |  | 24 |  | 53 | 41,946 | 52972 |

Table 1.13 Cigarette-smoking status by sex: 1974 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over Great Britain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current cigarette smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light (under 20 per day) | 25 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 15 |  |
| Heavy (20 or more per day) | 26 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Total current cigarette smokers | 51 | 45 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 23 |  |
| Ex-regular cigarette smokers | 23 | 27 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 27 |  |
| Never or only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| occasionally | 25 | 29 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 50 |  |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,229 | 20,350 | 19,913 | 19,561 | 19,187 | 19,561 | 19,496 | 19,918 |  |
| Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ | 9852 | 10480 | 9199 | 8874 | 8106 | 7642 | 6579 |  | 6593 | 7055 | 6837 | 8097 | 6868 | 10038 | 7677 |  |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current cigarette smokers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light (under 20 per day) | 28 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 16 |  |
| Heavy (20 or more per day) | 13 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |
| Total current cigarette smokers | 41 | 37 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 |  |
| Ex-regular cigarette smokers | 11 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 |  |
| Never or only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,654 | 22,044 | 21,987 | 22,236 | 21,842 | 22,396 | 22,315 | 22,721 |  |
| Unweighted sam, 11480 | 11480 | 12156 | 10641 | 10304 | 9445 | 9108 | 7830 |  | 7496 | 8299 | 7951 | 9327 | 8029 | 11627 | 9005 |  |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. For the weighted data (1998 and 2000 to 2006 ) the weighted base ( 000 s) is the base for percentages. Unweiqhted data (up to 1998) are based on the unweiqhted sample.

Table 1.14 Cigarette-smoking status by sex and age


[^5]Table1.15 Average daily cigarette consumption per smoker by sex and age: 1974 to 2006

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { base 2006 } \\ \text { (000s)= } \\ 100 \%{ }^{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ 2006 |
|  | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Mean number of cigarettes per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 254 | 78 |
| 20-24 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 422 | 131 |
| 25-34 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1,042 | 349 |
| 35-49 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 1,468 | 522 |
| 50-59 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 746 | 283 |
| 60 and over | 14 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 674 | 294 |
| All aged 16 and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| over | 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 4,605 | 1657 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 252 | 81 |
| 20-24 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 442 | 145 |
| 25-34 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 923 | 357 |
| 35-49 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 1,568 | 584 |
| 50-59 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 786 | 325 |
| 60 and over | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 768 | 322 |
| All aged 16 and over | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 4,738 | 1814 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year.

Table 1.16 Average daily cigarette consumption per smoker by sex, and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over | Great Britain: $2006^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-economic classification of household reference person ${ }^{1}$ | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
|  | Mean number of cigarettes a day |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large employers and higher managerial | 13 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  |
| Higher professional | 11 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 13 |  | 12 |  | 12 |  |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate | 16 | 16 | 12 |  | 14 |  |
| Small employers and own account | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| Routine and manual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 15 |  | 14 |  | 14 |  |
| Semi-routine | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Routine | 16 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 15 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  |


| Weighted bases (000s) =100\% |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Large employers and higher managerial | 229 | 257 | 486 |
| Higher professional | 259 | 169 | 428 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 905 | 876 | 1,782 |
| Intermediate | 298 | 430 | 728 |
| Small employers and own account | 437 | 457 | 894 |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 603 | 560 | 1,163 |
| Semi-routine | 745 | 910 | 1,655 |
| Routine | 875 | 821 | 1,696 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 4,605 | 4,738 | 9,344 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |
| Large employers and higher managerial | 90 | 102 | 192 |
| Higher professional | 100 | 69 | 169 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 329 | 345 | 674 |
| Intermediate | 106 | 159 | 265 |
| Small employers and own account | 157 | 175 | 332 |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 213 | 211 | 424 |
| Semi-routine | 269 | 351 | 620 |
| Routine | 313 | 310 | 623 |
| Total ${ }^{2}$ | 1657 | 1814 | 3471 |

[^6]
## Smoking and drinking among adults 2006

Table 1.17 Type of cigarette smoked by sex: 1974 to 2006

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over Great Britain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of cigarette smoked | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1974 | 1978 | 1982 | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly filter | 69 | 75 | 72 | 78 | 80 | 78 | 74 | 74 | 69 | 68 | 66 | 68 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Mainly plain | 18 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mainly hand-rolled | 13 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 35 |
| Weighted base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (000s) $=100 \%{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,687 | 5,802 | 5,643 | 5,246 | 5,367 | 5,158 | 4,927 | 4,618 |
| Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ | 4993 | 4646 | 3469 | 3072 | 2510 | 2150 | 1857 |  | 1796 | 1911 | 1765 | 2171 | 1748 | 2408 | 1661 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly filter | 91 | 95 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 92 | 92 | 89 | 87 | 86 | 87 | 85 | 84 | 83 |
| Mainly plain | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Mainly hand-rolled | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 16 |
| Weighted base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (000s) $=100 \%^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,735 | 5,619 | 5,635 | 5,560 | 5,287 | 5,156 | 5,060 | 4,743 |
| Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ | 4600 | 4421 | 3522 | 3192 | 2748 | 2336 | 2044 |  | 1900 | 2101 | 1957 | 2226 | 1827 | 2579 | 1817 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. For the weighted data (1998 and 2000 to 2006 ) the weighted base ( 000 s) is the base for percentages. Unweighted data (up to 1998) are based on the unweighted sample.

## Smoking and drinking among adults 2006

Table 1.18 type of cigarette smoked by sex and age

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of cigarette smoked | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16-24 | 25-34 | 35-49 | 50-59 | 60 and over | All aged 16 and over |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly filter | 75 | 72 | 61 | 57 | 61 | 65 |
| Mainly plain | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mainly hand-rolled | 24 | 27 | 39 | 43 | 39 | 35 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 675 | 1,044 | 1,473 | 752 | 674 | 4,618 |
| Unweighted sample | 209 | 350 | 524 | 284 | 294 | 1661 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly filter | 85 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 90 | 83 |
| Mainly plain | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mainly hand-rolled | 15 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 9 | 16 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 694 | 922 | 1,566 | 789 | 772 | 4,743 |
| Unweighted sample | 226 | 357 | 583 | 327 | 324 | 1817 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainly filter | 80 | 76 | 71 | 70 | 77 | 74 |
| Mainly plain | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mainly hand-rolled | 20 | 23 | 28 | 30 | 23 | 25 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 1,369 | 1,967 | 3,039 | 1,541 | 1,446 | 9,362 |
| Unweighted sample | 435 | 707 | 1107 | 611 | 618 | 3478 |

[^7]Table1.19 Grouped tar yield per cigarette: 1986 to 2006

| Current smokers of manufactured cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tar yield | Unweighted |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1986 | 1988 | 1990 | 1992 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| <10mg | 19 | 21 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 25 |
| 10<15mg | 32 | 58 | 54 | 68 | 70 | 69 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 73 | 72 |
| 15+mg | 40 | 17 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No regular brand/dk tar | 10 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 9,568 | 9,104 | 8,850 | 8,317 | 8,306 | 7,812 | 7,510 | 6,987 |
| Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ | 5620 | 5363 | 4739 | 4662 | 3288 |  | 2955 | 3174 | 2870 | 3424 | 2716 | 3762 | 2606 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. For the weighted data (1998 and 2000 to 2006) the weighted base (000s) is the base for percentages. Unweighted data (up to 1998) are based on the unweighted sample.

Table 1.20 Tar yield per cigarette: 1998 to 2006

Current smokers of manufactured cigarettes
Great Britain

| Tar yield | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |
|  |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

[^8]Table 1.21 Tar yields by sex and age of smoker

| Current smokers of manufactured ${ }^{1}$ cigarettes aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tar yield |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { base } \\ \text { (000s) } \\ =100 \% \end{array}$ | Unweighted sample |
|  |  | Less than 4 mg | $4<8 \mathrm{mg}$ | $8<10 \mathrm{mg}$ | $10<12 \mathrm{mg}$ | No regular brand/dk tar yield |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | \% | 0 | 6 | 4 | 81 | 9 | 197 | 60 |
| 20-24 | \% | 3 | 12 | 3 | 79 | 3 | 315 | 97 |
| 25-34 | \% | 1 | 23 | 6 | 68 | 2 | 759 | 253 |
| 35-49 | \% | 2 | 15 | 2 | 76 | 4 | 902 | 325 |
| 50-59 | \% | 0 | 10 | 3 | 82 | 4 | 429 | 163 |
| 60 and over | \% | 0 | 11 | 4 | 84 | 1 | 414 | 184 |
| Total | \% | 1 | 15 | 4 | 76 | 3 | 3,016 | 1082 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | \% | 0 | 15 | 7 | 78 | 0 | 211 | 69 |
| 20-24 | \% | 0 | 18 | 5 | 77 | 0 | 375 | 122 |
| 25-34 | \% | 2 | 28 | 8 | 61 | 1 | 749 | 293 |
| 35-49 | \% | 1 | 18 | 9 | 70 | 3 | 1,280 | 476 |
| 50-59 | \% | 1 | 16 | 9 | 72 | 3 | 656 | 273 |
| 60 and over | \% | 3 | 18 | 12 | 65 | 2 | 700 | 291 |
| Total | \% | 1 | 19 | 9 | 69 | 2 | 3,971 | 1524 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | \% | 0 | 11 | 6 | 79 | 4 | 409 | 129 |
| 20-24 | \% | 2 | 15 | 4 | 78 | 1 | 691 | 219 |
| 25-34 | \% | 1 | 26 | 7 | 64 | 2 | 1,508 | 546 |
| 35-49 | \% | 2 | 17 | 6 | 72 | 3 | 2,182 | 801 |
| 50-59 | \% | 1 | 13 | 7 | 76 | 4 | 1,085 | 436 |
| 60 and over | \% | 2 | 16 | 9 | 72 | 2 | 1,114 | 475 |
| Total | \% | 1 | 17 | 7 | 72 | 3 | 6,989 | 2606 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

## Table 1.22 Tar yields by sex and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person

| Current smokers of manufactured ${ }^{1}$ cigarettes aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-economic class of household reference person ${ }^{3}$ | Tar yields |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { base (000s) } \\ =100 \% \end{array}$ | Unweighted sample |
|  |  | Less than 4 mg | $4<8 \mathrm{mg}$ | $8<10 \mathrm{mg}$ | $10<12 \mathrm{mg}$ | No regular brand/dk tar yield |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional | \% | 2 | 23 | 4 | 68 | 3 | 1,061 | 395 |
| Intermediate | \% | 2 | 15 | 6 | 75 | 2 | 505 | 178 |
| Routine and manual | \% | 1 | 9 | 3 | 83 | 4 | 1,279 | 457 |
| Total | \% | 1 | 15 | 4 | 76 | 3 | 3,015 | 1082 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional | \% | 2 | 27 | 9 | 61 | 2 | 1,135 | 453 |
| Intermediate | \% | 2 | 23 | 8 | 64 | 3 | 783 | 298 |
| Routine and manual | \% | 1 | 13 | 9 | 75 | 2 | 1,851 | 702 |
| Total | \% | 1 | 19 | 9 | 68 | 2 | 3,973 | 1524 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional | \% | 2 | 25 | 7 | 64 | 3 | 2,195 | 848 |
| Intermediate | \% | 2 | 20 | 7 | 68 | 3 | 1,289 | 476 |
| Routine and manual | \% | 1 | 12 | 7 | 78 | 2 | 3,130 | 1159 |
| Total | \% | 1 | 17 | 7 | 72 | 3 | 6,987 | 2606 |

1 Thirty two per cent of male smokers and 12 per cent of female smokers said they mainly smoked hand-rolled cigarettes and have been excluded from this analysis.

2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Respondents whose household reference person was a full time student, had an inadequately described occupation, had never worked or was longterm unemployed are not shown as separate categories but are included in the total

Table 1.23 Prevalence of smoking by sex and type of product smoked: 1974 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over Great Britain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1974 | 1978 | $1982^{1}$ | 1986 | 1990 | 1994 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{2}$ | $2006^{3}$ |
|  | Percentage smoking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cigarettes ${ }^{4}$ | 51 | 45 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 23 |
| Pipe | 12 | 10 | .. | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cigars ${ }^{5}$ | 34 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| All smokers ${ }^{6}$ | 64 | 55 | 45 | 44 | 38 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 25 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,225 | 20,350 | 19,972 | 19,561 | 19,187 | 19,561 | 19,498 | 19,920 |
| Unweighted sample ${ }^{6}$ | 9862 | 10439 | 9171 | 8884 | 8119 | 7662 | 6579 |  | 6593 | 7074 | 6835 | 8097 | 6868 | 10039 | 7678 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cigarettes ${ }^{4}$ | 41 | 37 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 |
| Cigars ${ }^{5}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All smokers ${ }^{6}$ | 41 | 37 | 34 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%{ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,653 | 22,044 | 22,032 | 22,236 | 21,842 | 22,393 | 22,315 | 22,723 |
| Unweighted sample ${ }^{6}$ | 11419 | 12079 | 10559 | 10312 | 9455 | 9137 | 7830 |  | 7496 | 8317 | 7951 | 9327 | 8028 | 11627 | 9006 |

1 In 1982 and 1984 men were not asked about pipe smoking, and therefore the figures for all smokers exclude those who smoked only a pipe.
22005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
3 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
4 Figures for cigarettes include all smokers of manufactured and hand-rolled cigarettes.
5 For 1974 the figures include occasional cigar smokers, that is, those who smoked less than one cigar a month.
6 The percentages for cigarettes, pipes and cigars add to more than the percentage for all smokers because some people smoked more than one type of product.
7 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. For the weighted data ( 1998 and 2000 to 2006) the weighted base (000s) is the base for percentages. Unweighted data (up to 1998) are based on the unweighted sample.

Table 1.24 Prevalence of smoking among men by age and type of product smoked ${ }^{1}$

| Men aged 16 and over |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Cigarettes ${ }^{2}$ | Pipe ${ }^{3}$ Cigars ${ }^{3}$ | All smokers $^{4}$ | Weighted base <br> (000s) <br> =100\% | Unwgtd <br> sample |
| Percentage smoking |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 20 | $0 \quad 1$ | 20 | 1,298 | 393 |
| 20-24 | 33 | 02 | 34 | 1,270 | 376 |
| 25-29 | 38 | $0 \quad 1$ | 38 | 1,396 | 458 |
| 30-34 | 30 | 02 | 30 | 1,744 | 595 |
| 35-49 | 26 | $0 \quad 4$ | 28 | 5,635 | 2093 |
| 50-59 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 3,336 | 1374 |
| 60 and over | 13 | 3 | 16 | 5,241 | 2389 |
| All aged 16and over |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B). <br> 2 Figures for cigarettes include all smokers of both manufactured and hand-rolled cigarettes. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Young people aged 16-17 were not asked about cigar or pipe-smoking. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 The percentages for cigarettes, pipes and cigars add to more than the percentage for all smokers because some people smoked more than one type of product. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 1.25 Age started smoking regularly by sex: 1992 to 2006

| Persons aged 16 and over who had ever smoked regularly |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age started smoking regularly | Unweighted |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1992 | 1994 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 41 |
| 16-17 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 18-19 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Weighted base (000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| = 100\% |  |  |  |  | 11,146 | 11,016 | 10,608 | 10,469 | 10,431 | 10,506 | 10,194 | 9,931 |
| Unweighted sample | 5143 | 4519 | 4295 | 3852 |  | 3625 | 3883 | 3696 | 4410 | 3700 | 5276 | 3902 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 35 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 36 | 36 |
| 16-17 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 18 | 27 | 28 |
| 18-19 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Weighted base (000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| =100\% |  |  |  |  | 10,101 | 9,663 | 10,222 | 10,067 | 9,738 | 9,591 | 9,589 | 9,404 |
| Unweighted sample | 4640 | 4179 | 3991 | 3645 |  | 3302 | 3818 | 3589 | 4141 | 3446 | 4987 | 3733 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| 16-17 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 18-19 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| Weighted base (000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| =100\% |  |  |  |  | 21,247 | 20,679 | 20,830 | 20,537 | 20,169 | 20,097 | 19,783 | 19,337 |
| Unweighted sample | 9783 | 8698 | 8286 | 7497 |  | 6957 | 7701 | 7285 | 8551 | 7146 | 10263 | 7635 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 1.26 Age started smoking regularly by sex and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person

| Persons aged 16 and over who had ever smoked regularly |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age started smoking regularly | Socio-economic classification of household reference person ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Managerial \& professional | Intermediate | Routine \& manual | Total |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 33 | 42 | 48 | 41 |
| 16-17 | 30 | 23 | 25 | 26 |
| 18-19 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 3,607 | 1,771 | 4,187 | 9,931 |
| Unweighted sample | 1479 | 689 | 1606 | 3902 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 28 | 36 | 43 | 36 |
| 16-17 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 18-19 | 22 | 18 | 13 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 3,141 | 1,809 | 4,025 | 9,404 |
| Unweighted sample | 1301 | 704 | 1571 | 3733 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 31 | 39 | 45 | 39 |
| 16-17 | 30 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 18-19 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 6,749 | 3,579 | 8,212 | 19,337 |
| Unweighted sample | 2780 | 1393 | 3177 | 7635 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
2 Respondents whose household reference person was a full time student, had an inadequately described occupation, had never worked or was lona-term unemployed are not shown as separate cateqories but are included in the total.

Table 1.27 Age started smoking regularly by sex, whether current smoker and if so, cigarettes smoked a day

| Persons aged 16 and over who had ever smoked regularly |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age started smoking regularly | Current smoker |  |  |  | Ex-regular smoker | All who have ever smoked regularly |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \text { or more } \\ & \text { a day } \end{aligned}$ | 10-19 a day | 0-9 a day | All current smokers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 53 | 45 | 32 | 44 | 39 | 41 |
| 16-17 | 24 | 26 | 30 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| 18-19 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 14 | 20 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 1,582 | 1,753 | 1,225 | 4,571 | 5,361 | 9,931 |
| Unweighted sample | 580 | 636 | 425 | 1645 | 2257 | 3902 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 52 | 41 | 35 | 42 | 31 | 36 |
| 16-17 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 18-19 | 11 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 1,138 | 2,133 | 1,430 | 4,710 | 4,695 | 9,406 |
| Unweighted sample | 445 | 801 | 555 | 1805 | 1927 | 3732 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 16 | 53 | 43 | 33 | 43 | 35 | 39 |
| 16-17 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 18-19 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 17 |
| 20-24 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| 25 and over | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ | 2,720 | 3,887 | 2,655 | 9,279 | 10,055 | 19,337 |
| Unweighted sample | 1025 | 1437 | 980 | 3450 | 4184 | 7634 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
2 Includes a few smokers who did not say how many cigarettes a day they smoked

Table 1.28 Proportion of smokers who would like to give up smoking altogether, by sex and number of cigarettes smoked per day: 1992 to 2006

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cigarettes Unweighted |  |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted base } \\ 2006(000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ =100 \%^{3} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Unweighted } \\ \text { sample }^{3} \\ 2006 \end{array}$ |
| smoked a day | 1992 | 1994 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |  |  |
| Percentage who would like to stop altogether |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 68 | 70 | 66 | 69 | 69 | 74 | 70 | 68 | 64 | 67 | 66 | 67 | 1,597 | 585 |
| 10-19 | 70 | 72 | 69 | 73 | 73 | 76 | 71 | 71 | 67 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 1,769 | 641 |
| 0-9 | 58 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 62 | 62 | 61 | 64 | 68 | 65 | 1,240 | 431 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 66 | 69 | 66 | 69 | 69 | 72 | 68 | 68 | 64 | 67 | 68 | 67 | 4,620 | 1662 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 70 | 69 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 73 | 66 | 67 | 64 | 70 | 67 | 67 | 1,142 | 447 |
| 10-19 | 72 | 71 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 67 | 71 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 72 | 2,136 | 802 |
| 0-9 | 58 | 62 | 59 | 65 | 65 | 63 | 60 | 67 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 69 | 1,461 | 565 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 68 | 68 | 67 | 70 | 70 | 71 | 65 | 69 | 67 | 69 | 68 | 70 | 4,747 | 1818 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 69 | 70 | 68 | 69 | 69 | 74 | 68 | 68 | 64 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 2,738 | 1032 |
| 10-19 | 71 | 71 | 70 | 74 | 74 | 76 | 69 | 71 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 70 | 3,905 | 1443 |
| 0-9 | 58 | 61 | 60 | 64 | 64 | 63 | 61 | 65 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 67 | 2,701 | 996 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 67 | 68 | 67 | 69 | 69 | 72 | 66 | 68 | 66 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 9,366 | 3480 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year.
4 Includes a few smokers who did not say how many cigarettes a day they smoked.

# Table 1.29 

Proportion of smokers who would find it difficult to go without smoking for a day, by sex and number of cigarettes smoked per day: 1992 to 2006

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cigarettes smoked a day | Unweighted |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { base 2006 } \\ 1000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ =100 \%^{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Unweighted } \\ \text { sample }{ }^{3} \\ 2006 \end{array}$ |
|  | 1992 | 1994 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ |  |  |
| Percentage who would find it difficult not to smoke for a day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 76 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 74 | 77 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 80 | 1,592 | 583 |
| 10-19 | 54 | 57 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 56 | 55 | 57 | 53 | 57 | 60 | 64 | 1,764 | 639 |
| 0-9 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 14 | 21 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 23 | 24 | 1,231 | 428 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 53 | 52 | 56 | 53 | 52 | 55 | 59 | 4,602 | 1655 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 86 | 86 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 88 | 87 | 86 | 83 | 82 | 84 | 84 | 1,137 | 446 |
| 10-19 | 68 | 68 | 66 | 66 | 65 | 67 | 65 | 66 | 64 | 67 | 65 | 70 | 2,123 | 799 |
| 0-9 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 27 | 27 | 1,452 | 562 |
| All smokers* | 61 | 60 | 61 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 59 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 60 | 4,720 | 1811 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 80 | 82 | 83 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 80 | 81 | 80 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 2,729 | 1029 |
| 10-19 | 61 | 63 | 60 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 61 | 62 | 58 | 62 | 63 | 67 | 3,888 | 1438 |
| 0-9 | 21 | 19 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 26 | 2,683 | 990 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 58 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 55 | 57 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 59 | 9,322 | 3466 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B)
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting. Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year.
4 Includes a few smokers who did not say how many cigarettes a day they smoked

## Table 1.30 Proportion of smokers who have their first cigarette within five minutes of waking, by sex and number of cigarettes smoked per day: 1992 to 2006

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cigarettes | Unweighted |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted base 2006 (000s) | Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ 2006 |
| smoked a day | 1992 | 1994 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |  |


| Percentage smoking within 5 minutes of waking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 29 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 1,598 | 585 |
| 10-19 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 1,765 | 640 |
| 0-9 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1,236 | 430 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 16 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 4,614 | 1660 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 29 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 37 | 1,143 | 447 |
| 10-19 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 12 | 2,135 | 802 |
| 0-9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1,451 | 561 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 4,735 | 1813 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 29 | 33 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 34 | 33 | 36 | 2,739 | 1032 |
| 10-19 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 3,899 | 1442 |
| 0-9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2,687 | 991 |
| All smokers ${ }^{4}$ | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 9,345 | 3473 |

[^9]Table 1.31 Proportion of smokers who would like to give up smoking altogether, by sex, socio-economic classification of household reference person, and number of cigarettes smoked a day

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cigarettes smoked a day | Socio-economic classification ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Managerial \& professional | Intermediate | Routine \& manual | Total |
|  | Percentage who would like to stop altogether |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 66 | 80 | 61 | 67 |
| 10-19 | 69 | 70 | 67 | 68 |
| 0-9 | 64 | 69 | 65 | 65 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 67 | 74 | 64 | 67 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 70 | 76 | 63 | 67 |
| 10-19 | 77 | 70 | 70 | 72 |
| 0-9 | 65 | 73 | 71 | 69 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 71 | 73 | 68 | 70 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 67 | 79 | 62 | 67 |
| 10-19 | 73 | 70 | 69 | 70 |
| 0-9 | 64 | 72 | 68 | 67 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 69 | 73 | 66 | 68 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 344 | 304 | 863 | 1,597 |
| 10-19 | 546 | 265 | 851 | 1,769 |
| 0-9 | 504 | 168 | 509 | 1,240 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1,397 | 744 | 2,228 | 4,620 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 230 | 200 | 639 | 1,142 |
| 10-19 | 558 | 394 | 1,084 | 2,136 |
| 0-9 | 514 | 293 | 570 | 1,461 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1,304 | 891 | 2,295 | 4,747 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 575 | 503 | 1,502 | 2,738 |
| 10-19 | 1,103 | 659 | 1,935 | 3,905 |
| 0-9 | 1,019 | 461 | 1,078 | 2,701 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 2,702 | 1,634 | 4,522 | 9,366 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 136 | 106 | 315 | 585 |
| 10-19 | 203 | 99 | 306 | 641 |
| 0-9 | 180 | 58 | 174 | 431 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 520 | 265 | 797 | 1662 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 100 | 74 | 246 | 447 |
| 10-19 | 216 | 143 | 409 | 802 |
| 0-9 | 200 | 117 | 217 | 565 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 517 | 336 | 873 | 1818 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 236 | 180 | 561 | 1032 |
| 10-19 | 419 | 242 | 715 | 1443 |
| 0-9 | 380 | 175 | 391 | 996 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1037 | 601 | 1670 | 3480 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
2 Respondents whose household reference person was a full time student, had an inadequately described occupation, had never worked or was long-term unemployed are not shown as separate categories but are included in the total.
3 Includes a few smokers who did not say how many cigarettes a day they smoked.

Table 1.32 Proportion of smokers who would find it difficult to go without smoking for a day, by sex, socioeconomic classification of household reference person, and number of cigarettes smoked a day

| Current cigarette smokers aged 16 and over |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cigarettes smoked a day | Socio-economic classification ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Managerial \& professional | Intermediate | Routine \& manual | Total |
|  | Percentage who would find it difficult to stop for a day |  |  |  |
| Men <br> 20 or more | 79 | 79 | 80 | 80 |
| 10-19 | 62 | 65 | 65 | 64 |
| 0-9 | 22 | 17 | 26 | 24 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 52 | 60 | 62 | 59 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 88 | 83 | 82 | 84 |
| 10-19 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 70 |
| 0-9 | 21 | 33 | 28 | 27 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 53 | 61 | 64 | 60 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 83 | 80 | 81 | 82 |
| 10-19 | 65 | 68 | 69 | 67 |
| 0-9 | 21 | 28 | 27 | 26 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 53 | 60 | 63 | 59 |
| Weighted base (000s)=100\% |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 344 | 304 | 858 | 1,592 |
| 10-19 | 546 | 262 | 849 | 1,764 |
| 0-9 | 502 | 161 | 509 | 1,231 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1,395 | 734 | 2,221 | 4,602 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 227 | 200 | 639 | 1,137 |
| 10-19 | 558 | 389 | 1079 | 2,123 |
| 0-9 | 512 | 294 | 568 | 1,452 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1,299 | 887 | 2,288 | 4,720 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 571 | 503 | 1,496 | 2,729 |
| 10-19 | 1,103 | 651 | 1,928 | 3,888 |
| 0-9 | 1,014 | 455 | 1,075 | 2,683 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 2,693 | 1,620 | 4,506 | 9,322 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 136 | 106 | 313 | 583 |
| 10-19 | 203 | 98 | 305 | 639 |
| 0-9 | 179 | 56 | 174 | 428 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 519 | 262 | 794 | 1655 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 99 | 74 | 246 | 446 |
| 10-19 | 216 | 142 | 408 | 799 |
| 0-9 | 199 | 117 | 216 | 562 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 515 | 335 | 871 | 1811 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 235 | 180 | 559 | 1029 |
| 10-19 | 419 | 240 | 713 | 1438 |
| 0-9 | 378 | 173 | 390 | 990 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1034 | 597 | 1665 | 3466 |

[^10]Table 1.33 Proportion of smokers who have their first cigarette within five minutes of waking, by sex, socioeconomic classification of household reference person, and number of cigarettes smoked a day

| Current ciqarette smokers aqed 16 and over |  |  | Great Britain: $2006^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cigarettes smoked a day | Socio-economic classification ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | Managerial \& Intermediate <br> professional  | Routine \& manual | Total |


|  | Percentage who smoke within 5 minutes of waking |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 28 | 37 | 37 | 35 |
| 10-19 | 9 | 12 | 16 | 13 |
| 0-9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 11 | 20 | 21 | 18 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 26 | 45 | 37 | 37 |
| 10-19 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 12 |
| 0-9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| All smokers ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 9 | 14 | 18 | 15 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 27 | 40 | 37 | 36 |
| 10-19 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 12 |
| 0-9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| All smokers ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 10 | 17 | 19 | 16 |
| Weighted base (000s) $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 344 | 303 | 865 | 1,598 |
| 10-19 | 546 | 265 | 846 | 1,765 |
| 0-9 | 500 | 167 | 508 | 1,236 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1,393 | 742 | 2,224 | 4,614 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 230 | 201 | 638 | 1,143 |
| 10-19 | 558 | 394 | 1,083 | 2,135 |
| 0-9 | 510 | 289 | 569 | 1,451 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1,300 | 886 | 2,292 | 4,735 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 574 | 504 | 1,502 | 2,739 |
| 10-19 | 1,102 | 658 | 1,930 | 3,899 |
| 0-9 | 1,010 | 456 | 1,078 | 2,687 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 2,691 | 1,627 | 4,517 | 9,345 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 136 | 106 | 315 | 585 |
| 10-19 | 203 | 99 | 305 | 640 |
| 0-9 | 179 | 58 | 174 | 430 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 519 | 265 | 796 | 1660 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 100 | 74 | 246 | 447 |
| 10-19 | 216 | 143 | 409 | 802 |
| 0-9 | 198 | 115 | 217 | 561 |
| All smokers ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 515 | 333 | 873 | 1813 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 20 or more | 236 | 180 | 561 | 1032 |
| 10-19 | 419 | 242 | 714 | 1442 |
| 0-9 | 377 | 173 | 391 | 991 |
| All smokers ${ }^{3}$ | 1034 | 598 | 1669 | 3473 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
2 Respondents whose household reference person was a full time student, had an inadequately described occupation, had never worked or was long-term unemployed are not shown as separate categories but are included in the total.

3 Includes a few smokers who did not say how many cigarettes a day they smoked

## 2 Drinking

Questions about drinking alcohol were included in the General Household Survey every two years from 1978 to 1998. Following the review of the GHS, the questions about drinking in the last seven days form part of the continuous survey, and have been included every year from 2000 onwards. Questions continuing the longrunning series designed to measure average weekly alcohol consumption were included from 2000 to 2002 and again in 2005 and 2006. Before 1988 questions about drinking were asked only of those aged 18 and over, but since then respondents aged 16 and 17 have answered the questions using a self-completion questionnaire.

A key feature of this report is that it presents an updated method of converting what respondents say they drink into standard alcohol units. It also presents information on trends in alcohol consumption and on the association between consumption and characteristics of individuals such as sex, age, socio-economic position, and region.

## Measuring alcohol consumption

Obtaining reliable information about drinking behaviour is difficult, and social surveys consistently record lower levels of consumption than would be expected from data on alcohol sales. This is partly because people may consciously or unconsciously under-estimate how much alcohol they consume. Drinking at home is particularly likely to be under-estimated because the quantities consumed are not measured and are likely to be larger than those dispensed in licensed premises.

There are different methods for obtaining survey information on drinking behaviour. One approach is to ask people to recall all episodes of drinking during a set period ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$. However, this is time-consuming and is not suitable for the GHS, where drinking is only one of a number of subjects covered.

The GHS currently uses two measures of alcohol consumption:

- average weekly alcohol consumption;
- maximum amount drunk on any one day in the previous seven days.


## Average weekly alcohol consumption

Questions to establish average weekly alcohol consumption have been included on the GHS in their current form periodically since 1986. The measure was developed in response to earlier medical guidelines suggesting maximum recommended weekly amounts of alcohol. Its use continues to provide a consistent measure of alcohol consumption through which trends can be monitored. Respondents are asked how often over the last year they have drunk normal strength beer, strong beer ( $6 \%$ or greater $\mathrm{ABV}{ }^{\mathrm{xi}}$ ), wine, spirits, fortified wines and alcopops, and how much they have usually drunk on any one day. This information is combined to give an estimate of the respondent's weekly alcohol consumption (averaged over a year) in units of alcohol.

The method used for calculating usual weekly alcohol consumption is to multiply the number of units of each type drunk on a usual drinking day by the frequency
with which it was drunk using the factors shown below, and then to total across all drinks.

| Drinking frequency | Multiplying factor |
| :--- | :---: |
| Almost every day | 7.0 |
| 5 or 6 days a week | 5.5 |
| 3 or 4 days a week | 3.5 |
| Once or twice a week | 1.5 |
| Once or twice a month | $0.375(1.5 \div 4)$ |
| Once every couple of months | $0.115(6 \div 52)$ |
| Once or twice a year | $0.029(1.5 \div 52)$ |

## Maximum daily amount drunk last week

These questions have been included in the GHS since 1998, following the publication in 1995 of an inter-departmental review of the effects of drinkingxii. This concluded that it was more appropriate to set benchmarks for daily than for weekly consumption of alcohol, partly because of concern about the health and social risks associated with single episodes of intoxication. The report considered that regular consumption of between three and four unitsxiii a day for men and two to three units a day for women does not carry a significant health risk, but that consistently drinking above these levels is not advised.

The government's advice on sensible drinking is now based on these daily benchmarks, and GHS data are used to monitor the extent to which people are following the advice given. Respondents are asked on how many days they drank alcohol during the previous week. They are then asked how much of each of six different types of drink (normal strength beer, strong beer, wine, spirits, fortified wines and alcopops) they drank on their heaviest drinking day during the previous week. These amounts are added to give an estimate of the maximum number of units the respondent had drunk on that day.

## Updated method of converting volumes drunk to units

Estimates of alcohol consumption in surveys are given in standard units derived from assumptions about the alcohol content of different types of drink, combined with information from the respondent about the volume drunk.

In recent years, new types of alcoholic drink have been introduced, the alcohol content of some drinks has increased, and alcoholic drinks are now sold in more variable quantities than used to be the case. The GHS, in common with other surveys, has partially taken this into account: since 1998, alcopops and strong beer, lager and cider have been included as separate categories. However, it has recently also become necessary to reconsider the assumptions made in obtaining estimates of alcohol consumption, taking into account the following:

- increases in the size of glass in which wine is served on licensed premises;
- the increased alcoholic strength of wine;
- better estimates of the alcoholic strengths of beers, lagers and ciders.

For wine, it was decided to adopt a method which requires a question to be asked about glass size, which has the advantage that future changes in the average size of glass will be taken into account automatically. From 2008, the GHS will include additional questions to establish the size of wine glass, but in the interim a proxy conversion factor counting one glass of wine as 2 units will be used.

The changes in conversion factors are summarised in the table below, but are discussed in detail in a paper in the National Statistics Methodology seriexxiv. It was clear from the research undertaken for this paper that all surveys, including the GHS, have been undercounting the number of units in some types of drink predominantly wine, but also, to a lesser degree, beer, lager and cider.

The change in method clearly doubles the units of wine consumed (since a glass is now taken as 2 units rather than 1). It also increases the units of strong beers by one third, and of normal strength beers by 12 per cent (the effect on normal strength beers is lower because the conversion factor of 1 unit for a half pint of normal strength beer remains unchanged, whereas all conversion factors for strong beers are increased). However, since strong beers account for only a small proportion of consumption, the 33 per cent increase in units does not have a major impact on the estimates of total consumption.

It should be noted, of course, that changing the way in which alcohol consumption estimates are derived does not in itself reflect a real change in drinking among the adult population.

Figure 2.1 Original and updated factors for converting alcohol volume to units

| Type of drink | Usual volume (ml) | Original conversion factor (units) | Updated conversion factor (units) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal strength beer, lager, cider half pint small can/bottle large can/bottle | $\begin{aligned} & 284 \\ & 330 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Strong beer, lager, cider (ABV = 6\%) <br> half pint small can/bottle large can/bottle | $\begin{aligned} & 284 \\ & 330 \\ & 440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Table wine <br> glass -125 ml <br> glass -175 ml <br> glass $-250 \mathrm{ml} /$ small can <br> glass - size unspecified | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 175 \\ & 250 \\ & 170 \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fortified wine small glass | 50 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Spirits single | 25 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Alcopops/ coolers bottle | 275 | 1.5 | 1.5 |

## Effect on GHS data of updated conversion factors

This section summarises the effect of the change in methodology on the data. More details, together with references to tables, are given in the following sections.

## Average weekly alcohol consumption

Overall, the change in conversion factors increases average weekly alcohol consumption in 2006 by 32 per cent, from 10.2 units to 13.5 units. The effect of the change is not uniform across different subgroups of the adult population, because some groups are more likely than others to drink wine, and they are disproportionately affected. A higher proportion of women's than of men's consumption is wine, so the increase is proportionately greater for women: men's consumption increases by 27 per cent, from 14.8 to 18.7 units, women's by 45 per cent, from 6.2 to 9.0 units.

Figure 2.2 Average weekly alcohol units by sex: original and updated methods, 2006


Other groups which are disproportionately affected because they are more likely to drink wine are as follows:

- those aged 25 and older;
- those in the managerial and professional socio-economic class (the first three categories of NS-SEC8);
- those in high income households, particularly those with a weekly income over £1,000;
- those living in England and Wales, rather than Scotland;
- those living in London, the South East and the South West of England.

Up to the publication in 1995 of the White Paper Sensible Drinking ${ }^{\text {xv }}$ there was considerable interest in the percentages of men and women drinking above the then recommended weekly maximum consumption levels of 21 units for men and 14 for women, and to enable trends to continue to be monitored, the GHS still provides these data. Improving the estimates increases the proportion of men in

2006 drinking more than 21 units from 23 per cent to 31 per cent, and the proportion of women drinking more than 14 units from 12 per cent to 20 per cent.

The effect of updating the estimates is even more marked in relation to the percentages of men and women with very high levels of alcohol consumption more than 50 units a week for men, and more than 35 units for women. These increase from 5 per cent to 8 per cent for men, and from 2 per cent to 5 per cent for women.

## Maximum drunk on any one day in the previous week

Looking first at the effect of the updated conversion factors on the actual amounts drunk on the heaviest drinking day in the previous week, it can be seen that the magnitude of the increases is very similar to those for average weekly consumption.

However, the effect on the proportions of respondents drinking more than the recommended levels of four units (men) and three units (women) is somewhat different, the increase being much more marked among women than among men: the proportion of men drinking more than four units on at least one day in the previous week increases from 33 per cent to 40 per cent, but the proportion of women drinking more than three units increases from 20 per cent to 33 per cent. This is because of where the thresholds fall in relation to wine consumption. On the previous definition, two glasses of wine equals 2 units, which is below the threshold levels for both men and women. Using the updated conversion factors, however, two glasses of wine equals four units, and whereas men drinking this amount are at their threshold, women are over theirs.

Figure 2.3 Maximum drunk on any one day last week, by sex: original and updated methods, 2006


Apart from this greater relative effect on women's drinking in relation to daily guidelines, the associations are similar to those noted above in relation to average weekly consumption. The proportions drinking more than the daily amounts of four units for men and three units for women on at least one day in the previous week are disproportionately increased in the following groups:

- those aged 45 and over;
- those in the managerial and professional socio-economic class of the threecategory version of NS-SEC (and in particular, among women, the first two categories of NS-SEC8);
- those in households with a weekly income over $£ 1,000$;
- those living in London and the South East of England.


## Trends in alcohol consumption

To be comparable with previous years, the 2006 data discussed in this section are those derived using the original method of conversion to units.

## Trends in average weekly alcohol consumption

Consideration of trends is complicated by the introduction of weighting. This increased the proportion of men drinking more than 21 units a week in 1998 by about one percentage point. The comparison of weighted and unweighted figures for later years, although not shown in the tables, is similar.

During the 1990s the GHS showed a slight increase in overall weekly alcohol consumption among men and a much more marked one among women. Following an increase between 1998 and 2000, there has been a decline since 2002 in the proportion of men drinking more than 21 units a week, on average, and in the proportion of women drinking more than 14 units. At first sight, the fall appears to have been most marked between 2002 and 2005, but this is largely because of the longer time interval between surveys. The proportion of men drinking more than 21 units a week on average fell from 29 per cent in 2000 to 23 per cent in 2006. There was also a fall in the proportion of women drinking more than 14 units a week (from 17 per cent in 2000 to 12 per cent in 2006).

Figure 2.4 Percentage of men drinking more than 21 units a week, and women drinking more than 14 units a week: original method, 1998 to 2006


The fall in consumption occurred among men and women in all age groups, but was most evident among those aged 16 to 24 . Amongyoung men in this age group,
the proportion drinking more than 21 units a week fell from 41 per cent in 2000 to 26 per cent in 2006, and among young women, the proportion drinking more than 14 units a week fell from 33 per cent to 19 per cent over that period.

There is a suggestion of a slight decline since 2002 in the proportion of men drinking more than 50 units a week on average, but no significant change in the proportion of women drinking more than 35 units.

In 2006, men drank an average of 14.8 units a week (equivalent to about seven and a half pints of beer), about 2.5 units less than they were drinking from 1998 to 2002. Women drank an average of 6.2 units a week in 2006. The decrease of about 1.5 units since 2002 reverses the steady rise in women's consumption seen over the previous decade. Similarly, the average consumption of young men and women aged 16 to 24 was lower in 2006 than in 2002. Among young men, consumption fell from 21.5 to 16.4 units, and among young women, from 14.1 to 9.0 units.

Figure 2.5 Average weekly alcohol units, by sex: original method, 1998 to 2006


The British Beer and Pub Association (BBPA) makes annual estimates of per capita alcohol consumption using data provided by HM Revenue and Customs ${ }^{\text {xvi. These }}$ show a steady increase in consumption from 1998 to 2004, followed by a decline of about 5 per cent over the next couple of years. The decline measured by the GHS is much greater, at about 15 per cent between 2002 and 2006. There may have been a small fall in consumption in recent years, but two factors are likely to account for the lack of a consistent pattern in the two sources:

1. There may be an increased tendency among respondents to under-report consumption. Recent extensive publicity about the dangers of drinking, and in particular binge drinking, may have led some people to moderate their behaviour, but might equally have made others less inclined to admit to how much they have drunk.
2. The introduction of improved methods of estimating units occurs as a step change, whereas the actual changes which the updated method takes into account happened over a number of years. It is likely, therefore, that progressive underestimation of wine consumption has occurred as glass sizes and alcoholic strength have increased. Although the increase in alcoholic strength of wines has probably been gradual over a long period, the increase in average wine glass size appears to have happened in the last few years, and this might account for the recent decline in consumption as measured by the GHS.

The fall in consumption among young people is unlikely to be due to progressive under-estimation of consumption, since they drink little wine. However, there is some indication that it is becoming more difficult to persuade young people to take part in the GHS (they form a decreasing proportion of respondents). Although this is partially corrected by weighting the data to known population totals, such reweighting cannot compensate if those lost to the survey have heavier consumption on average than those who do take part.

Tables 2.1-2.2

## Trends in last week's drinking

Questions about the maximum daily amount drunk last week were first included on the GHS in 1998, so these data can provide evidence only on recent trends. Table 2.3 shows that following a period of little change between 1998 and 2004, there appears to have been a slight fall in the proportions of men and women who say that they had an alcoholic drink in the previous week, and also in the proportion of men who had drunk on five or more days in the week.

Figure 2.6 Percentage of men drinking more than four units, and of women drinking more than three units, on at least one day in the previous week: original method, Great Britain 1998 to 2006


The proportion of men exceeding the daily benchmarks, 33 per cent in 2006, continued the fall from 39 per cent in 2004 to 35 per cent in 2005. There was no comparable fall among women in 2006, among whom the proportion remained at 20 per cent, but this is nonetheless lower than in the early years of the decade. There was little change between 2005 and 2006 in the proportions of men and women drinking heavily (more than 8 units, and 6 units respectively) on at least one day in the previous week.

There is considerable fluctuation in the trends within age groups which makes it difficult to be confident about the overall pattern. The proportion of young men aged 16 to 24 drinking more than four and more than eight units changed little between 1998 and 2003, but then fell in 2004 and again in 2005 and 2006. On the face of it, data for 2006 support previous indications that the recent upward trend in heavy drinking among young women may have peaked. The proportion of 16-24 year old women who had drunk more than six units on at least one day in the previous week increased from 24 per cent to 28 per cent between 1998 and 2002
but had fallen to 20 per cent in 2006. As with young men, however, the apparent decline may be due to factors other than a real change in behaviour. Among older women, there was no discernible trend in the proportions drinking heavily.

Tables 2.3-2.4

## Alcohol consumption in 2006

The results discussed in this section are those based on the updated method of converting volumes drunk to units, though tables show the original method also. The introduction of the updated estimates has altered the association of alcohol with various personal characteristics, mainly because some groups are more likely to be wine drinkers, and are therefore disproportionately affected by the change in method.

## Average weekly alcohol consumption in 2006

Weekly alcohol consumption and sex and age
With the original method, alcohol consumption among both men and women was highest among those aged 16 to 24 , and then declined with increasing age. With the updated estimates, the age difference has almost disappeared, because older people are more likely to drink wine. It is still the case, however, that consumption is considerably lower among those aged 65 and over than among other age groups.

Overall, in 2006 men's consumption was about twice that of women (18.7 units compared with 9.0 units) but the difference was less marked among younger than older people. This reflects the trend that has occurred in recent years for women's consumption to increase relative to that of men, particularly among younger age groups.

Table 2.5

## Weekly alcohol consumption and household socio-economic class

A review of information on inequalities in health, undertaken by the Department of Healthxxii, noted that both mortality and morbidity show a clear association with socio-economic position, with death rates much higher among unskilled men than among those in professional households (overall, up to 22,000 premature deaths a year are thought to be attributable to alcohol misusexxiii). Over many years, the GHS has shown little difference in usual weekly alcohol consumption between those in non-manual and manual households. Where differences do exist, it has been those in the non-manual categories who tend to have the higher weekly consumption. The updated method of estimating consumption increases this difference, because the effect of the change is greatest for those in non-manual groups since they are more likely to drink wine.

Previously, there was no clear socio-economic gradient in relation to alcohol consumption among men, but with the updated estimates, and using the threecategory classification, men in the routine and manual group were drinking on average 16.7 units a week, considerably less than the 19.9 units of men in the other two groups.

The pattern among women was clearer, and similar to that shown in previous reports. Average weekly consumption in 2006 was highest, at 10.7 units, in the
managerial and professional group, and lowest, at 7.1 units, among those in routine and manual worker households.

Table 2.6

Weekly alcohol consumption, income and economic activity status

Average weekly alcohol consumption was higher among men and women in high income households than among other men and women. Among those living in households with a gross income of more than $£ 1,000$ a week, men drank on average 22.1 units as week, and women 12.2 units. These levels compared with 17.8 units and 6.1 units respectively among those in households with an income of $£ 200$ or less.

Among those in full-time employment, however, there was no significant variation in average weekly alcohol consumption according to earnings.

Tables 2.7-2.9

## Regional variation in average weekly alcohol consumption

Average weekly consumption was higher in England (13.7 units) and Wales (13.5 units) than in Scotland (11.6 units), but the overall figures mask some differences between men and women. Among men, consumption was lower in Scotland than in the other two countries, but among women, consumption in both Wales and Scotland was lower than in England.

Some differences between men and women were also evident in the variation of consumption in the English regions. Among men, consumption was highest in the three northern regions (North East, North West and Yorkshire and the Humber) and in the South West. The pattern was similar among women, except that consumption was not particularly high in the North East.

It should be noted that sample sizes in some regions are small and some fluctuation in results from year to year is to be expected. This can affect whether a particular region or country appears to have a high or low consumption level relative to that of other areas, and this may not be due to real differences in the population from which the sample is drawn. It is therefore unwise to give undue weight to data for a single year only.

Table 2.10

## Last week's drinking in 2006

## Frequency of drinking during the last week

Patterns of drinking behaviour in 2006 were broadly the same as those described in earlier GHS reports. Men were more likely than women to have had an alcoholic drink in the previous week: 71 per cent of men and 56 per cent of women had had a drink on at least one day during the previous week. Men also drank on more days of the week than women. More than one in five men (21 per cent) compared with just over one in ten women (11 per cent) had drunk on at least five of the preceding seven days. Similarly, men were much more likely than women to have drunk alcohol every day during the previous week ( 12 per cent compared with 7 per cent).

The proportions drinking last week also varied between age groups. Those in the youngest and oldest age groups ( 16 to 24 and 65 and over) were less likely than those in the middle age range to report drinking alcohol during the previous week. The proportion who had drunk alcohol in the previous week was particularly low among women aged 65 and over, 44 per cent of whom had done so, compared with 67 per cent of men in the same age group, and around 60 per cent of women aged 25 to 64.

However, although they were less likely to have had a drink at all in the previous week, older people drank more frequently than younger people. For example, 20 per cent of men and 11 per cent of women aged 65 and over had drunk every day during the previous week, compared with only 4 per cent of men and 1 per cent of women aged 16 to 24 .

Table 2.11

## Maximum daily amount drunk last week

Two measures of daily consumption are shown in the tables. The first is the proportion exceeding the recommended daily benchmarks (men drinking more than four units and women drinking more than three units in one day). The second measure is intended to indicate heavy drinking that would be likely to lead to intoxication. Although people vary in their susceptibility to the effect of alcohol, this level is taken as a rough guide to be more than eight units on one day for men and more than six units for women. For 2006, two sets of data are shown: the first uses the original method of converting volumes of alcohol into units, and the second using the updated method. The earlier method is used to provide trend data, and the updated estimates are used in discussion of the association of alcohol consumption with respondents' characteristics.

Men were more likely than women to have exceeded the daily benchmarks on at least one day during the previous week, although the updated estimates have reduced the difference from 13 percentage points to seven. Men were also more likely than women to have drunk heavily.

It was noted earlier that older people drink more frequently than younger people. However, among both men and women, those aged 65 and over were significantly less likely than respondents in other age groups to have exceeded the recommended number of daily units on at least one day. For example, 42 per cent of young men aged 16 to 24 had exceeded four units on at least one day during the previous week, compared with only 21 per cent of men aged 65 and over. Among women, 39 per cent of those in the youngest age group had exceeded three units on at least one day compared with only 14 per cent of those aged 65 and over.

Similar patterns were evident for heavy drinking: 30 per cent of men aged 16 to 24 , but only 7 per cent of those aged 65 and over, had drunk more than eight units on at least one day during the previous week. Among young women aged 16 to 24, 25 per cent had drunk heavily on at least one day during the preceding week, compared with only 2 per cent of women in the oldest age group.

Table 2.12

## Drinking last week and socio-economic characteristics

Looking first at the frequency of drinking alcohol, men and women in large employer/ higher managerial households were the most likely to have drunk alcohol in the previous week, while those in households where the reference person
was in a semi-routine or routine occupation were the least likely. A similar pattern was apparent in the proportions drinking on five or more days in the previous week. For example, 72 per cent of women in large employer/ higher managerial households had had a drink in the last week and 18 per cent had done so on five or more days. Among women in households where the reference person was in a routine occupation, these proportions were much lower, at 42 per cent and 6 per cent respectively.

Variations in amounts drunk were also marked, particularly for women: those in large employer/ higher managerial households were much more likely than those in the routine group to have drunk more than the recommended three units on any one day ( 47 per cent compared with 23 per cent), and also more likely to have drunk heavily on at least one day in the previous week (19 per cent compared with 11 per cent).

Tables 2.13-2.14

## Drinking last week and household income

In general, the higher the level of gross weekly household income, the more likely both men and women were to have drunk alcohol in the previous week and to have exceeded the daily benchmarks. Among men in households with a gross weekly income of over $£ 1,000,83$ per cent had had a drink in the previous week, and 51 per cent had drunk more than four units on at least one day. Among men in households with an income of $£ 200$ or less, only 61 per cent had had a drink and only 32 per cent had drunk more than four units on any one day. A similar pattern occurred for women and for the proportions drinking heavily. Thus, for example, adults in households with a gross weekly income of over $£ 1,000$ were about twice as likely as those in households with a gross weekly household income of $£ 200$ or less to have drunk more than eight and six units respectively on at least one day in the previous week.

Tables 2.15-2.16

## Drinking last week, economic activity status and earnings from employment

Variations in alcohol consumption by economic status reflect differences in both the income and age profiles of the groups and also, probably, differences in health. Among men aged 16 to 64, those in employment were most likely to have drunk alcohol during the previous week - 76 per cent had done so compared with 54 per cent of the unemployed and 59 per cent of those who were economically inactive. In terms of quantity, working men were more likely than the unemployed and the economically inactive to have drunk more than 4 units on one day - 47 per cent, compared with 37 per cent and 35 per cent respectively. As noted above, lower levels of drinking among economically inactive men are partly due to the large proportion of this group who are aged 60 to 64.

Among women aged 16 to 64, 65 per cent of those who were working, 54 per cent of those who were unemployed, and 47 per cent of those who were economically inactive had drunk alcohol in the previous week. Working women were almost twice as likely as those who were economically inactive to have drunk heavily on at least one day in the previous week.

Among those working full time, variations in the frequency of drinking in relation to earnings from employment showed the same pattern of association as that with household income. Men and women who were high earners were more likely than the lower paid both to have drunk alcohol at all and to have drunk on five or more
days. For example, among full-time workers aged 16 to 64 who were earning more than $£ 800$ per week, 29 per cent of men and 16 per cent of women had drunk on five or more days in the previous week, compared with 23 per cent of men and 10 per cent of women earning $£ 200$ or less per week, The two measures of consumption, however, showed no consistent pattern of association with earnings, either for men or for women.

Tables 2.17-2.20

## Regional variation in drinking last week

As with average weekly alcohol consumption, care should be taken in interpreting the results for any one year. This is because sample sizes in some regions are small, making them subject to relatively high levels of sampling error.

In 2006, men and women in Scotland were less likely to have drunk on at least 5 days in the previous week than those living in England or Wales (14 per cent compared with 21 per cent and 23 per cent respectively for men; 9 per cent compared with 12 per cent and 11 per cent for women). However, men in Scotland were no less likely than those in England and Wales to have drunk more than the recommended maximum of four units nor to have drunk heavily. Differences among women in the proportions drinking more than the recommended amount or drinking heavily were not statistically significant.

Looking at the English regions, the daily benchmarks were most likely to be exceeded in the North West (47 per cent of men and 40 per cent of women) and Yorkshire and the Humber ( 48 per cent and 40 per cent respectively). The lowest proportions doing so were in London, where 35 per cent of men had drunk more than four units, and 27 per cent of women had drunk more than three units.

The relatively low proportions in London of men and women drinking more than the daily guideline amounts are largely explained by the high proportion of people in ethnic minority groups: the 2005 GHS report showed that 28 per cent of adults in London based on a sample of five years data combined were from non-white categories. Even the large sample was too small to permit detailed analysis by region and ethnic group, but an analysis based on the white population only showed that the proportions in London who had exceeded the recommended daily amounts, although still a little lower than average, were more similar to those in other regions (no table shown).

The same broad pattern of regional variation in daily drinking has been evident since these questions were first included in 1998. As noted above, however, sample sizes in some regions are small and some fluctuation in results from year to year is to be expected. This can affect whether a particular region or country appears to have a high or low consumption-level relative to other areas.

Tables 2.21-2.22

## Notes and references

x Goddard E. Obtaining information about drinking through surveys of the general population. National Statistics Methodology Series NSM 24 (ONS 2001)
xi $\quad A B V$ is the percentage alcohol by volume.
xii Sensible drinking: the report of an inter-departmental group.
(Department of Health 1995)
xiii Assuming one unit of alcohol to be a half pint of normal strength beer, lager or cider, a single measure of spirits, a glass of wine, or a small glass of sherry or other fortified wine.
xiv Goddard E Estimating alcohol consumption from survey data: updated method of converting volumes to units National Statistics Methodology Series NSM 37 (ONS 2007), also available at http://www.statistics.gov.uk/statbase/product.asp?vInk=15067
xv Sensible drinking: op.cit.
xvi BBPA Statistical Handbook 2007: a compilation of drinks industry statistics. (British Beer \& Pub Association, Brewing Publications Ltd, 2007). xvii Inequalities in Health, (The Stationery Office 1998) also available at http://www.archive.official-documents.co.uk/doh/ih.htm
xviii Safe. Sensible. Social. The next steps in the National Alcohol
Strategy. (Department of Health 2007), available at http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/publications/PublicationsPoli cyand Guidance/DH 075218

Table 2.1 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and age: 1992-2006

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Unweighted |  |  |  | Weighted |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted <br> base 2006 <br> (000's) <br> =100\% |  |
|  | 1992 | 994 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2006^{2} \\ & \text { original } \end{aligned}$ method | $2006^{2}$ <br> improved method |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 19.1 | 17.4 | 20.3 | 23.6 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 24.8 | 21.5 | 18.2 | 16.4 | 18.6 | 2,607 | 781 |
| 25-44 | 18.2 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 7,057 | 2468 |
| 45-64 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 16.0 | 20.8 | 6,450 | 2671 |
| 65 and over | 9.7 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 13.5 | 3,836 | 1767 |
| Total | 15.9 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 17.1 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 15.8 | 14.8 | 18.7 | 19,950 | 7687 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 12.6 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 10.9 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 2,863 | 944 |
| 25-44 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 10.1 | 7,875 | 3006 |
| 45-64 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 7,095 | 3014 |
| 65 and over | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 4,911 | 2050 |
| Total | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 22,744 | 9014 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 14.7 | 16.6 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 14.3 | 12.5 | 14.6 | 5,470 | 1725 |
| 25-44 | 11.8 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 14.6 | 14,932 | 5474 |
| 45-64 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.5 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 13,545 | 5685 |
| 65 and over | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 8,747 | 3817 |
| Total | 10.2 | 10.0 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 42,694 | 16701 |

[^11]Table 2.2 Weekly alcohol consumption level: percentage exceeding specified amounts by sex and age: 1988-2006

Persons aged 16 and over
Great Britain

| Unweighted data |  |  | Weighted data |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted base$2006 \text { (000's) }$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 199 | 1996 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $2005{ }^{1}$ | $2006^{2}$ original method | $2006^{2}$ <br> improved |  | Unwgtd sample ${ }^{3}$ 2006 |


| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of men who drank more than 21 units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 31 | 32 | 29 | 35 | 36 | 38 | 41 | 40 | 37 | 27 | 26 | 30 | 2,607 | 781 |
| 25-44 | 34 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 24 | 33 | 7,058 | 2468 |
| 45-64 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 26 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 34 | 6,449 | 2671 |
| 65 and over | 13 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 21 | 3,836 | 1767 |
| Total | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 31 | 19,950 | 7687 |
| Percentage of men who drank more than 50 units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 2,607 | 781 |
| 25-44 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 7,058 | 2468 |
| 45-64 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 6,449 | 2671 |
| 65 and over | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3,836 | 1767 |
| Total | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 19,950 | 7687 |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women who drank more than 14 units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 24 | 19 | 24 | 2,863 | 944 |
| 25-44 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 23 | 7,874 | 3006 |
| 45-64 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 21 | 7,096 | 3014 |
| 65 and over | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4,910 | 2050 |
| Total | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 22,743 | 9014 |
| Percentage of women who drank more than 35 units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 2,863 | 944 |
| 25-44 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7,874 | 3006 |
| 45-64 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7,096 | 3014 |
| 65 and over | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4,910 | 2050 |
| Total | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 22,743 | 9014 |

12005 data includes last quarter of 2004/5 data due to survey change from financial year to calendar year.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
3 Trend tables show unweighted and weighted figures for 1998 to give an indication of the effect of the weighting
Bases for earlier years can be found in GHS reports for each year.

# Table $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ Drinking last week, by sex and age: 1998 to 2006 



Women
Drank last week

| $16-24$ | 62 | 64 | 59 | 61 | 61 | 60 | 56 | 53 | 2,864 | 944 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $25-44$ | 65 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 65 | 62 | 62 | 60 | 7,876 | 3006 |
| $45-64$ | 61 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 64 | 62 | 61 | 61 | 7,094 | 3013 |
| 65 and over | 45 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 44 | 4,910 | 2050 |
| Total | 59 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 22,744 | 9013 |

Drank on 5 or more
days last week

| $16-24$ | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2,864 | 944 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $25-44$ | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 7,876 | 3006 |
| $45-64$ | 15 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 7,094 | 3013 |
| 65 and over | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 4,910 | 2050 |
| Total | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 22,744 | 9013 |

[^12]Table 2.4 Maximum drunk on any one day last week by sex and age: 1998 to 2006

| ersons aged 16 and over Great Britain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum daily amount | 1998 | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | $2005{ }^{1}$ |  | $2006^{2}$ <br> original <br> method |  | $2006^{2}$ <br> improved method | Weighted base 2006 $(000 s)=100 \%$ | Unweighted sample ${ }^{3}$ 2006 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drank more than 4 units on at least one day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 52 |  | 50 |  | 50 |  | 49 |  | 51 |  | 47 |  | 42 | 39 |  | 42 | 2,586 | 774 |
| 25-44 | 48 |  | 45 |  | 49 |  | 46 |  | 47 |  | 48 |  | 42 | 42 |  | 48 | 7,046 | 2463 |
| 45-64 | 37 |  | 38 |  | 37 |  | 38 |  | 41 |  | 37 |  | 35 | 33 |  | 42 | 6,450 | 2670 |
| 65 and over | 16 |  | 16 |  | 18 |  | 16 |  | 19 |  | 20 |  | 16 | 14 |  | 21 | 3,836 | 1767 |
| Total | 39 |  | 39 |  | 40 |  | 38 |  | 40 |  | 39 |  | 35 | 33 |  | 40 | 19,918 | 7674 |
| Drank more than 8 units on at least one day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 39 |  | 37 |  | 37 |  | 35 |  | 37 |  | 32 |  | 30 | 27 |  | 30 | 2,586 | 774 |
| 25-44 | 29 |  | 27 |  | 30 |  | 28 |  | 30 |  | 31 |  | 25 | 25 |  | 31 | 7,046 | 2463 |
| 45-64 | 17 |  | 17 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 20 |  | 18 |  | 16 | 15 |  | 21 | 6,450 | 2670 |
| 65 and over | 4 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 7 | 3,836 | 1767 |
| Total | 22 |  | 21 |  | 22 |  | 21 |  | 23 |  | 22 |  | 19 | 18 |  | 23 | 19,918 | 7674 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drank more than 3 units on at least one day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 42 |  | 42 |  | 40 |  | 42 |  | 40 |  | 39 |  | 36 | 34 |  | 39 | 2,859 | 943 |
| 25-44 | 28 |  | 31 |  | 31 |  | 31 |  | 30 |  | 28 |  | 26 | 27 |  | 40 | 7,877 | 3007 |
| 45-64 | 17 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  | 20 |  | 20 |  | 18 | 17 |  | 35 | 7,096 | 3014 |
| 65 and over | 4 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 14 | 4,908 | 2049 |
| Total | 21 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 22 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 33 | 22,740 | 9013 |
| Drank more than 6 units on at least one day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-24 | 24 |  | 27 |  | 27 |  | 28 |  | 26 |  | 24 |  | 22 | 20 |  | 25 | 2,859 | 943 |
| 25-44 | 11 |  | 13 |  | 14 |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 11 | 12 |  | 21 | 7,877 | 3007 |
| 45-64 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 12 | 7,096 | 3014 |
| 65 and over | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 4,908 | 2049 |
| Total | 8 | 1 | 10 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 15 | 22,740 | 9013 |

[^13]Table 2.5 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and age

| Persons aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Original method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | I mproved method <br> Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | Weighted base (000's) =100\% |  |  | Unweighted sample |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women T | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| 16-24 | 16.4 | 9.0 | 12.5 | 18.6 | 10.8 | 14.6 | 2,607 | 2,863 | 5,470 | 781 | 944 | 1725 |
| 25-44 | 15.6 | 6.8 | 11.0 | 19.7 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 7,057 | 7,875 | 14,932 | 2468 | 3006 | 5474 |
| 45-64 | 16.0 | 6.2 | 10.9 | 20.8 | 9.8 | 15.0 | 6,450 | 7,095 | 13,545 | 2671 | 3014 | 5685 |
| 65 and over | 10.4 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 13.5 | 5.1 | 8.7 | 3,836 | 4,911 | 8,747 | 1767 | 2050 | 3817 |
| Total | 14.8 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 18.7 | 9.0 | 13.5 | 19,950 | 22,744 | 42,694 | 7687 | 9014 | 16701 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.6 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and socio-economic class based on the current or last job of the household reference person

Persons aged 16 and over
Great Britain: $2006^{2}$


1 Full-time students, members of the Armed Forces, the long term unemployed and those who have never worked are not shown as separate categories but are included in the totals.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.7 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and usual gross weekly household income (£)

| ersons aged 16 and over Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usual gross weekly household income ( $£$ ) | Original method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | I mproved method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted base (000's) } \\ & =100 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Unweighted sample |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| Up to 200.00 | 15.0 | 4.4 | 8.5 | 17.8 | 6.1 | 10.6 | 2,785 | 4,467 | 7,252 | 1067 | 1733 | 2800 |
| 200.01-400.00 | 11.6 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 14.4 | 7.7 | 10.7 | 3,535 | 4,231 | 7,767 | 1418 | 1740 | 3158 |
| 400.01-600.00 | 14.5 | 6.5 | 10.4 | 17.9 | 9.3 | 13.6 | 3,138 | 3,205 | 6,343 | 1205 | 1291 | 2496 |
| 600.01-800.00 | 15.1 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 19.1 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 2,808 | 2,905 | 5,713 | 1078 | 1141 | 2219 |
| 800.01-1000.00 | 17.4 | 7.1 | 12.2 | 22.0 | 10.3 | 16.1 | 1,966 | 1,967 | 3,933 | 730 | 757 | 1487 |
| 1000.01 or more | 16.6 | 7.9 | 12.2 | 22.1 | 12.2 | 17.1 | 3,979 | 4,013 | 7,992 | 1501 | 1565 | 3066 |
| Total | 14.8 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 18.7 | 9.0 | 13.5 | 19,950 | 22,744 | 42,694 | 7687 | 9014 | 16701 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B)

Table 2.8 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and economic activity status

| Persons aged 16-64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic activity status | Original method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | I mproved method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | Weighted base (000's)$=100 \%$ |  |  | Unweighted sample |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women T | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| Working | 16.0 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 20.3 | 10.9 | 15.7 | 12,732 | 12,155 | 24,887 | 4704 | 4709 | 9413 |
| Unemployed | 15.0 | 7.8 | 12.3 | 17.8 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 678 | 408 | 1,085 | 229 | 140 | 369 |
| Economically inactive | 15.4 | 5.7 | 9.0 | 18.9 | 8.0 | 11.7 | 2,696 | 5,263 | 7,959 | 984 | 2112 | 3096 |
| Total | 15.9 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 20.0 | 10.1 | 14.8 | 16,106 | 17,826 | 33,931 | 5917 | 6961 | 12878 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.9 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and usual gross weekly earnings (£)

| Persons aged 16-64 in full-time employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usual gross weekly earnings (£) | Original method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | I mproved method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | Weighted base (000's)$=100 \%$ |  |  | Unweighted sample |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| Up to 200.00 | 19.4 | 8.5 | 14.8 | 24.2 | 11.6 | 18.9 | 834 | 606 | 1,440 | 301 | 222 | 523 |
| 200.01-300.00 | 16.4 | 7.7 | 11.8 | 19.3 | 10.7 | 14.7 | 1,397 | 1,580 | 2,978 | 493 | 580 | 1073 |
| 300.01-400.00 | 15.7 | 7.4 | 12.3 | 19.0 | 10.9 | 15.7 | 2,087 | 1,427 | 3,514 | 744 | 544 | 1288 |
| 400.01-600.00 | 16.8 | 7.6 | 13.7 | 21.1 | 11.8 | 18.0 | 2,929 | 1,476 | 4,406 | 1084 | 557 | 1641 |
| 600.01-800.00 | 16.5 | 8.2 | 13.8 | 21.5 | 13.5 | 18.9 | 1,344 | 635 | 1,979 | 517 | 255 | 772 |
| 800.01 or more | 15.5 | 7.5 | 13.8 | 21.7 | 12.1 | 19.6 | 1,647 | 458 | 2,105 | 657 | 180 | 837 |
| Total | 16.4 | 7.7 | 13.2 | 20.7 | 11.4 | 17.3 | 11,207 | 6,647 | 17,855 | 4178 | 2521 | 6699 |

1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.10 Average weekly alcohol consumption (units), by sex and Government Office Region

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government Office Region | Original method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | I mproved method Average weekly alcohol consumption |  |  | Weighted base (000's)=100\% |  |  | Unweighted sample |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | Total |
| North East | 17.9 | 6.4 | 11.8 | 21.4 | 8.7 | 14.6 | 803 | 919 | 1,722 | 310 | 368 | 678 |
| North West | 17.4 | 6.9 | 11.7 | 21.7 | 9.9 | 15.3 | 2,220 | 2,669 | 4,889 | 901 | 1110 | 2011 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 17.3 | 8.0 | 12.4 | 21.4 | 11.2 | 16.0 | 1,805 | 1,980 | 3,785 | 723 | 819 | 1542 |
| East Midlands | 15.4 | 6.5 | 10.9 | 19.2 | 9.4 | 14.2 | 1,703 | 1,749 | 3,452 | 688 | 741 | 1429 |
| West Midlands | 12.5 | 6.4 | 9.3 | 15.6 | 8.8 | 12.0 | 1,748 | 1,932 | 3,681 | 677 | 773 | 1450 |
| East of England | 14.4 | 5.6 | 9.8 | 18.2 | 8.3 | 13.0 | 1,990 | 2,201 | 4,191 | 786 | 907 | 1693 |
| London | 13.3 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 16.9 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 2,237 | 2,657 | 4,893 | 662 | 796 | 1458 |
| South East | 13.2 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 17.5 | 9.5 | 13.3 | 2,858 | 3,236 | 6,093 | 1115 | 1301 | 2416 |
| South West | 15.7 | 6.9 | 11.0 | 20.0 | 10.2 | 14.7 | 1,824 | 2,125 | 3,950 | 745 | 884 | 1629 |
| England | 14.9 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 18.9 | 9.2 | 13.7 | 17,189 | 19,468 | 36,657 | 6607 | 7,699 | 14306 |
| Wales | 16.1 | 5.3 | 10.4 | 19.9 | 7.8 | 13.5 | 1,023 | 1,152 | 2,176 | 411 | 477 | 888 |
| Scotland | 13.0 | 5.5 | 8.9 | 16.3 | 7.8 | 11.6 | 1,738 | 2,123 | 3,861 | 669 | 838 | 1507 |
| Great Britain | 14.8 | 6.2 | 10.2 | 18.7 | 9.0 | 13.5 | 19,950 | 22,744 | 42,694 | 7687 | 9014 | 16701 |

[^14]Table 2.11 whether drank last week and number of drinking days by sex and age


1 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ Maximum drunk on any one day last week, by sex and age

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum daily amount | Age |  |  |  |  |
|  | $16-24$ | $25-44$ | $45-64$ | 65 and | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 The first of each pair of figures shown relates to men, and the second, to women.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.13 Drinking last week, by sex, and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person


[^15]Table 2.14 Maximum number of units drunk on at least one day last week, by sex and socio-economic classification based on the current or last job of the household reference person

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-economic classification of household reference person ${ }^{1}$ | Original method |  |  | I mproved method |  |  |  |
|  | Men | Women | All persons | Men | Women | All pe | ersons |
|  | Percentage who drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day last week: original method ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Percentage who drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day last week: improved method ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large employer and higher managerial | 37 | 24 | 30 | 47 | 47 | 47 |  |
| Higher professional | 3234 | 2122 | 2728 | 4244 | 4140 | 41 | 42 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 35 |  | 27 |  |  | 40 |  |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small employers/own account workers |  | $20{ }^{18}$ |  | $41{ }^{41}$ | 34 |  |  |
| Routine and manual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower supervisory and technical |  | 20 | 28 | 38 | 30 | 34 |  |
| Semi-routine | 2932 | 1718 | 22.24 | 3335 | 2626 | 29 | 30 |
| Routine | 32 | 17 | 24 |  |  | 29 |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 33 | 20 | 26 | 40 | 33 | 36 |  |
|  | Percentage who drank more than $8 / 6$ units on at least one day last week: original method ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Percentage who drank more than $8 / 6$ units on at least one day last week: original method ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large employer and higher managerial | 20 |  | 14 | 27 | 19 | 23 |  |
| Higher professional | 1518 | 88 | 1113 | 2124 | 1617 | 19 | 21 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 18 | 8 | 13 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intermediate | $17{ }_{18}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small employers/own account workers | $19{ }^{18}$ | $8{ }^{8}$ | $\underline{14}^{12}$ | $23{ }^{23}$ | $14{ }^{13}$ |  |  |
| Routine and manual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 20 | 7 | 14 | 24 | 13 | 19 |  |
| Semi-routine | 1519 | 98 | 1113 | 1821 | 1312 | 15 | 16 |
| Routine | 20 | 7 | 13 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 18 | 8 | 13 | 23 | 15 | 18 |  |
| Weighted bases (000's) $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large employer and higher managerial | 1,763 | 1,821 | 3,584 | 1,763 | 1,821 | 3,5 |  |
| Higher professional | 2,062 | 1,916 | 3,977 | 2,062 | 1,916 | 3,9 |  |
| Lower managerial and professional | 4,598 | 5,273 | 9,871 | 4,598 | 5,273 | 9,8 |  |
| Intermediate | 1,390 | 2,268 | 3,658 | 1,390 | 2,268 | 3,6 |  |
| Small employers/own account workers | 2,138 | 2,046 | 4,185 | 2,138 | 2,046 | 4,181 |  |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 2,383 | 2,234 | 4,617 | 2,383 | 2,234 | 4,617 |  |
| Semi-routine | 2,231 | 3,179 | 5,410 | 2,231 | 3,179 | 5,4 |  |
| Routine | 2,490 | 2,829 | 5,321 | 2,490 | 2,829 | 5,3 |  |
| Tota/ ${ }^{1}$ | 19,917 | 22,739 | 42,656 | 19,917 | 22,739 | 42,6 |  |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large employer and higher managerial | 731 | 770 | 1501 | 731 | 770 | 15 | 01 |
| Higher professional | 830 | 805 | 1635 | 830 | 805 | 16 | 35 |
| Lower managerial and professional | 1828 | 2153 | 3981 | 1828 | 2153 | 39 | 81 |
| Intermediate | 526 | 877 | 1403 | 526 | 877 | 14 | 03 |
| Small employers/own account workers | 814 | 810 | 1624 | 814 | 810 | 16 | 24 |
| Lower supervisory and technical | 900 | 866 | 1766 | 900 | 866 | 17 | 66 |
| Semi-routine | 836 | 1247 | 2083 | 836 | 1247 | 208 | 83 |
| Routine | 930 | 1072 | 2002 | 930 | 1072 | 200 | 02 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 7674 | 9013 | 16687 | 7674 | 9013 | 166 |  |

Full-time students, members of the Armed Forces, the long term unemployed and those who have never worked are not shown as separate categories but are included in the totals.
The first of each pair of figures shown relates to men, and the second, to women.
3 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.15 Drinking last week, by sex and usual gross weekly household income

| Persons aged 16 and over Great Britain: $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking last week | Usual gross weekly household income (£) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Up to } \\ 200.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200.01- \\ 400.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 400.01- \\ 600.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 600.01- \\ 800.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800.01- \\ & 1000.00 \end{aligned}$ | 1000.01 or more | Total ${ }^{1}$ |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drank last week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 61 | 62 | 71 | 74 | 79 | 83 | 71 |
| Women | 40 | 51 | 56 | 63 | 64 | 70 | 56 |
| All persons | 48 | 56 | 63 | 68 | 71 | 76 | 63 |
| Drank on 5 or more days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 22 | 26 | 21 |
| Women | 9 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 11 |
| All persons | 12 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 16 |
| Weighted base (000's) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 2,780 | 3,535 | 3,131 | 2,808 | 1,966 | 3,979 | 19,936 |
| Women | 4,467 | 4,231 | 3,205 | 2,905 | 1,967 | 4,013 | 22,742 |
| All persons | 7,247 | 7,768 | 6,335 | 5,713 | 3,933 | 7,992 | 42,678 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 1065 | 1418 | 1202 | 1078 | 730 | 1501 | 7681 |
| Women | 1733 | 1740 | 1291 | 1141 | 757 | 1565 | 9013 |
| All persons | 2798 | 3158 | 2493 | 2219 | 1487 | 3066 | 16694 |

The total includes those for whom household income was not available
Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.16 Maximum drunk on any one day last week by sex and usual gross weekly household income

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking last week | Usual gross weekly household income ( $£$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Up to } \\ 200.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 200.01- \\ 400.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 400.01 \text { - } \\ 600.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 600.01 \text { - } \\ 800.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800.01 \text { - } \\ & 1000.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00.01 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | Total ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Original method <br> Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day last week ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 27 | 23 | 33 | 37 | 43 | 40 | 33 |
| Women | 12 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 27 | 27 | 20 |
| All persons | 18 | 19 | 26 | 30 | 35 | 34 | 26 |
| Drank more than 8/6 units on at least one day last week ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 14 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 18 |
| Women | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| All persons | 8 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 13 |
| Improved method Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day last week ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 32 | 28 | 38 | 43 | 51 | 51 | 40 |
| Women | 20 | 27 | 33 | 36 | 41 | 47 | 33 |
| All persons | 25 | 28 | 35 | 39 | 46 | 49 | 36 |
| urank more tnan ъ/b units on at least one day last week ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 16 | 14 | 23 | 27 | 30 | 29 | 23 |
| Women | 7 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 15 |
| All persons | 11 | 12 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 18 |
| Weighted base (000's) =100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 2,782 | 3,531 | 3,134 | 2,807 | 1,962 | 3,976 | 19,913 |
| Women | 4,465 | 4,230 | 3,205 | 2,905 | 1,967 | 4,010 | 22,739 |
| All persons | 7,247 | 7,764 | 6,340 | 5,713 | 3,928 | 7,986 | 42,659 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 1066 | 1417 | 1204 | 1077 | 728 | 1500 | 7674 |
| Women | 1732 | 1740 | 1291 | 1141 | 757 | 1564 | 9013 |
| All persons | 2798 | 3157 | 2495 | 2218 | 1485 | 3064 | 16687 |

[^16]Table 2.17 Drinking last week, by sex and economic activity status

| Persons aged 16-64 | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking last week | Economic activity status |  |  |  |
|  | Working | Unemployed | Economically inactive | Total |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |
| Drank last week |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 76 | 54 | 59 | 72 |
| Women | 65 | 54 | 47 | 59 |
| All persons | 70 | 54 | 51 | 65 |
| Drank on 5 or more days last week |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 20 | 10 | 17 | 19 |
| Women | 11 | 6 | 9 | 10 |
| All persons | 16 | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| Weighted base (000's) |  |  |  |  |
| = 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 12,726 | 678 | 2,688 | 16,092 |
| Women | 12,155 | 407 | 5,261 | 17,823 |
| All persons | 24,880 | 1,085 | 7,949 | 33,914 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4701 | 229 | 981 | 5911 |
| Women | 4709 | 140 | 2111 | 6960 |
| All persons | 9410 | 369 | 3092 | 12871 |

[^17]Table 2.18 Maximum drunk on any one day last week, by sex and economic activity status

| Persons aged 16-64 |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking last week | Economic activity status |  |  |  |
|  | Working | Unemployed | Economically inactive | Total |
|  |  | Perce |  |  |
| Original method |  |  |  |  |
| Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 39 | 34 | 31 | 38 |
| Women | 27 | 25 | 17 | 24 |
| All persons | 34 | 30 | 22 | 31 |
| Drank more than 8/6 units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 23 | 18 | 16 | 21 |
| Women | 12 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| All persons | 17 | 15 | 10 | 15 |
| Improved method Drank more than $4 / 3$ units |  |  |  |  |
| on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 47 | 37 | 35 | 45 |
| Women | 43 | 35 | 27 | 38 |
| All persons | 45 | 36 | 30 | 41 |
| Drank more than $8 / 6$ units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 28 | 22 | 20 | 27 |
| Women | 21 | 16 | 12 | 18 |
| All persons | 25 | 20 | 15 | 22 |
| Weighted base (000's) |  |  |  |  |
| =100\% |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 12,714 | 674 | 2,686 | 16,074 |
| Women | 12,157 | 407 | 5,260 | 17,824 |
| All persons | 24,871 | 1,081 | 7,945 | 33,897 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4696 | 228 | 980 | 5904 |
| Women | 4710 | 140 | 2111 | 6961 |
| All persons | 9406 | 368 | 3091 | 12865 |

[^18]Table 2.19 Drinking last week, by sex and usual gross weekly earnings

| Persons aged 16-64 |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking last week | Economic activity status |  |  |  |
|  | Working | Unemployed | Economically inactive | Total |
|  | Percentages |  |  |  |
| Original method |  |  |  |  |
| Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 39 | 34 | 31 | 38 |
| Women | 27 | 25 | 17 | 24 |
| All persons | 34 | 30 | 22 | 31 |
| Drank more than 8/6 units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 23 | 18 | 16 | 21 |
| Women | 12 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| All persons | 17 | 15 | 10 | 15 |
| Improved method Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 47 | 37 | 35 | 45 |
| Women | 43 | 35 | 27 | 38 |
| All persons | 45 | 36 | 30 | 41 |
| Drank more than $8 / 6$ units on at least one day ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 28 | 22 | 20 | 27 |
| Women | 21 | 16 | 12 | 18 |
| All persons | 25 | 20 | 15 | 22 |
| Weighted base (000's) |  |  |  |  |
| = 100\% |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 12,714 | 674 | 2,686 | 16,074 |
| Women | 12,157 | 407 | 5,260 | 17,824 |
| All persons | 24,871 | 1,081 | 7,945 | 33,897 |
| Unweighted sample |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4696 | 228 | 980 | 5904 |
| Women | 4710 | 140 | 2111 | 6961 |
| All persons | 9406 | 368 | 3091 | 12865 |

1 The first of each pair of figures shown relates to men, and the second, to women.
2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).

Table 2.20 Maximum drunk on any one day last week, by sex and usual gross weekly earnings

Persons aged 16-64 in full-time employment
Great Britain: $2006^{3}$

| Usual gross weekly earnings ${ }^{1}(£)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Up to | 200.01 | 300.01 | 400.01 | 600.01 | 800.01 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200.00 | -300.00 | -400.00 | -600.00 | -800.00 | or more |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Original method

Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on
at least one day ${ }^{2}$

| Men | 39 | 37 | 43 | 46 | 41 | 39 | 41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women | 31 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 34 | 30 |
| All persons | 36 | 33 | 38 | 41 | 36 | 38 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urank more than $8 / 6$ units on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at least one day ${ }^{2}$ | 26 | 21 | 26 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 24 |
| Men | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 13 |
| Women | 23 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 20 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Improved method

Drank more than $4 / 3$ units on

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at least one day ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 47 | 42 | 48 | 51 | 49 | 54 | 49 |
| Women | 42 | 43 | 44 | 48 | 51 | 58 | 46 |
| All persons | 44 | 42 | 46 | 50 | 50 | 55 | 47 |

Drank more than $8 / 6$ units on
at least one day ${ }^{2}$

| Men | 30 | 23 | 30 | 34 | 31 | 28 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women | 24 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 24 |
| All persons | 27 | 23 | 27 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 27 |

Weighted base (000's)

| $=100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Men | 834 | 1,394 | 2,083 | 2,932 | 1,344 | 1,644 | 11,195 |
| Women | 606 | 1,581 | 1,427 | 1,476 | 635 | 458 | 6,649 |
| All persons | 1,440 | 2,974 | 3,511 | 4,410 | 1,979 | 2,101 | 17,844 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unweighted sample | 301 | 492 | 742 | 1084 | 517 | 656 | 4172 |
| Men | 222 | 580 | 544 | 557 | 255 | 180 | 2522 |
| Women | 523 | 1072 | 1286 | 1641 | 772 | 836 | 6694 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^19]
## Table 2.21 Drinking last week, by sex and Government Office Region

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  | Great Britain: $2006{ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government Office Region | Drinking last week |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
|  | Drank last week | Drank on 5 or more days last week | $\begin{array}{r} \text { base (000's) } \\ =100 \% \end{array}$ | sample |
| Men | Percentages |  |  |  |
| North East | 70 | 19 | 802 | 310 |
| North West | 76 | 20 | 2,219 | 900 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 77 | 21 | 1,805 | 723 |
| East Midlands | 72 | 24 | 1,698 | 686 |
| West Midlands | 68 | 21 | 1,748 | 677 |
| East of England | 73 | 20 | 1,990 | 786 |
| London | 62 | 19 | 2,237 | 662 |
| South East | 72 | 23 | 2,858 | 1115 |
| South West | 76 | 24 | 1,824 | 745 |
| England | 72 | 21 | 17,182 | 6604 |
| Wales | 69 | 23 | 1,021 | 410 |
| Scotland | 67 | 14 | 1,732 | 667 |
| Great Britain | 71 | 21 | 19,935 | 7681 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 53 | 11 | 919 | 368 |
| North West | 60 | 10 | 2,669 | 1110 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 62 | 14 | 1,980 | 819 |
| East Midlands | 58 | 14 | 1,749 | 741 |
| West Midlands | 52 | 11 | 1,933 | 773 |
| East of England | 57 | 11 | 2,201 | 907 |
| London | 46 | 7 | 2,656 | 796 |
| South East | 59 | 14 | 3,235 | 1301 |
| South West | 59 | 15 | 2,126 | 884 |
| England | 57 | 12 | 19,468 | 7699 |
| Wales | 53 | 11 | 1,151 | 476 |
| Scotland | 52 | 9 | 2,123 | 838 |
| Great Britain | 56 | 11 | 22,742 | 9013 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 61 | 14 | 1,722 | 678 |
| North West | 67 | 15 | 4,888 | 2010 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 69 | 17 | 3,785 | 1542 |
| East Midlands | 65 | 19 | 3,446 | 1427 |
| West Midlands | 60 | 16 | 3,681 | 1450 |
| East of England | 65 | 15 | 4,191 | 1693 |
| London | 53 | 12 | 4,893 | 1458 |
| South East | 65 | 18 | 6,093 | 2416 |
| South West | 67 | 19 | 3,949 | 1629 |
| England | 64 | 16 | 36,651 | 14303 |
| Wales | 61 | 16 | 2,172 | 886 |
| Scotland | 58 | 11 | 3,856 | 1505 |
| Great Britain | 63 | 16 | 42,679 | 16694 |

[^20]Table 2.22 Maximum drunk on any one day last week, by sex and Government Office Region

| Persons aged 16 and over |  |  |  |  | Great Britain: $2006^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original method |  | I mproved method |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
|  | Drank more than | Drank more than | Drank more than | Drank more than |  |  |
| Government Office Region | $4 / 3$ units on at least | $8 / 6$ units on at | $4 / 3$ units on at | 8/6 units on at | base (000's) | sample |
|  | one day ${ }^{1}$ | least one day ${ }^{1}$ | least one day ${ }^{1}$ | least one day ${ }^{1}$ | = $100 \%$ |  |


| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 37 | 18 | 43 | 21 | 803 | 310 |
| North West | 41 | 26 | 47 | 31 | 2,216 | 899 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 41 | 23 | 48 | 29 | 1,794 | 718 |
| East Midlands | 34 | 18 | 41 | 23 | 1,703 | 688 |
| West Midlands | 31 | 16 | 37 | 19 | 1,745 | 676 |
| East of England | 30 | 15 | 37 | 20 | 1,987 | 785 |
| London | 30 | 16 | 35 | 21 | 2,239 | 662 |
| South East | 29 | 14 | 37 | 20 | 2,858 | 1115 |
| South West | 32 | 17 | 39 | 21 | 1,819 | 743 |
| England | 33 | 18 | 40 | 23 | 17,162 | 6596 |
| Wales | 36 | 18 | 42 | 22 | 1,024 | 411 |
| Scotland | 33 | 18 | 40 | 23 | 1,732 | 667 |
| Great Britain | 33 | 18 | 40 | 23 | 19,918 | 7674 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 20 | 7 | 33 | 11 | 915 | 367 |
| North West | 27 | 12 | 40 | 20 | 2,668 | 1110 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 28 | 14 | 40 | 23 | 1,977 | 818 |
| East Midlands | 18 | 9 | 32 | 14 | 1,749 | 741 |
| West Midlands | 17 | 7 | 29 | 13 | 1,932 | 773 |
| East of England | 17 | 5 | 30 | 12 | 2,200 | 907 |
| London | 14 | 5 | 27 | 11 | 2,656 | 796 |
| South East | 18 | 8 | 32 | 15 | 3,237 | 1302 |
| South West | 21 | 7 | 34 | 16 | 2,126 | 884 |
| England | 20 | 8 | 33 | 15 | 19,465 | 7698 |
| Wales | 19 | 7 | 34 | 12 | 1,152 | 477 |
| Scotland | 20 | 7 | 33 | 14 | 2,124 | 838 |
| Great Britain | 20 | 8 | 33 | 15 | 22,741 | 9013 |
| All persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 28 | 12 | 37 | 16 | 1,719 | 677 |
| North West | 34 | 18 | 43 | 25 | 4,886 | 2009 |
| Yorkshire and the Humber | 34 | 18 | 44 | 26 | 3,772 | 1536 |
| East Midlands | 26 | 13 | 36 | 18 | 3,451 | 1429 |
| West Midlands | 24 | 11 | 33 | 16 | 3,677 | 1449 |
| East of England | 23 | 10 | 33 | 15 | 4,189 | 1692 |
| London | 21 | 10 | 31 | 15 | 4,896 | 1458 |
| South East | 23 | 11 | 34 | 17 | 6,095 | 2417 |
| South West | 26 | 12 | 36 | 18 | 3,943 | 1627 |
| England | 26 | 13 | 36 | 19 | 36,628 | 14294 |
| Wales | 27 | 12 | 38 | 17 | 2,175 | 888 |
| Scotland | 26 | 12 | 36 | 18 | 3,856 | 1505 |
| Great Britain | 26 | 13 | 36 | 18 | 42,659 | 16687 |

[^21]2 Results for 2006 include longitudinal data (see Appendix B).
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