




INTERACT HANDBOOK

THE EUROPEAN GROUPING OF TERRITORIAL COOPERATION (EGTC)

WHAT USE FOR EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL COOPERATION PROGRAMMES AND PROJECTS?

Updated version May 2008

AECT
EAYY
EGTC
EGTS
EOEΣ
ESUS
ETBG
ETEC
ETKR
ETSG
EVTZ
EZÜS
EGTC
REKT



ISBN 3-902558-02-4

Copyright notice:

© INTERACT Programme Secretariat on behalf of the Managing Authority, the Self-Governing Region of Bratislava.

You are permitted to print or download extracts from this material for your personal use. This material is allowed to be used for public purposes provided the source is acknowledged. None of this material may be used for any commercial purpose.

Printed in Belgium, European Commission, June 2008



INTERACT Point Vienna is funded by INTERACT, which is part of Objective 3 European Territorial Cooperation. INTERACT is co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). INTERACT Point Vienna is managed by the City of Vienna Municipal Department 27.

INTERACT is designed to capitalise on the vast pool of experience and expertise accumulated through INTERREG in the areas of regional development, cross-border cooperation, transnational cooperation and interregional cooperation.

INTERACT Point Vienna does not warrant or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, product or process disclosed in or connected to this document.

The essentials of this Handbook were drafted by following consortium of experts:

Dr. Hannes Schaffer
DI Christina Ringler
<http://www.mecca-consulting.at>

Martin Mühleck
<http://www.a-e-r.org>



INTERACT Point Vienna
Museumstrasse 3/A/II
AT - 1070 Vienna

www.interact-eu.net
t: +43 1 4000 27 085
f: +43 1 4000 99 27 080
e: ip.vienna@interact-eu.net

PREFACE

EGTC is an acronym that is on everybody's lips, from local to national authorities, across the European Union's territory and even on its external borders. The 'European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation' (EGTC)¹ is a **Community legal instrument that has been directly applicable in all EU Member States since 1 August 2007**. While recourse to EGTC is **optional**, this dedicated instrument offers a new opportunity for strengthening the management aspects of territorial cooperation activities.

The **European Commission** (EC), which launched the process by originally submitting the proposal for a Regulation on a European Grouping of Cross-border Cooperation on 14 July 2004, will closely monitor developments in this regard.

The **Committee of the Regions** (CoR), officially appointed by the EGTC Regulation to hold a register of EGTCs (by collecting their conventions and statutes), also plays a key role in this process.

In line with its mandate to support the implementing authorities of European Territorial Cooperation programmes in day-to-day management issues, **INTERACT** saw the need to provide an information and training tool on this new instrument that is directly, though not solely, targeted at European Territorial Cooperation programmes and projects. In this regard, the role of INTERACT is to be seen as complementary to those of the above-mentioned institutions, and the works² already available have been used for the development of this Handbook.

The development of the EGTC Handbook was coordinated by INTERACT Point Vienna. The Handbook was drafted by mecca consulting in cooperation with the Assembly of European Regions. Its content was reviewed on two occasions by Prof. Levrat of the University of Geneva and representatives of different institutions concerned also reviewed its content and provided useful inputs, for which we are grateful.

INTERACT Point Vienna
May 2008

DISCLAIMER: *This publication does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the members of the INTERACT Monitoring and Steering Committee or the above-mentioned institutions.*

¹ Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation

² See in particular the study by the Committee of the Regions: *The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation*, directed by Prof. Levrat, University of Geneva, January 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	CONTEXT AND AIM OF THE HANDBOOK.....	7
1.1.	BACKGROUND – THE GENESIS OF THE EGTC AND THE STATE OF THE ART	7
1.2.	METHODOLOGY	9
1.3.	CONTENT	10
2.	GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE EGTC	12
2.1.	WHAT IS THE EGTC FOR?.....	12
2.2.	CHARACTERISTICS OF AN EGTC	12
2.3.	MEMBERSHIP.....	13
2.4.	APPLICABLE LAW	14
2.5.	OBJECTIVES AND TASKS OF AN EGTC.....	14
2.6.	ALTERNATIVE INSTRUMENTS AVAILABLE	15
3.	STATUS OF THE NATIONAL PROVISIONS IN THE EU MEMBER STATES.....	17
3.1.	INTRODUCTION	17
3.2.	MEMBER STATES IN WHICH NATIONAL PROVISIONS HAVE BEEN ADOPTED	18
3.3.	MEMBER STATES IN WHICH NATIONAL PROVISIONS HAVE NOT BEEN ADOPTED OR ARE UNDER ADOPTION	20
3.4.	THE EGTC AND THIRD COUNTRIES	22
3.5.	WHAT TO DO IF NATIONAL PROVISIONS ARE NOT YET IN PLACE?	23
4.	ROADMAP FOR SETTING UP AN EGTC.....	25
4.1.	INTRODUCTION TO THE ROADMAP	25
4.1.1.	Genesis of the roadmap.....	25
4.1.2.	How to use the roadmap?	25
4.1.3.	General methodological notes	26
4.1.4.	EGTC for the management of a European Territorial Cooperation programme.....	26
4.1.5.	EGTC for the management of a European Territorial Cooperation project	27
4.1.6.	The EGTC Roadmap	30
4.2.	PREPARATION PHASE.....	31
4.2.1.	Analysis of the needs and objectives of the cooperation	31
4.2.2.	Definition of the territorial scale.....	32
4.2.3.	Identification of the essential competences and skills	33
4.2.4.	Identification of the partners.....	35
4.2.5.	Exploration of the available national legal frameworks	36
4.2.6.	Consideration of the timing and potential risk of delays	37
4.3.	DECISION PHASE	38
4.3.1.	Consideration of other instruments or cooperation structures	38
4.3.2.	Verification of the legal feasibility of the planned proposal	39
4.4.	IMPLEMENTATION PHASE	40
4.4.1.	Location of the registered office	40
4.4.2.	Drafting of the convention	41
4.4.3.	Drafting of the statutes	43
4.4.4.	Notification of the convention and the statutes	44

4.4.5.	Publication of the convention and the statutes	44
4.4.6.	Launching the EGTC.....	45
4.5.	PERFORMANCE OF AN EGTC.....	46
4.5.1.	Budget and control of financial management of public funds	47
4.5.2.	Liquidation, insolvency and cessation of payments.....	49
4.5.3.	Liability	49
4.5.4.	Evaluation.....	50
4.6.	DISSOLUTION	50
4.7.	CONCLUSIONS	52
5.	CASE STUDIES ON EGTCs IN PREPARATION AND OTHER STRUCTURES	54
5.1.	INTERREG-IV-A PROGRAMME GREATER REGION	55
5.2.	GALICIA-NORTHERN PORTUGAL WORKING COMMUNITY	56
5.3.	MATRIOSCA ADRIA-ALPE-PANNONIA.....	58
5.4.	ALPS MEDITERRANEAN EUROREGION	59
5.5.	HOSPITAL OF CERDANYA (FRANCE/SPAIN).....	61
5.6.	EUROMETROPOLE LILLE-KORTRIJK-TOURNAI.....	62
5.7.	EUROREGION ISTER-GRANUM	65
5.8.	EURODISTRICT SAARMOSELLE.....	67
5.9.	FRANCE-VAUD-GENEVA CONURBATION - <i>NON-APPLICABILITY OF THE EGTC INSTRUMENT</i>	70
5.10.	OVERVIEW OF EGTCs UNDER CONSIDERATION/IN PREPARATION/ALREADY FUNCTIONING.....	72
6.	CONCLUSIONS	77
6.1.	ADVANTAGES OF THE EGTC.....	77
6.2.	LIMITATIONS OF THE EGTC.....	79
6.3.	FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	80
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	83
	LITERATURE.....	83
	WEBSITES AND ONLINE DOCUMENTS	84
	FURTHER SOURCES	85
	LIST OF ACRONYMS	87
	ANNEXES	89
	ANNEX 1 – ROADMAP FOR SETTING UP AN EGTC.....	90
	ANNEX 2 – EGTC MODEL CONVENTION, TEMPLATE FOR USE.....	99
	ANNEX 3 – EGTC MODEL STATUTES, TEMPLATE FOR USE.....	102
	ANNEX 4 – OTHER AVAILABLE LEGAL INSTRUMENTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF TERRITORIAL COOPERATION	108
	ANNEX 5 – USEFUL LINKS AND CONTACTS.....	114

CHAPTER 1

CONTEXT AND AIM OF THE HANDBOOK

1. CONTEXT AND AIM OF THE HANDBOOK

1.1. Background – The genesis of the EGTC and the state of the art

Until the end of the programming period 2000-2006, **very few INTERREG programmes were directly managed by a joint integrated management body**, e.g. in the form of Euroregion or other cross-border structures with legal personality (only 6% of the INTERREG IIIA programmes were managed in this way³).

Instead, management functions (primarily Managing Authority, Paying Authority, Joint Technical Secretariat) were usually fulfilled by regional or national institutions (regional councils, ministries, etc.) from one or more participating countries.

Numerous reasons can be posited for this, the main one being the **absence or lack of an appropriate legal framework for the setting-up of such joint management structures**.

The European Court of Auditors, the European Parliament and the European Commission therefore saw the need to create an adapted instrument and on 14 July 2004, the European Commission proposed a Regulation to create a European Grouping of Cross-border Cooperation (EGCC)⁴. This proposal by the European Commission was part of the Cohesion legislative package for the programming period 2007-13⁵, consisting of a general Regulation and a Regulation for the European Social Fund (ESF), the Cohesion Fund and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), respectively.

This new instrument was mainly, though not exclusively⁶, meant to be used for EU programme and project management: as a matter of fact, recourse to an EGTC for programme management is one way (among others) of complying with the principle of joint management and single management structures (Articles 59 and 60 of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006). Another reason for creating such instrument is the application of the **principle of non-discrimination**: cooperation should not be more difficult between partners from two different Member States than between partners located in the same Member State.

The focus of the draft regulation on cross-border cooperation was soon abandoned and the scope of the instrument was enlarged to include all types of territorial cooperation⁷. The instrument was thus renamed **European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)**, and was eventually approved, in the form of a Regulation of the Council and the European Parliament, on **5 July 2006**. Following the approval of the Regulation, which has been directly applicable in all 27 EU Member States since 1 August 2006, Member States had one year's time to make national provisions to ensure the effective application of this Regulation⁸. To date, this process has not yet been completed in all EU Member States (see Chapter 3).

³ INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships*, 2006.

⁴ COM (2004) 496 final.

⁵ Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006: however it is a normative instrument whose validity is not limited to 31.12.2013.

⁶ The four types of EGTC are presented in detail in Point 2.1.

⁷ A distinction is made between 'European Territorial Cooperation' in the meaning of the EU-funded programmes that replace the former INTERREG programmes, and 'territorial cooperation' in a more general sense (cross-border, transnational or interregional cooperation projects/actions, with or without EU-funding).

⁸ Art. 16(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 covers the obligation of Member States to make such provisions as are appropriate to ensure the effective application of this Regulation. These provisions should have been already made until 1 August 2007.

⁹ Throughout the document this process will be referred as the 'implementation' or 'integration' of the EGTC Regulation into the national legal systems.

By 1 August 2011, the Commission will forward to the European Parliament and the European Council a report on the application of the EGTC Regulation, together with proposals for amendments where appropriate. Even in the absence of proposals by the Commission, the Regulation will remain in force.



Fig. 1: Genesis of the EGTC

Further details on the genesis of the EGTC are provided in the INTERACT legal study¹⁰ and the EGTC study¹¹ of the Committee of the Regions.

Objective

The objective of the EGTC instrument is to propose a single framework for the legal structuring of territorial cooperation activities throughout the European Union, while remaining an optional tool.

¹⁰ INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships*, 2006.

¹¹ *The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation*, directed by Prof. Levrat, University of Geneva, Committee of the Regions, January 2007.

Current challenges

The **main challenges** at the moment are the following:

- As already mentioned above, not all Member States have yet made the necessary provisions. See Chapter 3 for further details on the current status;
- The EGTC is a new instrument which is not yet well known and which suffers from:
 - A lack of simplicity: the name is not easy to remember and has changed from EGCC to EGTC. The acronym also differs from language to language;
 - A lack of concreteness: many regions are interested in this instrument, but too few concrete examples of EGTCs are available so far.
- The EGTC is, among others, intended as an instrument for European Territorial Cooperation programme management, and if programme partners want to entrust programme management to an EGTC, they should start making preparations as soon as possible. However, programme partners are already busy with all the requirements for the setting-up of the new Operational Programmes, and the EGTC, being an optional and untested instrument, is **not considered a high priority for the moment**.

First EGTC

The first EGTC officially registered is the *Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai*. It was created on 28 January 2008, with the first meeting of its Constitutive Assembly. The EGTC brings together 14 partners from the urban French-Belgian border area around Lille (FR), Kortrijk (BE, Flemish Region) and Tournai (BE, Walloon Region).¹²



1.2. Methodology

INTERACT's scope, based on its mandate to support European Territorial Cooperation programmes and, indirectly, projects, is essentially focused on two of the four main EGTC models presented in Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006:

- EGTC for the management of EU-funded programmes (in particular European Territorial Cooperation programmes);
- EGTC for the management of EU-funded projects, with a focus on European Territorial Cooperation projects.

For this reason, the Handbook provides practical information on the EGTC with a focus on European Territorial Cooperation programme and project management questions (see in particular Point 4.1.4. and 4.1.5.). The starting point for the provided information was the CoR study¹³ *'The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation'*. This has been supplemented by literature research, questionnaires and additional information provided by experts (peer review by Prof. Nicolas Levrat) and practitioners (EGTC Needs Assessment Meeting¹⁴; INTERACT Seminars on the EGTC¹⁵ and follow-up review). In contrast to the above-mentioned study there is no explicit concentration on legal issues and no elements that depend on the national implementing provisions are included.

¹² More information and contact details can be found under Point 5.6. The official date of creation of the EGTC is 21.01.2008 (*Arrêté du Préfet de la région Nord-Pas-de-Calais*).

¹³ *op. cit.*

¹⁴ EGTC Needs Assessment Meeting. Vienna, 14.09.2007 - <http://www.interact-eu.net/227138/675434/0/1351353>.

¹⁵ First INTERACT Seminar on the EGTC, 26-27.11.2007, Vienna. The draft version of this Handbook was presented on this occasion and reviewed afterwards.

1.3. Content

Chapter 2 of the Handbook deals with general information on the EGTC Regulation. This is followed by a brief overview (Chapter 3) of the Member States' progress concerning the adoption of national provisions. Chapter 4 presents the quintessence of the Handbook, which consists of the roadmap for the implementation process of an EGTC. Here the setting up of an EGTC is described step by step, including questions to be discussed by the partners and specific action lists. Since only few EGTC have been set up to date, the selected case studies (Chapter 5) focus on areas that are considering the establishment of an EGTC.

An INTERACT **Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) on the EGTC** has been developed in parallel to this Handbook and is available in electronic version in the EGTC Section of the INTERACT Website.

CHAPTER 2
GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE EGTC

2. GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE EGTC

The following chapter deals with the EGTC Regulation in general and gives a brief overview of the instrument.

2.1. What is the EGTC for?

Created by **Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the Council and the European Parliament of 5 July 2006**, the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC) is a new Community legal instrument at the service of public entities¹⁶ willing to develop projects and activities of common interest in the field of territorial cooperation, as described in Articles 1 and 7 of the aforementioned Regulation.

Four main models¹⁷ of EGTC are possible:

- EGTC in order to implement territorial cooperation programmes (European Territorial Cooperation programmes). *Example:* EGTC as Managing Authority and/or Joint Technical Secretariat of an INTERREG IVA programme;
- EGTC for implementing co-financed projects in the field of territorial cooperation under the Structural Funds (ERDF, ESF, Cohesion Fund). *Example:* European Territorial Cooperation projects (cross-border/transnational/interregional);
- EGTC for the purpose of carrying out other EU-funded territorial cooperation actions;
- EGTC in order to implement territorial cooperation actions outside any EU funding.




Fig. 2: The four models of EGTC according to Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

2.2. Characteristics of an EGTC

The EGTC Regulation, with direct applicability in all 27 EU Member States, offers a legal basis for the creation of entities, called EGTCs, with a **legal personality**. In each Member State it enjoys the maximum legal and contractual capacity conferred on legal persons according to the respective national law¹⁸. This means, for example, that it may 'acquire or dispose of movable and immovable property, employ staff and may be party to legal proceedings'¹⁹.

¹⁶ For more details see Point 2.3 on Membership.

¹⁷ Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006.

¹⁸ Art. 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006.

¹⁹ *Ibid.*

2.3. Membership

An EGTC must be composed of **members from at least two Member States** belonging to the following categories: local and regional authorities, other public entities or public-equivalent bodies²⁰, associations of public entities²¹ and, finally, Member States (national level).

The participation of Member States alongside regional or local authorities in a legal structure subject to national law is an unprecedented phenomenon. **The Member States** as such can play up to three different roles in the process of establishing an EGTC:

- They have to designate responsible authorities for the approval of 'national' EGTC²² and the participation of prospective members falling under their jurisdiction;
- They have to designate competent authorities for the control of the management of public funds by the EGTCs registered in their territories²³;
- They can also become members²⁴ of an EGTC.

Participation of private entities is excluded as such. However, entities fulfilling the criteria listed in Art. 1(9) of Directive 2004/18/EC can be considered as '**bodies governed by public law**' and are therefore eligible for participation in an EGTC. 'A "body governed by public law" means any body:

- (a) established for the specific purpose of meeting needs in the general interest, not having an industrial or commercial character,
- (b) having legal personality and
- (c) financed, for the most part, by the State, regional or local authorities, or other bodies governed by public law; or subject to management supervision by those bodies; or having an administrative, managerial or supervisory board, more than half of whose members are appointed by the State, regional or local authorities, or by other bodies governed by public law.

Non-exhaustive lists of bodies and categories of bodies governed by public law which fulfil the criteria referred to in (a), (b) and (c) of the second subparagraph are set out in Annex III. Member States shall periodically notify the Commission of any changes to their lists of bodies and categories of bodies'.

Additionally one should not forget the possibility to create **public-private partnerships** between e.g. an EGTC and private entities, which do not fulfil the above criteria. This could be particularly relevant for project management.

²⁰ Art. 3(1)(d) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006: 'bodies governed by public law within the meaning of the second subparagraph of Article 1(9) of Directive 2004/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts'.

²¹ Associations can become members of an EGTC even if they are private-law based, as long as they fulfil the criteria applicable to bodies governed by public law as set in the abovementioned EU Directive.

²² With the registered office in its own territory.

²³ Art. 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006.

²⁴ Art. 3(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006.

2.4. Applicable law

The EGTC is subject to the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 and the provisions of its convention and its statutes, which the EGTC members must enact. For matters not explicitly regulated by Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006, the laws of the Member State where the EGTC has its registered office become applicable, since the act of setting up the office subjects the EGTC to the national legislation of the State in which the registered office will be located²⁵.

2.5. Objectives and tasks of an EGTC

The establishment of an EGTC should not be a goal in itself but a means to reach other goals, such as long-term strategic developments, management of public services, of a programme, etc. The EGTC is to be seen as an **instrument for integrated territorial (multi-level) governance** in coherent areas split by borders. While **recourse is optional**, such institutional build-up is expected to contribute to legal strengthening of cooperation in a given area and to increased visibility and legitimacy of such cooperation.

The **objective and tasks**²⁶ of an EGTC are laid down by its members in the convention, within the limits set forth by the Regulation²⁷. In particular, the EGTC is not entitled to exercise any tasks concerning 'the exercise of powers conferred by public law or duties whose object is to safeguard the general interests of the State or of other public authorities, such as **police and regulatory powers, justice and foreign policy**'. For example, it is conceivable that an EGTC will be established in order to manage a specific action or project (**'uni-functional EGTC'**), or it may function as a cooperation platform with several missions/tasks (**'multi-functional EGTC'**), including coordinating a joint development and/or solving common problems arising in the cooperation area²⁸.

In principle, an EGTC must **not engage in commercial profit-oriented activities**, such as e.g. building and running a cross-border golf course²⁹. It is, however, acceptable to join seven golf courses in different Member States in one cooperation platform and market their existing infrastructure ('7 Days of Golf – 7 Courses')³⁰.

Services of general interest must also be considered differently, if the activity to be implemented by the EGTC is a competence of all EGTC members, e.g. managing a public equipment for the implementation of a service of general interest (transport, health, education, etc.). Such activity is not purely commercial but implies a payment by the end-users for the services provided by the EGTC (and often the price does not cover the real costs of the services but only part of them – the rest would be covered by the members of the EGTC).

²⁵ Schaffer, Hannes; Haselberger, Beatrix; Dillinger, Thomas; Zehetner, Franz: *Europäischer Verbund für Territoriale Zusammenarbeit (EVTZ) - ein Instrument zur grenzüberschreitenden Zusammenarbeit*. Weinviertel Management.

²⁶ While the main objective of each EGTC should be related to territorial cooperation in general (with the aim of strengthening economic and social cohesion), an EGTC as such does not need to justify its direct and specific contribution to the strengthening of economic and social cohesion.

²⁷ Art. 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

²⁸ See Chapter 5 for examples of uni- and multi-functional EGTCs

²⁹ *op. cit.*

³⁰ *ibid.*

2.6. Alternative instruments available

The setting up of a specific joint body/structure such as an EGTC is costly (time, human and financial resources etc) and this should be kept in mind by interested stakeholders. Indeed for basic cooperation purpose, it is not always necessary to set up such structure, as **in some cases the signing of a partnership agreement, convention or memorandum of understanding** will be sufficient or more appropriate.

In some countries, a diversity of legal instruments for territorial/cross-border cooperation already exists, based on interstate agreements (e.g. the Anholt, Benelux, Karlsruhe, Bayonne or Brussels Agreements – see Annex 4) or based on national provisions. The EGTC supplements these existing agreements and does not replace them; one should therefore consider all instruments available and their relevance to the type of cooperation envisaged. **In other countries however, the EGTC is the first and only instrument available for this type of cooperation.**

Existing cooperation structures, in particular their conventions and statutes can for instance be used as sources of inspiration and good practice for the development of EGTC documentation and working procedures.

CHAPTER 3

STATUS OF THE NATIONAL PROVISIONS IN THE EU MEMBER STATES

3. STATUS OF THE NATIONAL PROVISIONS IN THE EU MEMBER STATES

3.1. Introduction

Art. 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 states that 'Member States shall make such provisions as are appropriate to ensure the effective application of this Regulation'. The nature of the national provisions may vary according to the national framework: law, decree, act etc. Also, the federal nature of some countries (e.g. Belgium, Germany) may lead to the preparation of several sets of provisions.

In principle, the national provisions should cover elements that have been left open in the Regulation. Most national provisions clarify:

- The legal regime applicable to an EGTC domiciled in the Member State (private/public, participation open to members with unlimited/limited liability or not)³¹;
- The types of tasks to be carried out by an EGTC domiciled in the Member State (national restrictions are possible³²);
- The notification process: authority in charge of receiving and approving the notification, procedure, etc;
- The issue of participation of partners from third countries (authorised or not);
- Etc.

The national provisions, once adopted, must be notified to the European Commission and the other Member States³³.

The deadline for the adoption of such provisions was **1 August 2007**. Only few Member States had actually fulfilled this requirement by this date, and a few more have taken the necessary provisions since then. In January 2008, the European Commission sent a **reminder** to those Member States that had not adopted provisions yet.

The following chapter presents the status of the provisions in most of the EU Member States. The information is based on:

- The findings of the questionnaire sent by the European Commission to the Member States, whose results were presented in June 2007³⁴. 20 Member States out of 27 answered the questionnaire;
- The round tables organised in the INTERACT Needs Assessment Meeting³⁵ and in the first INTERACT Seminars on the EGTC, 26-27 November 2007 and 10-11 April 2008;
- A last update of information that was collected as a follow-up to the first Seminar.

³¹ Art. 12 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

³² Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006: 'A Member State may limit the tasks that EGTCs may carry out without a Community financial contribution. However, those tasks shall include at least the cooperation actions listed under Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006'. In summary, such restrictions are rather limited as the first two types of EGTC offer already a broad scope of possibilities and cover many fields of action.

³³ Art. 16(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

³⁴ COCOF-07-0041-01-EN, 12 June 2007

³⁵ INTERACT EGTC Needs Assessment Meeting. Vienna, 14.9.2007




Fig. 3: Overview on the status of national provisions on the EGTC in the EU – May 2008³⁶

3.2. Member States in which national provisions have been adopted³⁷

Bulgaria: The Council of Ministers adopted a decree on 29 August 2007, composed of 8 articles and supplementary provisions (see decree in electronic Annexes). The decree entered into force on the date of its publication, 4 September 2007. In terms of national provisions, it is to be noted that only participants with unlimited liability are accepted, and EGTCs with their seat in Bulgaria are to be considered as non-governmental organisations.

Denmark: In 2007 provisions were prepared for presentation to the Danish Parliament, but the parliamentary process was interrupted due to a call for parliamentary elections in the autumn of 2007. In January 2008 the proposed provisions were presented to the Parliament and adopted on 30 April. They will enter into force on 1 June 2008. The provisions indicate that it will not be possible to register EGTCs in Denmark if any of their members have limited liability³⁸. One should also note that these provisions do not apply to Greenland and the Faroe Islands³⁹.

France: National provisions were adopted by the Senate on 24 January 2007 as part of a general law dealing with various elements (*'Project de loi relatif à l'expérimentation du transfert de la gestion des fonds structurels européens et à la coopération décentralisée'*). Following the last elections, the new National Assembly did not consider the text until after the summer 2007. The proposed law presented to the National Assembly in October 2007 was refocused. After adoption by the National Assembly and the Senate (3 April 2008), the law entered into force on 16 April

³⁶ http://europa.eu/abc/maps/index_en.htm. Copyright: European Commission

³⁷ In federal countries like Germany or Belgium, some entities have adopted provisions while others have not yet done so, therefore these countries are presented under Point 3.3.

³⁸ Chapter 2, §2.4 of the national provisions

³⁹ Chapter 6, §12 of the national provisions

2008⁴⁰. It contains a unique article, which modifies the '*Code Général des Collectivités Territoriales*'. Participation in French EGTCs is also open to partners from neighbouring countries members of the Council of Europe, which implies e.g. the possibility to associate partners from Switzerland, Andorra or Monaco. An EGTC registered in France is governed by public law.

Greece: The Ministries of Economy and Finance and of Interior were responsible for the preparation of the national provisions. General provisions were adopted on 23 November 2007 (Art. 22 of Law No 3613, see electronic Annexes⁴¹⁴²). These general provisions indicate, among other things, that the Minister of the Interior is in charge of approving participation after consultation with and consent of a committee in which the Ministry of Economy and Finance also participates (Art. 2(b)). An EGTC with seat in Greece has the status of a non-profit company (Art. 2(c)). An administrative decree with further details was adopted in February 2008 and information was circulated to all local and regional authorities, in order to present the legislation⁴³.

Hungary was the first Member State to adopt national provisions on 25 June 2007 (see Act XCIX in electronic Annexes). The legislation is concise and very liberal (all types of EGTCs are envisaged). An EGTC in Hungary will be a non-profit business organisation. Members from non-EU Member States are not excluded. In terms of liability, if a Hungarian local government becomes member of an EGTC it shall have limited liability, other Hungarian members may participate with unlimited liability⁴⁴.

Portugal: The national provisions were adopted on 27 September 2007 by the Council of Ministers.⁴⁵ The Decree Law No 376/2007 was published on 9 November 2007 (available in Portuguese and English versions – see electronic Annexes). According to this decree law, an EGTC in Portugal will be a 'public collective associational body' (Art. 2). The Financial Institute for Regional Development (IFDR) is in charge of notifications received from prospective members (Art. 5(2)), and, once the notification is accepted, organises a consultation with the concerned members of the Government (Art. 5(5)) to verify the conformity of the draft convention with EU and Portuguese law and with Portugal's international commitments. Provisions still need to be adopted for the Autonomous Regions of Madeira and Acores, as the national provisions adopted so far only concern the continental part of the country.

Romania: A working group was established to draw up the national provisions, which were eventually adopted on 12 November 2007. An EGTC in Romania will be considered as non-profit legal entity acting in the public interest. The Ministry of Development, Public Works and Housing is responsible for the notification process, but other institutions may be consulted during this process; the court located in the place where the EGTC has its registered office checks the convention and the statutes from a legal point of view, and finally the registration of the statutes is done by the Ministry of Development, Public Works and Housing.

Slovakia: The provisions were passed in the Parliament in February 2008: The entry into force took place on 1 May 2008.

Slovenia: The Government of the Republic of Slovenia adopted a government decree on 20 March 2008 on the basis of a government law. In Slovenia members could establish an EGTC under private law.

Spain: National provisions, in the form of a decree (Decree No 37/2008, see electronic Annexes) were adopted on 18 January 2008 and entered into force on 20 January. The decree contains 13

⁴⁰ Law No 2008-352 of 16 April 2008

⁴¹ All national provisions are available for download on the INTERACT Website, under the section EGTC - Downloads

⁴² Information collected from Greek national body, email of 11.12.2007

⁴³ Additional information from Greek participant in the EGTC Seminar, 10-11 April 2008

⁴⁴ Additional information from Hungarian national body, email of 22.04.2008

⁴⁵ Additional information from Portuguese regional body, email of 3.10.2007

articles, which precise the legal personality of an EGTC registered in Spain (public entity). Spanish prospective members shall send their notification to the Ministry of Public Administration, which will consult other ministries before decision. The notification should be accompanied by a copy of the draft convention and statutes; documentation stating the legal status of the prospective members and, if applicable, their limited liability; finally, the decision of by the decision-making organ of the prospective member authorising its participation in an EGTC.

United Kingdom: The act laid before Parliament on 10 July 2007 came into force on 1 August 2007 (see act in electronic Annexes). As regards the exercise of Member State options under the EC Regulation, Article 8 of the act states that 'A UK EGTC may not be formed with a member which has limited liability'. All members of an EGTC to be set up in the UK must therefore have unlimited liability. It is worth noting that a guidance note was drafted by the responsible department (Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform), which explains 'What an EGTC is, how to seek approval to become a member of an EGTC and what steps need to be taken for a UK EGTC to acquire legal personality'⁴⁶.

3.3. Member States in which national provisions have not been adopted or are under adoption

Austria: Originally the partners envisaged adopting provisions at federal level, applicable throughout the country, but due to constitutional constraints, it was agreed to opt for a regional approach with nine regional sets and one federal set of provisions. A model proposal was jointly elaborated - coordinated by the Land Kärnten - containing general provisions applicable to all types of EGTC in Austria. Each Land and the federal authorities are now working on their respective provisions which are expected to be in place by autumn 2008⁴⁷.

Belgium: The process is complex as each region/community has to adopt its own provisions, territorial cooperation being a competence of the regions:

- **Flanders:** The decree, adopted by the Flemish Parliament on 21 December 2007, was published in the Official Journal on 7 February 2008. Detailed rules were adopted by a Decision of the Government which entered into force on 18 January 2008
- **Walloon Region:** The draft decree was to be presented to the Council of Ministers of the Walloon Region in first reading on 21 February 2008. The various consultation processes should lead to a final approval of the decree by the Parliament in plenary in June and its publication at the end of June 2008⁴⁸;
- **German-speaking Community:** The draft decree was approved by the Government in first reading on 7 February 2008. After completion of additional procedures, entry into force is expected in autumn 2008. The draft decree is concise and consists of a single article indicating that the Government is responsible for the implementation of the Regulation⁴⁹.
- **Brussels-Capital Region:** provisions will take the form of an order (*'ordonnance'*).
- **Federal level:** The Government adopted on 21 December 2007 a Royal Decree for EGTC members from the federal level.

Cyprus: After a series of consultations between all relevant government departments and the law office, the planning bureau prepared a proposal to the Council of Ministers regarding the establishment of EGTCs in Cyprus. In particular, an EGTC in Cyprus will be a non-profit company

⁴⁶ The guide is available at: <http://www.berr.gov.uk/files/file40650.doc>

⁴⁷ Information collected from Austrian regional body, 27.11.2007, 10.04.2008 and 30.05.2008

⁴⁸ Information collected from Belgian regional body, 19.02.2008

⁴⁹ Information collected from Belgian regional body, email of 13.02.2008 and 20.05.2008

whose members will have limited liability according to Cyprus company law. Following the presidential elections of February 2008 and the nomination of two new responsible ministers, the adoption process is expected to quicken⁵⁰.

Czech Republic: The Ministry for Regional Development is in charge of the preparation of the national provisions. The proposal of law should be introduced to the Czech government until September 2008. The law is foreseen to come into force in November 2009⁵¹.

Estonia: The draft Act is at the moment in the Parliament for approval and should be adopted on 5 June during the third reading. By the draft Act, the Estonian Ministry of the Interior will be responsible for receiving the notifications and preparing draft decisions on the approval/rejection of Estonian participation in an EGTC, for decision by the Estonian Government. The Ministry of Finance is appointed as national auditing authority and will control the use of public/state funds by the EGTC. It is the Estonian Government that will have the right to decide (upon proposal by the Ministry of the Interior) on the prohibition the activities or entail the withdrawal of Estonian members from an EGTC⁵²⁵³.

Finland: The approval procedure is to be finalised during the spring, the law should then be adopted until May and come into force until November 2008.

Germany: The *Länder* are in charge of the effective application of the EC Regulation in their respective legislation. At federal level and in most *Länder* the responsible authorities have been designed and provisions have been effectively adopted. The Federal Ministry of Economy is available for any requests or questions (Mrs Kirsten Scholl) and will shortly publish an overview of the status of the provisions in the 16 *Länder*.

Ireland: The drafting process of a Statutory Instrument is underway but implies a long procedure.

Italy: On 3 August 2007 the Council of Ministers approved a proposed decree to be signed by the President of the Republic providing rules for the national implementation of the EGTC Regulation, which received a negative opinion by the Council of State (*Consiglio di Stato*) on 7 November 2007. This constitutional body expressed the opinion that, considering the nature of the activities foreseen for the EGTC, it is necessary to make recourse to a primary level rule (ordinary law), and not to a secondary level rule. The Parliament must therefore intervene in the procedure in order to prepare such a law. Because of the anticipated end of the current legislature's term, the task will probably be given to the new Parliament to be elected in April 2008⁵⁴. In Italy the competent authority to receive notifications will be the '*Segretariato Generale della Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri*'; the assessment will be done in analogy to Italian administrative law by the '*Dipartimento per gli Affari Regionali e le Autonomie Locali della Presidenza*' that will ask the opinion of other services⁵⁵.

Latvia: The approval procedure was planned to start in the end of March 2008. An EGTC registered in Latvia will be considered an association under public law, although associations are normally under private law⁵⁶.

Lithuania: Proposed provisions were submitted by a working group to the Government and clarifications were requested on the issues of liability and public interest. Following adoption by the Parliament, the provisions were expected to enter into force on 15 May. The responsible

⁵⁰ Information collected from Cyprus national body, email of 18.02.2008

⁵¹ Information collected from Czech national body, email of 9.04.2008

⁵² Based on Art. 13 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁵³ Information collected from Estonian national body, email of 27.05.2008

⁵⁴ Information collected from Italian national body, email of 12.02.2008

⁵⁵ Information collected from responsible authorities

⁵⁶ Information collected from responsible authorities

authority is the Ministry of the Interior. A characteristic is that Lithuanian members of an EGTC will have limited liability, and an EGTC registered in Lithuania will be a public entity. The main challenge in Lithuania at the moment is the general lack of information on the EGTC among public institutions⁵⁷.

Luxembourg: The legal basis for application is the law of 23 February 2001 on groupings of local authorities. A very short law will be enacted to state this and to assign the Ministry of the Interior and Spatial Planning as the competent authority to receive applications and documents. This short law was approved by the Council of Ministers in early December 2007 and submitted to the Parliament for approval⁵⁸.

Malta: The final draft, prepared by the Ministry of the Interior, is ready and is in the process of being sent to the Cabinet of Ministers for approval. This order gives the responsibility to the Minister of Finance to act as the competent authority to receive the notifications and documents as set out under Art. 4(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006. The main specificity of the national provisions is the exclusion of members with limited liability⁵⁹.

Netherlands: The Ministry of the Interior is designated as responsible ministry for the preparation of national provisions. A first draft was presented in June 2007 but was not approved. A new draft has to pass the First and Second Chamber and the final provisions are expected to be in place by the end of 2008 at the latest⁶⁰.

Poland: The recent political changes have caused delays in the preparation and adoption of national provisions. The Ministry of Internal Affairs is the responsible authority. An inter-institutional consultation was recently organised by the Ministry of Regional Development. A draft law is expected to be adopted in the second quarter of 2008⁶¹.

Sweden: In November 2006 the Swedish government appointed a special inquiry to analyse the EGTC. The inquiry consulted representatives of neighbouring Member States around the Baltic Sea and the Federation of Swedish Municipalities. The proposal submitted by the inquiry in December 2007 will be sent out in March 2008 for a broad consultation with local and regional authorities, institutions involved in cross-border cooperation, etc. On this basis a draft bill will be submitted to the Parliament in autumn 2008 and the EGTC act is expected to enter into force on 1 January 2009⁶².

3.4. The EGTC and third countries

Non-EU Member States are not concerned by this new instrument as such⁶³, be they candidate, pre-candidate or other third countries (such as Switzerland, Norway, Andorra, Ukraine etc). However, participation of entities from third countries in an EGTC may be possible, as stated in preamble clause 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006, under the following conditions:

- The third country adopts national legislation to create an instrument similar or close to the EGTC. The integration of the EGTC provisions into the national legislation could be of

⁵⁷ Information collected from Lithuanian national body, 27.11.2007

⁵⁸ Information provided by Luxembourg national body, email of 29.01.2008

⁵⁹ Information collected from Maltese national body, email of 10.12.2007

⁶⁰ Information collected from Dutch national body, email of 13.12.2007

⁶¹ Information collected from Polish national body, email of 10.12.2007

⁶² Information collected from Swedish national body, email of 12.02.2008

⁶³ The legal basis for the EGTC Regulation is Art. 159(3) of the EC Treaty, which relates to cohesion actions in the Community, outside the Funds. This only applies to the territory of the Community, therefore it is not applicable to third countries.

- particular relevance for candidate and pre-candidate countries as part of the integration of the *acquis communautaire*;
- The third country may alternatively sign agreements with EU Member States in order to enable their authorities to participate in EGTCs.
- While the Regulation presents these two measures as alternative solutions ('legislation of a third country or agreements between Member States and third countries'), it might be necessary to both adopt national legislation and sign an interstate agreement, e.g. in order to clarify relationships between third countries and Member States regarding financial control procedures and because of the different powers and jurisdictions involved in different countries, i.e. regional and national.
- Participation of entities from third countries in an EGTC is also subject to the national provisions of the concerned Member State, whether these allow or not such participation⁶⁴.

3.5. What to do if national provisions are not yet in place?

Currently a wait-and-see trend is evident among most interested stakeholders, which is partly due to the **absence of individual national provisions in many Member States despite the deadline of 1 August 2007**. The calendar for such provisions is not known in all countries, which increases uncertainties. However, recent experience has shown that where there is a strong interest and lobby for the EGTC, such as in France or Portugal⁶⁵, the preparation of national provisions was speeded up in response to such interest.

As Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 is directly applicable in all Member States, **administrative recourse** is open to prospective EGTC members against the national authorities for non-respect of this deadline. Even though these actions should be brought before national courts, Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 lays down many legal rules and principles that may be interpreted by the European Court of Justice through the preliminary ruling procedure. Several provisions of the Regulation provide for possible access to judges. However, recourse to legal proceedings delays the process and therefore **dialogue** with national authorities seems more advisable in practice⁶⁶.

⁶⁴ See for instance the French provisions which enable partners from neighbouring third countries members of the Council of Europe (Switzerland, Andorra, Monaco) to participate in an EGTC with registered office in France (See also Point 3.2).

⁶⁵ See Point 3.2

⁶⁶ Example: In Portugal the national body in charge of adopting the national provisions received draft conventions from prospective members of EGTCs, which had a positive effect on encouraging the national level to adopt the legislation rapidly (Communication at the Open Days, CoR meeting on the EGTC. Brussels, October 2007).

CHAPTER 4
ROADMAP FOR SETTING UP AN EGTC

4. ROADMAP FOR SETTING UP AN EGTC

4.1. Introduction to the roadmap

This chapter provides a **step-by-step roadmap** for creating and implementing a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation, with specific attention paid to EGTCs for programme and project management, whenever applicable.

4.1.1. Genesis of the roadmap

This roadmap was developed on the basis of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006. The elements of the Regulation were extracted and presented in chronological order, listing the different steps needed to set up and implement an EGTC. The roadmap, partly inspired by the previous works of the Committee of the Regions⁶⁷, was further developed and reviewed by various actors, including EGTC specialists and territorial cooperation practitioners.

4.1.2. How to use the roadmap?

The roadmap is to be used by the prospective members in a future EGTC as a **practical tool for reflection and decision-making**. The roadmap presents the different phases identified in creating and running an EGTC: Preparation, Decision, Implementation, Performance and Dissolution. The summary chart below (see Fig. 4) illustrates these different phases and the corresponding colour codes:




Fig. 4: Phases of setting up an EGTC

For each phase, the following information is given:

- **Presentation** and explanation of the step;
- **Relevant sources** in the EGTC Regulation – no reference is made in the roadmap to the specific national provisions that may apply, but these should not be forgotten and must therefore be carefully considered at each relevant step;
- **Open questions**: questions to be discussed by the prospective members in each phase;
- **Action list**: list of actions to be taken by the prospective members to close this step;
- **Programme Management (PM)**: comments specifically applicable to EGTCs for European Territorial Cooperation programme management;
- **Project Management (PJM)**: comments specifically applicable to EGTCs for European Territorial Cooperation project management.

⁶⁷ Based on Committee of the Regions; Levrat, Nicolas: *Eight steps to evaluate the feasibility of establishing the EGTC*. http://www.cor.europa.eu/En/activities/egtc_implement.htm

This detailed roadmap is supported by a roadmap in table form, with colour codes corresponding to the different phases identified (see Annex 1).

4.1.3. General methodological notes



The phases presented in the roadmap may be considered differently or **the order of the steps may be changed**, e.g. where a cooperation structure already exists and wants to transform itself into an EGTC, some of the steps are not needed (identification of the partners, territory, etc). In other cases, the identification of the partners intervenes prior to the identification of the territory, or the location of the registered office is pre-defined by the partners (e.g. there is already an office available in one of the countries). The roadmap presents the different steps to be followed, but no specific timing can be given for each of these steps as it will vary greatly depending on the different types of cooperation envisaged.



In any case, **potential delays** must be taken into account, which cannot be calculated in advance but need to be considered. See details under Point 4.2.6.

If the anticipated delays are too long as compared to the added value offered by the EGTC instrument, prospective members may envisage recourse to other types of cooperation. These may range from signing a cooperation agreement to the creation of another type of joint structure, if other instruments are available (see Annex 4 for examples of other available cooperation structures).



In any case, the following roadmap should be used carefully, taking into account potential delays and drawbacks as well as **other alternative solutions available**, in order to reach the most cost-effective solution for all partners involved. It is indeed very important that all partners agree and commit to the chosen solution; therefore this solution must be advantageous for all of them.

4.1.4. EGTC for the management of a European Territorial Cooperation programme

The European Commission is willing to promote the use of the EGTC for programme management, as Art. 18 of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006 shows: 'Member States participating in an Operational Programme under the European Territorial Cooperation objective may make use of the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation under Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 [...] with a view to making that grouping responsible for managing the Operational Programme by conferring on it the responsibilities of the Managing Authority and of the Joint Technical Secretariat. In this context, each Member State shall continue to assume financial responsibility'.

In the current situation, where most Operational Programmes have already been approved by the European Commission, **it is rather unlikely that many of them will create an EGTC in the next few years and transfer the management of the programme to this EGTC in the course of the 2007-13 programming period.** Such transfer is possible, however, and is even envisaged by at least one Operational Programme: the Greater Region / Grande Région / Großregion Cross-border Programme (see Point 5.1). The planned transfer stated in the Operational Programme document should take place in the course of 2009. The function of Managing Authority (MA) will be transferred from a Belgian public authority (Walloon Region) to the EGTC, with registered office in France.

Generally speaking, a transfer of this kind requires very careful consideration. The **timing factor** in particular must be taken into account, as well as the various procedures needed to endorse the transfer of functions (MA and/or Joint Technical Secretariat (JTS)):

- Update of programme documents (Operational Programme, Memorandum of Understanding, document on the setting up of management and control systems⁶⁸, etc);
- Update of implementation documents (guidelines for applicants, subsidy contracts, etc.);
- Update of working documents (working contracts with employed staff, if existing staff are transferred to the EGTC);
- Transfer of documentation, databases, information, etc. to the EGTC;
- Transfer of the Technical Assistance (TA) budget to the EGTC – or the part of the TA which is allocated to the EGTC. The part of the TA budget already spent or committed is likely to be further managed and accounted for by the previous beneficiary, while the EGTC will manage the remaining TA budget and programme budget – alternative options might also be considered.

Such transfer should be planned in advance in order to avoid any delays and possible drawbacks. If several programme functions are transferred to the EGTC, e.g. Managing Authority (MA) and Certifying Authority (CA), there should be a clear division of tasks among the EGTC staff, to be clearly explained in the document on the setting up of the management and control systems⁶⁹. Additionally, if the EGTC ensures the function of Managing Authority, the Audit Authority of the Programme will have to be set up in the same country as the EGTC⁷⁰.

4.1.5. EGTC for the management of a European Territorial Cooperation project

The EGTC and the Lead Partner Principle⁷¹

With the new programming period 2007-13, the application of the Lead Partner Principle (LPP) has become compulsory. The main reason for implementing the LPP is to ensure the genuine joint development and implementation of cross-border, transnational and interregional projects, which was not always ensured in the past (e.g. single or mirror projects are now strictly excluded). Cross-border and transnational projects must be composed of **at least two partners from two different Member States** and satisfy a minimum of two of the four cooperation criteria (all four criteria in interregional projects)⁷²:

- Joint development
- Joint implementation
- Joint financing
- Joint staffing

Under the LPP, project activities are carried out by different partners, one of whom acts as the so-called 'Lead Partner'. A subsidy contract is signed between the Lead Partner and the MA, under which the Lead Partner is responsible for the implementation of the project vis-à-vis the MA and ensures the administrative link between the project and the programme. Each partner remains fully responsible for their own actions and expenditure, which must be controlled by a designated controller⁷³.

⁶⁸ Art. 71 of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006

⁶⁹ *Ibid.*

⁷⁰ Art. 14(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006

⁷¹ Article 20 of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006 refers to 'Lead beneficiary'; 'Lead partner' has the same meaning and is more commonly used.

⁷² Art. 19(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006

⁷³ INTERACT Point Tool Box, *Handbook on the Lead Partner Principle in European Territorial Cooperation Programmes*, 2007.

With the new EGTC instrument, project partners may decide to create an EGTC for the management of the project. The EGTC would then become the Lead Partner of the project, but since the whole partnership is already included in the EGTC, no additional partner is needed in principle, which would contradict the Lead Partner Principle (which requires at least two partners from two countries). The question of the compatibility of the Lead Partner Principle with the EGTC instrument was answered positively in November 2007 by the Commission services. An official communication was sent by the European Commission to the Managing Authorities of all European Territorial Cooperation programmes to confirm the possibility of an EGTC running a European Territorial Cooperation project as sole institution (no additional partners needed):

Extract from Mailing 3-2008 – EGTCs as beneficiaries – 6.02.2008 – DG Regional Policy

1) An EGTC can be either a beneficiary or a lead beneficiary in a territorial cooperation project. While Art. 18 of the ERDF Regulation (Parliament and Council Regulation 1080/2006) speaks about programme management, it does not exclude project management, and indeed project management is expressly covered in the EGTC Regulation (Parliament and Council Regulation 1082/2006).

2) Art. 19 of the ERDF Regulation sets out certain conditions for project partnerships (e.g. for cross-border cooperation, a partnership shall include beneficiaries from at least two countries). Art. 19 should be interpreted so as to permit the use of an EGTC in such contexts. **Since an EGTC brings together authorities or organisations from at least two Member States to act on behalf of those authorities or organisations, the use of an EGTC as a lead beneficiary should be considered as satisfying the requirements of Art. 19** (evidently, for interregional projects partners from at least three countries would need to be in the EGTC). Thus, an EGTC can act as 'sole' beneficiary since it would meet the partnership requirements by itself.

3) Other legal bodies similar to EGTCs in construction can equally act as 'sole' beneficiary in such cases.

Other elements to be considered

The implementation of European Territorial Cooperation projects by an EGTC may also pose a few **other challenges**, which must be considered carefully:

- No **private body** may be member of an EGTC as such (except if fulfils the criteria applying to bodies governed by public law⁷⁴ or e.g. if it is a private association composed of public bodies⁷⁵), whereas some European Territorial Cooperation programmes consider private bodies as eligible applicants. An alternative is to create a project partnership between an EGTC as Lead Partner and a private body as project partner.
- In principle, European Territorial Cooperation programmes **do not finance the creation and functioning of joint structures as such**, but may support e.g. projects run by an EGTC. In any case, only those running costs of an EGTC which are directly related to the implementation of the project (staff costs, overheads, etc.) should be considered eligible for EU funding. Under European Territorial Cooperation programmes, different types of costs might be considered eligible for ERDF funding, e.g.:
 - A feasibility study for setting up an EGTC may be considered eligible in certain programmes, or
 - Some programmes may also consider encouraging the creation of EGTCs for project management by granting a higher ERDF subsidy rate to projects involving an EGTC or by financing EGTC set up in the framework of capacity building, for a limited period of time (e.g. decreasing funding rate);

⁷⁴ See Chapter 2

⁷⁵ Art. 3(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

- For specific conditions applicable in each programme, please consult the programme authorities.

In any case, European Territorial Cooperation programmes will not finance the setting up of EGTCs in themselves, therefore you should not just set up an EGTC for the sake of it!

Is the EGTC a suitable instrument for my project?

No straight answer can be given to this question. In any case, recourse to EGTC remains optional. Some factors to be considered by the project partners may include:

- The size of the project (partnership, financial size): For large projects recourse to a joint structure may facilitate project management (e.g. the EGTC can run the project on behalf of all partners, including the development of project activities, the management of a public service set up among partners etc);
- Its duration: An EGTC may be suitable to ensure sustainability of the project results after the subsidisation period, in particular when running a public service on a long-term basis;
- The strategic and political importance of the project;
- Etc.

Do we need to create an EGTC in order to be able to access EU funding (e.g. European Territorial Cooperation programme)?

NO! The EGTC is one instrument among others and recourse to the EGTC is optional. While it is directly targeted at territorial cooperation activities, recourse remains optional. Access to ERDF funding under the European Territorial Cooperation will not exclusively be open to EGTCs: Any partnership can submit a project application, be it formed as an EGTC or not. When a partnership submits an application without being formed as an EGTC, the partners must sign a partnership agreement to confirm their participation in the project and their commitment.

Other questions?

Please check the INTERACT FAQ on the EGTC on the INTERACT Website

4.1.6. The EGTC Roadmap




Fig. 5: General Roadmap for Setting-Up an EGTC⁷⁶

⁷⁶ Based on Committee of the Regions; Levrat, Nicolas: *Eight steps to evaluate the feasibility of establishing the EGTC*. http://www.cor.europa.eu/En/activities/egtc_implement.htm

4.2. Preparation Phase



Before an EGTC can be set up or even considered, some preparatory steps have to be carried out. In some cases they may vary in their order but they should pave the way for the decision phase.

4.2.1. Analysis of the needs and objectives of the cooperation



One of the starting points might be the **analysis of the present situation**: Is there already a form of cooperation on the territory/between the existing partners (conventions, written or oral agreements, structure, projects etc.)?

The prospective members/identified partners must also try to find out what **type of cooperation** they need to set up, the **objectives** they want to reach and the type of **missions** that they would entrust to the EGTC (or other cooperation structure).

The **objective** of an EGTC shall be to facilitate and promote cross-border, transnational and/or interregional cooperation between its members with the aim of strengthening economic and social cohesion⁷⁷. It is very important that all partners agree to a joint/common general objective and at this early stage one should ensure that all key players meet around a table and jointly agree on a common objective, timetable, procedures etc.

The **tasks** of an EGTC shall be limited primarily to the implementation of territorial cooperation programmes or projects co-financed by the Community through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). An EGTC may carry out other specific actions of territorial cooperation between its members in pursuit of the objective referred to in Art. 1(2) with or without financial contribution from the Community⁷⁸. National rules may be more restrictive⁷⁹ and should therefore be considered. An EGTC shall carry out the tasks given to it by its members in accordance with the Regulation and relevant national legislations⁸⁰.

Exclusion: The tasks given to an EGTC by its members shall not concern the exercise of powers conferred by public law (sovereign functions) or of duties whose object is to safeguard the general interests of the State or of other public authorities, such as police and regulatory powers, justice and foreign policy⁸¹. Members can only cooperate within the limits of their competences under national law⁸².

Different types of EGTC may be considered:

- **Uni-functional EGTC:** Management of a European Territorial Cooperation programme, management of a specific European Territorial Cooperation project or other cooperation project/action. The EGTC can for instance be charged with the implementation of a specific public service on behalf of its members (e.g. Hospital of Cerdanya⁸³).
- **Multi-functional EGTC:** Coordination of the cooperation between partners on different common areas of intervention, within the limits of competences of each partner, e.g. education, health, transport, environment, security, economic development, risk

⁷⁷ Art. 1(2) and Art. 7(3) (a contrario) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006. See also graph under Point 2.1

⁷⁸ Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁷⁹ Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006: 'Member States may limit the tasks that EGTCs may carry out without a Community financial contribution. However, those tasks shall include at least the cooperation actions listed under Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006'.

⁸⁰ Art. 7(1) and Art. 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁸¹ Art. 7(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁸² Art. 3(1) and Art. 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁸³ See detailed case study presentation in Chapter 5

management etc. The EGTC can serve a forum for the coordination of different policies (e.g. Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai⁸⁴).

It may be advisable to organise a **needs assessment** among the potential partners and other stakeholders, e.g. in the form of a **feasibility study**. This path has been followed by some of the case studies presented in Chapter 5.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Do the defined needs and objectives of the cooperation correspond with the possible tasks of an EGTC? – Discuss which of the 4 EGTC models you want to set up in order to implement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Territorial cooperation programmes (European Territorial Cooperation programmes) - Co-financed projects in the field of territorial cooperation under the Structural Funds incl. European Territorial Cooperation projects - Other EU-funded projects/actions regarding territorial cooperation - Projects/actions of territorial cooperation outside any EU funding. – Can the defined objectives be fulfilled within the required timeframe? – Does the national law of any prospective Member State forbid the possibility of setting up an EGTC for territorial cooperation outside EU funding? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – List of needs and objectives – Definition of general strategy and mission(s) of the EGTC – Definition of the timeframe (setting up, duration of the project/cooperation) – Choose one of the 4 EGTC models, a mix of models or other cooperation structures/agreements – Feasibility study (if needed)
Programme Management	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Can an EGTC be set up in time to manage the Operational Programme? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Definition of the timeframe (setting up, duration of the Operational Programme) – Decide whether the sole function of the EGTC will be to manage the Operational Programme, or will the EGTC also have other missions (e.g. project management), during and after programme closure?

4.2.2. Definition of the territorial scale

P

The territorial scale of the cooperation within an EGTC can be cross-border, transnational and/or interregional⁸⁵. The area of intervention of the EGTC has to cover (parts of) territory from at least **two Member States**⁸⁶.

⁸⁴ See detailed case study presentation in Chapter 5

⁸⁵ Art. 1(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁸⁶ Art. 3(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

Size: There is no regulatory minimum or maximum size of territory. Also, the extent of the EGTC territory does not necessarily correspond to the entire territory of each prospective member, e.g. where a Member State is a member of an EGTC it is often not the entire national territory concerned.

Associated partners: The members may decide to associate external partners (outside the territory of the EGTC) to the activities of the EGTC⁸⁷.

Third countries' participation: Institutions from non EU Member States can be involved in an EGTC if their national legislation and/or agreements between Member States and the concerned third country allow(s) it⁸⁸. Although the possibility of involving third countries is left open by Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006, Member States may prohibit such involvement. See also Point 3.4. and Point 4.2.4. on the identification of partners.

PM: The territory covered by the EGTC does not necessarily have to correspond to the programme territory (eligible area): e.g. the EGTC could be formed between e.g. just two programme partners but implement its tasks (e.g. JTS or MA functions) on behalf on the Monitoring and Steering Committee

PJM: If one of the members of the EGTC is located outside the programme area, the EGTC can still run a project (e.g. as Lead partner) but project activities can only take place in the programme area. Also if the EGTC has its seat outside the programme area, it does not prevent the EGTC from running a project, as long as long as the activities take place in the programme area.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – What is the appropriate geographical size of cooperation for achieving the set objectives? – Does the national law of each prospective Member State allow the involvement of a third country in an EGTC? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Outline the territory and boundaries of the cooperation area – Check if: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Involvement of members from a third country is prohibited - Involvement of members from a third country is allowed → consider if the third country has adopted applicable legislation for the EGTC and/or signed an agreement with the concerned Member States (members from at least two Member States)

4.2.3. Identification of the essential competences and skills

p In order to find the appropriate partners in the next step, the essential competences and skills needed to carry out the intended cooperation should first be identified. An EGTC shall be made up of members acting in a common framework, within the limits of their competences under national law⁸⁹. The tasks of the EGTC must 'all fall within the competence of every member under its national law'⁹⁰. Therefore the **least common denominator** regarding

⁸⁷ See for instance Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai, Article 4 of the Convention: 'territories, cities and municipalities which are not located in the reference territory but are neighbouring or close, can be associated to the works of the Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai'.

⁸⁸ Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 preamble clause 16

⁸⁹ Art. 3(1) and Art. 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

these competences has to be found⁹¹. However, this article may be more or less strictly interpreted in the various Member States, therefore you are advised to check the respective national provisions.

In terms of **skills**, it is important that some or all partners have previous experience in cooperation projects/structures and are familiar with the philosophy of cooperation. Also prospective members need to have sufficient political and administrative backup, negotiation, language skills etc. Finally one should also consider whether all partners have the **financial capacity** to contribute to the budget of the EGTC (contribution by all partners is not compulsory but recommended).

Difference between competences and tasks/missions

Each EGTC member has a given set of competences under national law, which they have the authority to implement on their territory of jurisdiction (e.g. wastewater management). When they enter an EGTC, they do not transfer any of their competences to the EGTC, but solely entrust the EGTC with the implementation of a specific set of tasks/missions that fall under their competence (e.g. the mission of the EGTC is to build and manage a wastewater plant, on behalf of its members).

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
– Which competences and skills are needed to fulfil the objectives of the EGTC?	– Catalogue of topics according to actual and/or needed competences and skills of each prospective member → find out common fields of competence
Programme Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – List the competences required for fulfilling the functions of MA and/or JTS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Capacity to act (as MA) on behalf of the responsible Member State - Capacity to sign subsidy contracts with final beneficiaries - Authorisation to act as interface between the participating Member States - Capacity to fulfil the obligations of the MA and/or JTS as stated in the Structural Funds Regulations

⁹⁰ Art. 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

⁹¹ For instance the different partners decide to cooperate in the field of education, where each of them has competence in their own jurisdiction (general competence for all fields of education or specific competence in primary, secondary education etc). The scope of the EGTC is broad ('education' in general) but its activities will of course take place in the frame of the extent of competences of each partner.

4.2.4. Identification of the partners

P **Members** of an EGTC can belong to one or more of the following categories⁹²: Member States, regional or local authorities, associations of public authorities, e.g. Euregios or Working Communities in cross-border areas (provided that they have a legal personality), and bodies governed by public law within the meaning of Art. 1(9) of Directive 2004/18/EC. Private members are excluded, unless they fulfil the criteria applying to 'public bodies governed by public law'⁹³. See also Point 2.3. for more details.

In many cases, if there is already a tradition of (informal) cooperation, partners are often already identified in advance. EGTCs are not solely open to existing cooperation structures, and the Regulation contains no rule or restriction in this regard. Still, the establishment of an EGTC may well be easier for existing cooperation structures or partnerships (even if informal). The list of common competences is then needed if they want to set up a joint body.

If there is no such existing partnership, the EGTC members should be chosen and matched with a view to the objectives and purpose of the EGTC as such. The identification of the partners proceeds according to their competences, as the least common denominator will enable the mission of the EGTC to be determined. It is, however, advisable to **restrict the circle of members to a small number of committed members**, otherwise it may become too heterogeneous with too large a scope of tasks.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Which partners correspond to the needed skills and competences? If partners are already known, do they all have at least one competence in common? – If the planned EGTC is based on an existing cooperation structure (e.g. Euroregion or Working Community, with or without legal personality): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do you already have the needed competences and skills or do you have to change/do you need new partners? - What are the conditions for transforming an existing cooperation structure into an EGTC? - Does the former structure have to be dissolved? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Consider the interpretation of 'public equivalent body' in each of the Member States concerned – Catalogue of (new) partners, including the specification of their legal statuses, competences, and national provisions applying to EGTCs

⁹² *Ibid.*

⁹³ See Chapter 2

Programme Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Compare the list of EGTC members to the list of programme partners (members of Monitoring and Steering Committee): not all programme partners can become members of the EGTC: e.g. EC and social and economic partners participate in the MSC, but cannot be member of an EGTC⁹⁴
Project Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – List potential project partners, check whether their legal status enables them to become member of an EGTC⁹⁵ – Check the programme conditions regarding participation of an EGTC in a project⁹⁶

4.2.5. Exploration of the available national legal frameworks

P

Although the Regulation has produced direct legal effects in all Member States since 1 August 2007, in practice national provisions have to be in place in the concerned States to enable the establishment of an EGTC, except where ad-hoc solutions can be found (see Point 3.5.).

Where national provisions are not yet available, it means that prospective members have no competent authority to send their notification too. Furthermore, in order to analyse the feasibility of an EGTC, prospective members need information on the applicable national provisions (public/private law, unlimited/limited liability – see Point 4.5.3. on liability).

Before selecting the appropriate one, all available national legal frameworks have to be studied carefully, paying attention to the aims of the cooperation as well as the nature of the partnership. This will constitute an important factor in determining the location of the registered office. However, other factors may influence the decision regarding the location of the EGTC (see Point 4.4.1.).

The EGTC Regulation does not state whether an EGTC should be governed by **public or private law**. The CoR study⁹⁷ on EGTC states that the nature of the provisions of the Regulation and the rules on the prior control of participation of entities by the state concerned (see Point 4.4.4.) inevitably suggest that public law applies. However, recourse to private law is not expressly excluded and is actually applicable in several Member States (see Chapter 3).

⁹⁴ But the EGTC does not necessarily need to cover the whole programme partnership and territory. See Point 4.2.2.

⁹⁵ Private bodies (unless they fulfill the criteria applying to bodies governed by public law) may NOT be members of an EGTC, whereas some European Territorial Cooperation programmes consider private bodies as eligible applicants. In this regard, a partnership may for instance be created between an EGTC as Lead Partner and a private body as partner.

⁹⁶ Even if the programme manual or Operational Programme does not specifically indicate EGTCs as potential final beneficiaries, this does not mean that EGTCs should be excluded from participating in a project. Since the EGTC has legal personality and is composed of public or public-equivalent bodies, there should be no problem to apply.

⁹⁷ *op.cit.*, pp. 76-78.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Have the concerned Member States set up all necessary provisions? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – YES: All necessary provisions are in place → Compare the different applicable provisions. – NO: Delays will arise → Consider whether alternative solutions should be searched for (other type of structure) or wait for the provisions to be in place – This time can be used e.g. to start drafting convention and statutes
Programme Management	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Have the concerned Member States set up all necessary provisions for establishing an EGTC? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – YES: EGTC can be set up quickly and take over the programme management – NO: the nomination of an interim Managing Authority/Joint Technical Secretariat is necessary. Consider the implications, especially the procedure for transfer of functions to the EGTC once set up

4.2.6. Consideration of the timing and potential risk of delays

P

An important step in the preparation phase is consideration of the timing and potential risk of delays. Following types of delays may occur:

- Delay by one or more Member States of the concerned EGTC in establishing the necessary national provisions. See Point 3.5. for details on possible alternatives;
- Delay by one or more prospective members in notifying their national authority of their intention to participate in an EGTC;
- Delay by one or more prospective members in receiving approval of the notification (non-respect of the three-month deadline⁹⁸ by the national authority or incompleteness of the notification submitted by the prospective members);
- Delay caused by the different electoral agendas of the prospective members and consequent changes.

Anticipating such delays, though not easy, is important. For EGTCs with a long-term perspective (e.g. a general cooperation structure of the Euroregion-type or an EGTC for the management of a public service), delays will not necessarily jeopardise the eventual creation of the EGTC. For programme- and project-related EGTCs, however, such delays may challenge the setting up of the EGTC and its relevance:

- **PM:** If the EGTC is intended to manage a European Territorial Cooperation programme within a limited timeframe of maximum ten years (2008-17), the EGTC must be set up early enough to be worth it, except of course if the EGTC is multi-functional and fulfils other functions during and after the programme closure. Multi-functionality ensures a longer-term perspective for the EGTC in the event that no new programme is approved;

⁹⁸ The three-month deadline is expected to be strictly adhered to, even though the English version of the Regulation (Article 4(3) indicates this deadline 'as a general rule').

- **PJM:** If the EGTC is intended to manage a EU-funded project, the EGTC must be set up relatively quickly in order to be able to apply for a project within the limited timeframe of a EU programme, or to run the project (if already approved).

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How far have the concerned Member States progressed with establishing the provisions? - Has the procedure for application/notification been clarified in all concerned Member States? What are the national provisions for approval of a prospective member's participation? - What is the attitude of the concerned Member States towards the implementation of an EGTC? - Are there any other expected causes of delay? - Will the EGTC be based on an existing cooperation structure? If so, are any delays expected due to a transition phase (staff, etc.)? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - List of possible delays (external and internal factors) - Roadmap and estimated timeframe for setting up (backward planning⁹⁹) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → Delays are accepted by all members or → Another cooperation structure/agreement has to be considered

4.3. Decision Phase



Following these preparatory considerations the partners have to compare different possibilities for their cooperation and jointly decide on the most appropriate solution.

4.3.1. Consideration of other instruments or cooperation structures



Apart from the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation, other alternatives may be considered, ranging from simple cooperation agreements to the setting up of other types of cooperation structures with legal personality, e.g.:

- **Agreements:** Cooperation agreements, goodwill agreements, memoranda of understanding, etc;
- **Structures:**
 - European Economic Interest Grouping (EEIG);
 - Cooperation structures based on multilateral or bilateral treaties and agreements (e.g. Anholt Treaty, Karlsruhe Agreement, Benelux Convention, Bayonne Treaty, etc.);
 - Cooperation structures based on national legislations – with possible participation of foreign members.

A cost-benefit analysis might help to compare these instruments. The feasibility of cooperating outside any legal framework should also be tested, as it may sometimes prove very cost-

⁹⁹ For instance if the partners have planned to have the EGTC in place by mid-2009, they should plan 'backwards' from this date, in order to see whether the planned date is realistic, and if so to set strict deadlines for all the steps to be completed until mid-2009.

effective. If there are already structures or agreements in place for regulating the cooperation, the EGTC has to be compared to these existing instruments/structures.

→ See Annex 4 - *Other available legal instruments for the purpose of territorial cooperation.*

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – 1) Are there any existing adequate cooperation structures or agreements for regulating the cooperation? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - YES → Go to 2 - NO: Why was there no institutional cooperation structure in place so far? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) There were no problems that required such a structure → No need to create one b) Problems existed but were efficiently resolved by other means → Go to 2,3 c) No institutional solution was available until now → The EGTC as a new solution should not prevent from looking at answers a) and b) again. → Go to 2,3 – 2) What are the advantages/ disadvantages of the EGTC versus another structure? Are there any other types of structures available? – 3) Do we need to set up a legal structure (with statutes, organs, office and staff) or is the signing of a cooperation agreement sufficient? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Comparison of different instruments, agreements and cooperation structures available in the cooperation area
Programme Management	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Does the programme need to be managed by a joint management structure? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - YES: consider EGTC and other available instruments - NO: the signature of a Memorandum of Understanding among programme partners is sufficient, which entrusts the management functions to one of the programme partners and clarifies partners' responsibilities in the programme 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – List the expected advantages/disadvantages of entrusting programme management to a joint cooperation structure

4.3.2. Verification of the legal feasibility of the planned proposal

d

After deciding on the most appropriate solution, the legal **feasibility** has to be checked taking account of possible differences in the national legal systems (e.g. public or private law / limited or unlimited liability – see Point 4.5.3. on liability). The main question to be

answered in this step relates to finding a common legal basis for the implementation of an EGTC in all participating countries.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – What national provisions are applicable in the different Member States concerned? – Which national provisions are the most appropriate/favourable for the EGTC? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Recommended: seek legal advice and/or organise a feasibility study <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If setting up an EGTC is the most appropriate solution and is legally feasible, then you can implement the next steps. - Otherwise consider another cooperation structure/agreement.

4.4. Implementation Phase



The cooperation partners enter the implementation phase once they have selected the EGTC as the appropriate solution for their needs. This third phase is key as partners will have to **agree on essential matters** such as the location of the EGTC, its functioning, its name etc., to be included in the convention and statutes of the EGTC.

During this phase, the **short- and long-term objectives of the EGTC** should also be set and agreed among partners, in order to be able, during the performance phase, to assess and evaluate the performance of the EGTC (see Point 4.5.4.).

4.4.1. Location of the registered office



The **registered office** (seat) of an EGTC shall be located in a Member State under whose laws at least one of the members is formed¹⁰⁰. The decision regarding the seat of the EGTC determines the applicable law and the financial control procedures, as these lie within the competence of the Member State in which the EGTC has chosen to set up its office¹⁰¹.

It is likely that the partners will set up the registered office in the Member State offering the most favourable conditions (legal, fiscal, social) or offering the framework most appropriate to the type of cooperation envisaged.

The partners may also agree to set up **branch offices**, e.g. on parts of the EGTC territory situated in other Member States. They may also decide to distinguish between the registered office of the EGTC (where the EGTC is officially registered) and the **operational seat** (where the EGTC team is working). This option has been selected by the partners of the EGTC Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai (registered office in France, operational seat in Belgium).

¹⁰⁰Art. 8(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁰¹- Art. 2 and 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

- Schaffer, Hannes; Haselberger, Beatrix; Dillinger, Thomas; Zehetner, Franz: Europäischer Verbund für Territoriale Zusammenarbeit (EVTZ) - ein Instrument zur grenzüberschreitenden Zusammenarbeit. Weinviertel Management.

- Levrat, Nicolas (2006): *In order to establish EGTCs*. Abstract of the presentation. Brussels, 10 October 2006

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Where is the registered office to be set up? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Decision on the seat of the registered office, based on key decision criteria To be possibly taken in consideration¹⁰²: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - National provisions of each concerned Member State (legal status of the EGTC (private-public), provisions regarding staff, liquidation, insolvency, liability, etc.) - Location of the office within the cooperation area (central location should be considered if possible) - Political will to host the office, including e.g. financial offers by a member - Political stability, administrative culture, opinion of the population etc - Fiscal regimes and other conditions for staff (salaries, social schemes etc)
Programme Management	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Where is the registered office to be set up? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – To be taken into consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Central location in programme area - Attractive labour conditions for future Managing Authority/Joint Technical Secretariat staff, etc - If the EGTC is to fulfil the function of MA, it must be located in the same country as the Audit Authority

4.4.2. Drafting of the convention

I

An EGTC shall be governed by a **convention** concluded unanimously by its members¹⁰³.

Drafting process: All interested partners and national authorities¹⁰⁴ should be involved in the drafting process in order to ensure equal commitment to the EGTC and its structure. The drafting process may be very long and will imply numerous meetings and exchange of draft versions, therefore sufficient time and staff resources need to be dedicated by each partner, including involvement of technical and legal staff, as well as political stakeholders.

Content: As the modification procedure of a convention requires approval by the Member States, the content of the convention should be strictly limited to what is required in the Regulation (and possibly in the national provisions, if additional information is required). The less details are specified in the convention, the less often one has to resort to this tiresome modification

¹⁰²This list of criteria was elaborated by the participants in the first INTERACT Seminar on the EGTC, 26-27.11.2007, Vienna. See complete list in the FAQ on the EGTC, available on the EGTC Section of the INTERACT Website.

¹⁰³Art. 8(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁰⁴According to the provisions Art. 4(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

procedure - details can be integrated into the statutes (only substantial modification of the statutes requires approval by the Member States) or other administrative document (e.g. Internal rules of procedure).

→ See the *EGTC model convention - template for use* in Annex 2.

Name: Give the EGTC a simple name that can be read by people from all participating regions and which clearly associates the EGTC to the territory. Since the label EGTC is becoming well known, 'EGTC' could/should be part of the name. Also, if the EGTC is to have limited liability this should be clearly stated in the name of the EGTC¹⁰⁵.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<p>The convention¹⁰⁶ has to specify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – The name of the EGTC and its registered office – The extent of the territory in which the EGTC may execute its tasks¹⁰⁷ – The specific objectives and tasks of the EGTC, its duration and the conditions governing its dissolution – The list of EGTC members – The law applicable to the interpretation and enforcement of the convention, which shall be the law of the Member State where the EGTC has its registered office – The appropriate arrangements for mutual recognition, including for the purpose of financial control – The procedures for amending the convention 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Proposal of convention, to be drafted jointly by all prospective members
Programme Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Consider incorporating the usual 'Memorandum of Understanding' (document signed between the institutional partners of an INTERREG/Territorial Cooperation Operational Programme) into the convention of the EGTC – if applicable and relevant!! – If the EGTC has several functions, differentiate between programme management functions and others

¹⁰⁵ Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁰⁶ Art. 8(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁰⁷ The extent of the EGTC territory does not necessarily correspond to the entire territory of each prospective member, e.g. where a Member State is a member of an EGTC it is often not the entire national territory concerned.

4.4.3. Drafting of the statutes

I The **statutes** of an EGTC have to be adopted on the basis of the convention by its members acting unanimously¹⁰⁸. As with the convention, the statutes should also be kept as concise as possible. If more rules and determinations are needed, the introduction of an additional document such as a **procedures manual** or **internal rules of procedure** is recommended. Of course, some Member States may require detailed information to be indicated in the convention and statutes because the ex-ante control is based on these two documents – national requirements must be checked carefully.

→ See the *EGTC model statutes - template for use* in Annex 3.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<p>The statutes¹⁰⁹ of an EGTC shall contain, as a minimum, all the provisions of the convention together with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – The operating provisions of the EGTC's organs (assembly: permanent or rotating presidency?) and their competences, the number of representatives in the relevant organs¹¹⁰ – The decision-making procedures (consensus or majority rule?)¹¹¹ – The working language or languages¹¹² – The arrangements for its functioning, concerning staff management, recruitment procedures and the nature of staff contracts – The arrangements for the members' financial contributions and the applicable accounting and budgetary rules, including rules on financial issues, for each of the members with respect to the EGTC – The arrangements for members' liability in accordance with Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 – The authorities responsible for the designation of independent external auditors – The procedures for amending the statutes – Any other provision deemed relevant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Proposal of statutes, to be drafted jointly by all prospective members

¹⁰⁸ Art. 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁰⁹ *Ibid.*

¹¹⁰ Regarding the number of representatives per member in the EGTC organs, different systems may be considered e.g.: 1) one representative per member; or 2) number of representatives to be based on the population covered by each member (e.g. 1 representative for members with less than 20,000 inhabitants, 2 representatives for members with a population between 20,000 and 50,000 etc) – recommendation by the Association of European Border Regions.

¹¹¹ It is recommended to adopt internal rules of procedure that allow for decision by majority, as a consensus system de facto implies the possibility for any member to veto and therefore to 'paralyse' the functioning of the structure, which is against the principle of cooperation and would reduce the added value of such a structure. However, use of the majority rule may be difficult to use e.g. in EGTCs where the national level is member, as this may imply situations where national members could be 'overruled' by regional or local members.

4.4.4. Notification of the convention and the statutes

I Once agreement between the partners has been reached on a proposal of convention and statutes, the **procedure of notification** can be started.

Each prospective member shall notify its Member State of its intention to participate in the EGTC and send a copy of the proposed convention and statutes. Additional documentation may be requested in some Member States, to be checked in the national provisions.

What is likely to be checked by the Member States?

- The completeness of the notification, including the presentation of the convention and the statutes;
- The conformity of the notification with applicable Community and national legal provisions (EC Regulation as primary source and applicable national rules as secondary source): eligible members, tasks of the EGTC, etc;
- Any other element deemed necessary, such as compliance with principle of public interest, police and regulatory powers etc. But it is absolutely excluded for Member States to perform a control of opportunity – only a legality control should be done.

The Member State shall reach its **decision** within a deadline of three months, either on the EGTC itself if it is to be set up in this Member State or on the participation of the members from this Member State. They may apply national law to take such decision and must substantiate any refusals they may make by referring to the Regulation¹¹³.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Participation of the members in an EGTC is approved or not¹¹⁴ – If the convention and statutes are not approved by every concerned Member State, partners have to rewrite them and therefore go back to the drafting process – If each partner obtains approval → implement the next step

4.4.5. Publication of the convention and the statutes

I If none of the Member States concerned voices reservations, the members shall all formally agree on the final version of the statutes and convention, unanimously¹¹⁵. The statutes and any subsequent amendments shall be registered and/or published in accordance with the applicable national law in the Member State where the EGTC has its registered office. The members have to inform the Member States concerned and the

¹¹²Linguistic parity is very important in some areas, especially where cooperation includes minorities or in bilingual countries (e.g. Belgium), where it has an important political dimension.

¹¹³Art. 4(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹¹⁴As stated in the EGTC Regulation, the principle is the approval, and rejection should remain the exception and therefore needs to be duly justified by the responsible authority.

¹¹⁵Art. 4(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

Committee of the Regions of the convention and the registration and/or publication of the statutes¹¹⁶.

Within 10 working days of the registration and/or publication of the statutes, a request has to be sent to the Office for Official Publications of the European Communities for publication of a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union announcing the establishment of the EGTC¹¹⁷.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Formal agreement by all members on the final convention and statutes – Registration and/or publication of statutes – Publication of a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union – Inform the Committee of the Regions and the Member States concerned

4.4.6. Launching the EGTC

I Once the EGTC is registered, it is officially created and can start its activities. A number of administrative questions have to be answered and a series of actions must be taken concerning the organisation of the EGTC: see complete Action List below. In particular, the following elements should be considered:

- Opening of a bank account, and payment of the first contributions by the members (and/or bank loan) in order to start up the first activities;
- Setting up of organs: An EGTC acts through its organs, which are specified in its statutes and vested with all necessary powers. Without organs an EGTC would lack legal capacity¹¹⁸, therefore an EGTC shall have at least the following **organs**: an assembly, which is made up of representatives of its members, and a director, who represents the EGTC and acts on its behalf¹¹⁹. Where necessary, the statutes may provide for additional organs with clearly defined powers;
- Recruitment of staff¹²⁰ or procedures for secondment of staff from the members' administrations¹²¹. At least the director must be recruited or nominated;
- Setting up of internal work flows and procedures;
- Etc.

PM: The Monitoring and Steering Committee of the programme has to be differentiated from the assembly of the EGTC, as the former should also include social and economic partners¹²² which are unlikely to be members of the EGTC.

¹¹⁶Art. 5(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹¹⁷Art. 5(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹¹⁸Schaffer, Hannes; Haselberger, Beatrix; Dillinger, Thomas; Zehetner, Franz: *Europäischer Verbund für Territoriale Zusammenarbeit (EVTZ) - ein Instrument zur grenzüberschreitenden Zusammenarbeit*. Weinviertel Management.

¹¹⁹Art. 10(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹²⁰Art. 1(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹²¹In the case of the first EGTC in place, the Eurométropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai, it was decided that a member of the assembly would fulfil the functions of director. This person also fulfils the function of president, an additional organ defined in the statutes of the EGTC.

¹²²Art. 11(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – What is the role of the director¹²³ and the assembly? – Should all members be represented in the assembly? Who should represent each member in the assembly (administrative or political level? How to ensure continuity, e.g. despite political changes?)¹²⁴ – How frequent are the meetings of the assembly? – Decision-making process: majority or consensus?¹²⁵ – Do we need/want additional organs? – Can the members second staff to the EGTC?: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Who employs these staff? - What is the legal basis? - In which country is staff insured? - Can these staff subsequently return to their administration of origin? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Establishment of the office (incl. branch offices if applicable): rental contract, equipment (public procurement procedures of the hosting country to be considered), etc – Recruitment of staff (or secondment from the partner institutions), including director – Define the functions and missions of the director and the assembly – Launching of the assembly (first meeting) – Launching of first activities → funds needed to cover the launch costs, to be raised from partners' contributions and/or via a loan – Setting up of management and control system (incl. financial flows, accounting system)
Programme Management	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – How to handle the transition phase concerning staff employment if the EGTC takes over programme management from the interim MA/JTS? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – List the bodies to be set up for programme management: Managing Authority and/or Joint Technical Secretariat? Certifying Authority? Other? – Consider the staffing of the office (director but also the staff of the Managing Authority and/or Joint Technical Secretariat) – List the labour conditions applying to existing staff working for the programme - compare with the conditions applying under the EGTC

4.5. Performance of an EGTC



For successful establishment of the EGTC several measures have to be taken to provide for its smooth performance.

¹²³In some Member States a director has executive and representative functions and in others just administrative ones

¹²⁴The participation of all members in the assembly may be recommended as a way of ensuring equal involvement and commitment of all members. The law applicable in the Member State of the registered office of the EGTC must be considered.

¹²⁵See Point 4.4.3 for more details

4.5.1. Budget and control of financial management of public funds

P Having and managing its own budget is of course a key advantage of the EGTC, as compared to other types of cooperation. It enables the EGTC, inter alia, to hire its own staff and acquire property. This implies of course to set up solid financial management and control procedures for the control of the public funds managed by the EGTC.

The **annual budget** should contain a component for running costs and, if necessary, an operational component (project-related budget)¹²⁶. The structure and size of the annual budget depends to a large extent on the tasks assigned to the EGTC.

How to determine the contributions of the members?

Although not compulsory, contributions by all members are highly recommended to ensure equal involvement and enhance their sense of responsibility. However, and contrary to other existing instruments (like for instance the LGCC created under the Karlsruhe Agreement), it is not compulsory that all members participate financially, which may enable small institutions to become members of the EGTC (e.g. associations, NGOs, etc.). In-kind contributions may also be considered, e.g. in the form of provision of staff, office, equipment etc by a member. In terms of share of contributions, there is no rule and different agreements may be reached among the members:

- Equal contributions by all members;
- Equal contributions by each side of the border, the contributions of each member on each side of the border then being agreed among these 'national' members (e.g. Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai)¹²⁷;
- Contributions calculated on the basis of the population in each member's territory (e.g. Eurodistrict Oderland-Nadodrze)¹²⁸;
- Etc.

Management of funds - control of EGTC expenditure

The funds are controlled on the basis of the budget annually approved by the assembly:

- If the EGTC receives EU funds, the rules for EU funding (EU eligibility rules and national eligibility rules of the country of the EGTC's seat) apply;
- For other sources (national and regional public funds, incl. contributions of the members) the rules applying to control of public funds of the country of the EGTC's seat apply.

All in all, the advantage is that the whole EGTC budget and expenses are subject to the financial control rules and procedures of just one country, namely the country where the EGTC is registered. In principle, the control authorities of the country where the EGTC has its seat perform the control of all EGTC's expenditure (national and EU funding). If the legal framework of the other partner countries does not allow any controls to take place on their territory (e.g. on-the-spot checks), then an agreement must be signed between the concerned Member States so that the controls are performed by national controllers from the partner countries, for the expenditure incurred on their territory¹²⁹. They must inform the main controller about the controls performed.

¹²⁶ Art. 11(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹²⁷ INTERACT Seminar, 26-27.11.2007, Michel Delsaux: The annual budget 2008 will be divided into 50% contributions from the French side (to be split among the four French members) and 50% contributions from the Belgian side (to be split among the 10 Belgian members).

¹²⁸ INTERACT Seminar, 26-27.11.2007, Sören Bollmann: The annual budget 2008 will be calculated on the basis of members' contributions corresponding to EUR 0.10 per inhabitant.

¹²⁹ Art. 6(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

PM: Structural Funds, be they managed by an EGTC or any other body, are subject to the same control rules¹³⁰: In particular, each Member State remains financially responsible for the funds spent on their territory, as stated in Art. 12(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006. This concerns in particular cases of recovery of funds unduly paid to final beneficiaries: according to Art. 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006, such funds are eventually to be reimbursed to the programme by the Member State of the concerned beneficiary if the project Lead Partner has not been able to recover these funds. If the EGTC acts as Certifying Authority of the programme, for instance, it will not have to bear such costs.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – How will the budget be formed? – Should all members contribute to the budget? Equally or not? When and how often should they make these contributions, and in what amount? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Draft an annual budget – Decision on the financial contributions of the members – Decision on the financial control procedures, accounting and audit trail
Programme Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – If an EGTC fulfils other missions in addition to the management of a European Territorial Cooperation programme, one must differentiate between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The running costs to be covered from the Technical Assistance budget of the Operational Programme, including national co-financing (Should national co-financing be paid out to the EGTC at the beginning of the programme period?) - The other elements of the EGTC budget (other activities) – If the EGTC fulfils the function of Certifying Authority of the Operational Programme, one also should consider setting up a specific budget line for programme financial flows (payments from the Commission (including pre-financing), payments to the final beneficiaries)
Project Management	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – If an EGTC fulfils other missions in addition to the management of a project, one must differentiate between: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The budget specific to the implementation of the EU-cofinanced project (incl. ERDF and national co-financing parts) - The other elements of the EGTC budget (other activities)

¹³⁰Art. 6(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

4.5.2. Liquidation, insolvency and cessation of payments

P As regards liquidation, insolvency, cessation of payments and similar procedures, the national provisions of the Member State where the EGTC is registered shall apply¹³¹.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
– What are the applicable national provisions?	– Consult national provisions and clarify these provisions to all members of the EGTC, including members from other EU Member States

4.5.3. Liability¹³²

P An EGTC shall be liable for its debts whatever their nature. If the assets of an EGTC are insufficient to meet its liabilities, its members shall be liable for the EGTCs' debts whatever their nature, each member's share of responsibility being fixed in proportion to its contribution. This means that the EGTC as such always has unlimited liability, i.e. in case a debt must be reimbursed, the EGTC must reimburse this debt in full if it has sufficient assets, or at least up to the limit of its assets. **The members of the EGTC only have a residual liability**, they become liable for the debts once the assets of the EGTC have been used.

Note: A Member State can prohibit the registration on its territory of an EGTC whose members have limited liability. If the liability of at least one member of an EGTC is limited as a result of the national law under which it is formed, the other members may also limit their liability in the statutes, provided that limited liability is authorised by their own national law and by the law of the Member State on whose territory the EGTC is to have its registered office.

PM: If an EGTC is beneficiary of Technical Assistance budget, it shall be responsible for its debts. If the EGTC is the sole beneficiary of the TA budget, the Member State where the EGTC (as beneficiary) has its registered office should reimburse to the European Commission¹³³. Before conferring programme management to an EGTC, all Member States will have agreed on internal reimbursement procedures (e.g. the other Member States will reimburse on a pro-rata basis to the responsible Member State) or the members of the EGTC will have to reimburse towards the EGTC.

PJM: If an EGTC is beneficiary of European Structural Funds, it shall be responsible for its debts and shall therefore reimburse any amount unduly paid by the programme (e.g. if a recovery procedure is needed)¹³⁴. The relation between the EGTC and its members is comparable to the relation between a Lead Partner and its partners: the EGTC shall first try to secure repayment from its member(s). If not successful, two possibilities are open: either the Member State where the EGTC is registered shall reimburse to the European Commission (according to the wording of the abovementioned article) or, according to different arrangements in the subsidy contract (and/or partnership agreement), the Member State where the EGTC member concerned is located (the one concerned by the recovery procedure) shall reimburse.

¹³¹ Art. 12(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹³² Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹³³ Art. 17(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1080/2006

¹³⁴ *ibid.*

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Is the registration of an EGTC whose members have limited liability permitted? – What is the current status regarding liability (unlimited or limited) of EGTC's members? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Decision on unlimited or limited liability if the conditions for such a decision are met (according to Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 Art. 12(2))

4.5.4. Evaluation

- P** Two to three years after implementation the EGTC should be evaluated¹³⁵. In order to prepare such evaluation and get the best out of it, it is recommended that the EGTC members set the following right at the very beginning of the EGTC's activities:
- Baselines: Where are we starting from? (including quantitative and qualitative indicators);
 - Targets: What do we want to achieve? By when? (including quantitative and qualitative indicators).

The evaluation can then be developed on this basis, assessing the progress and level of achievement of each objective/target.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Who will define the expectations for the EGTC at the beginning of the implementation process? – Does the set-up and implementation of the EGTC meet these expectations? – Which difficulties occurred during the implementation process and in operating the EGTC? – What kind of evaluation is necessary? Just an internal exercise, or an external one? – If external, how will the evaluation be financed? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Catalogue of difficulties and possible solutions – Do the results of the evaluation meet the expectations set at the beginning of the implementation process? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - YES: continuation of the EGTC - NO: adjustment of procedures, statutes, etc. or dissolution

4.6. Dissolution

- D** *Dissolution* The activities of an EGTC may be prohibited by a competent court or authority if they contravene public policy, security, health, morality or the public interest. Dissolution may also be possible if the EGTCs activities exceed the defined scope¹³⁶.

¹³⁵Expert's recommendation

¹³⁶Art. 13 and Art. 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

Dissolution may also be the result of a decision by the assembly of the EGTC. It is expected that the results of the evaluation of the performance of the EGTC may lead to different decisions regarding continuation or dissolution of the EGTC:

- If the EGTC is set up for a limited duration, dissolution should occur naturally at the termination date of the EGTC but it may also occur before (e.g. in the event of problems or if the partnership decides thus). In the event of partnership problems, one must see whether it is possible to continue the EGTC with a restricted number of partners (can the EGTC still achieve its goal with less partners?).
- If the EGTC is set up for an unlimited duration, dissolution may occur when the members jointly agree to end their cooperation on this basis, e.g. because the EGTC has not brought the expected added value to the cooperation, it has been too complex to manage or it appears to be ill-adapted to the needs of the partners.

In case of problems in the partnership, **dissolution is actually the very last resort** and other solutions should be considered before:

- Resignation of a member;
- Exclusion of a member;
- Integration of new members.

These possibilities and the corresponding rules and procedures can be stated in the statutes of the EGTC.

Open questions to be discussed	Action list
General	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Archiving: Who will keep the files of the dissolving EGTC (e.g. accountancy and documentation of expenditures): maybe one of the partners? – Legal proceedings: What if the EGTC was party to any legal proceedings, before or after being dissolved? Legal advice is necessary – Convention and statutes: Should each EGTC member inform their national authority of the dissolution? Check national provisions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Decision on the archiving procedures – Consult legal advisors – Check information procedures – Properties: Properties acquired by the EGTC should be sold and/or redistributed among the partners. The remaining budget might be used to pay final expenses, the rest should be redistributed among the members, based on a calculation formula to be agreed. If this happens within 5 years of the dissolution, any Structural Funds received by the EGTC will be handled as revenues to be deducted¹³⁷. – Staff: The staff employed by the EGTC must be informed about the end of the contracting period, according to the national provisions applicable and with a period of notice. Legal advice should be sought from labour law experts – Although not explicitly stated in the Regulation, the Committee of the Regions, which will hold a register of EGTCs, should be informed of such dissolution

¹³⁷ Art. 55 of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006

Programme Management	
– Will the EGTC dissolve after the end of the Operational Programme (2017) or will it continue, e.g. with other missions than programme management?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – If the EGTC received EU Structural Funds, such change must be communicated to the programme authorities or the European Commission, e.g. in case of controls and audit (expenditure can be checked up to five years after the closure of the programme) – Member State to designate a new MA/JTS
Project Management	
– Will the EGTC dissolve after the end of the project or will it continue, e.g. with other missions?	– If the EGTC received EU Structural Funds, the dissolution must be communicated to the programme authorities, e.g. in case of controls and audit (expenditure can be checked up to five years after the closure of the project)

4.7. Conclusions

The presentation of this roadmap showed us a number of procedures and steps to be followed. It may give the impression that setting up an EGTC is a long and tiresome process, but **things may turn out to be smoother in practice and some steps may be very quick** if all partners are equally committed; strong leadership may further help to speed up these processes.

The key issue remains that of **sustainability**: How to ensure a long-term perspective and added value for the EGTC? In many cases, setting up a cooperation structure remains a long and sometimes arduous procedure, therefore the decision should be based on a **cost versus long-term benefits analysis**.

CHAPTER 5

CASE STUDIES: EGTCs IN PREPARATION AND OTHER STRUCTURES

5. CASE STUDIES ON EGTCs IN PREPARATION AND OTHER STRUCTURES

Although a wide range of public authorities are considering the establishment of an EGTC, the current situation in which few Member States have established the necessary provisions to ensure effective application of the Regulation has led to a **wait-and-see trend** in most areas. It is likely that the first EGTCs will act as '**motors**' for the development of further initiatives, and the numerous problems anticipated might not occur at all in reality or be resolved by means of pragmatic solutions and experimentation. Financial incentives and/or legal advice could help to attract and support these first pioneers. As presented shortly in Chapter 1, the **first EGTC** was born on 28 January 2008, between 14 partners around the metropolitan area between Lille (FR), Kortrijk and Tournai (BE): **Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai**¹³⁸.

From the EGTCs in preparation, of which a few selected cases are presented hereafter, the following characteristics emerge:

- Most of the EGTCs in preparation have a cross-border cooperation dimension.
- There are different types of partnerships involved (large/small, multi-level, etc).
- There are different EGTC models envisaged:
 - European Territorial Cooperation programme management (Greater Region)
 - European Territorial Cooperation project management (Galicia-Northern Portugal)
 - Large infrastructure/public services projects without EU funding (Cerdanya Hospital).
- Several EGTCs have a multi-functional approach (Matriosca, Galicia-Northern Portugal, Alps Mediterranean Euroregion).
- Some EGTCs are well advanced, and the conventions and statutes have already been drafted (Galicia-Northern Portugal, Oderland-NadOdrze, Cerdanya Hospital), while in others the reflection process has just started, including e.g. the organisation of feasibility studies, like in the West Flanders-Flanders-Dunkirk-Opal Coast cross-border platform or the Eurodistrict SaarMoselle.
- Several of the EGTCs in preparation are based on existing cooperation (either legally structured or not), like the Euroregion Ister-Granum.

The following chapter presents a selection of various types of EGTC in preparation, as well as cases where the EGTC solution has not been chosen yet and is subject to analysis by the cooperation partners. In some cases the possibility of establishing an EGTC has already been excluded, either because it is not legally feasible (France-Vaud-Geneva conurbation → involvement of only one EU Member State and one third country), or because other instruments have appeared to be more appropriate.

Point 5.10 lists additional EGTCs in preparation as well as cooperation areas which have considered but ruled out the EGTC. These cases have not been included in the detailed case studies, but information can be obtained from the websites or contact persons. This list is to be further developed and regularly updated.

¹³⁸ More detailed information is provided in Point 5.6

5.1. INTERREG-IV-A Programme Greater Region¹³⁹

Introduction

The territory of the Greater Region is composed of 11 institutional partners of very different natures and very different degrees of autonomy in their actions, associating five different territories within four EU Member States:

- Luxembourg
- Germany: *Länder* Saarland and Rhineland-Palatinate
- France: *Région* Lorraine with different members: the French State, represented by the *Préfet de Région*, the *Conseil Régional* Lorraine, the three *Départements* Moselle, Meurthe-et-Moselle and Meuse
- Belgium: the Walloon Region, the French Community and the German-speaking Community



Fig. 6: The Greater Region

Organisational background

The Greater Region has no legal identity. It is a cooperation and coordination platform, acting at both political and operational level. The area benefits from INTERREG funding, but the existence of three INTERREG IIIA programmes in the area of the Greater Region in the period 2000-06, including areas covered by two programmes and entailing different application and management procedures in each programme, etc., led the institutional partners to consider **merging these three programmes into a single one for the period 2007-13** under the umbrella of the Greater Region. This means in practice that the eligible area for funding of cross-border projects is enlarged (which could foster the development of larger projects and partnerships), with simplified procedure (same application procedure throughout the area) and the identity of the Greater Region is reinforced at the level of the European Union. In order to make this merger possible the management structures of the three INTERREG IIIA programmes had to be replaced by a single one, and the opportunity to make use of the EGTC instrument where all partners are represented was agreed by all political leaders at the Ninth Summit of the Greater Region in June 2006. The

¹³⁹ In French: Programme INTERREG IV-A Grande Région; In German: INTERREG IV-A-Programm Großregion.

political will to create an EGTC by 2009 is stated in the INTERREG IV Operational Programme submitted to the European Commission by the programme partners of the Greater Region.

Type of EGTC

From 2009 onwards the EGTC plans to act as Managing Authority for the cross-border European Territorial Cooperation Programme Greater Region / *Grande Région* / *Großregion*.

Status of implementation

After the Ninth Summit of the Greater Region in June 2006 the decision was taken to replace the existing three INTERREG IIIA programmes on the territory of the Greater Region by a single programme for the new period 2007-13. The Walloon Region (Belgium) acts as transitional Managing Authority for the new programme and these functions will be transferred to the EGTC once set up. The French *Préfecture* of the Region Lorraine is leading the preparation of the EGTC, whose registered office is expected to be located in Metz. The EGTC will consequently be set up under French law.

The executive office, which acts as Joint Technical Secretariat of the programme, was set up in Luxembourg and currently has the legal personality of a non-profit association under Belgian law (asbl). Once the EGTC is in place, the association will be dissolved and the tasks of JTS and MA will be transferred to the EGTC, although the office will remain in Luxembourg city. The adoption of national provisions is still pending in some of the Member States of the Greater Region (the French law is expected to be adopted by 3 April 2008) but the programme partners are already working on the convention and the statutes. These were drafted by the *Préfecture* of Lorraine in January 2008 in order to be operational as soon as possible, as declared by the Summit of the Greater Region.

5.2. Galicia-Northern Portugal Working Community

Introduction

The Galicia-Northern Portugal Working Community is located in the north-western Iberian peninsula.




Fig. 7: Galicia-Northern Portugal Working Community

Organisational background

The most important milestones on the way to cross-border cooperation were:

- The Set-Up Agreement of the Galicia-Northern Portugal Working Community of 31 October 1991, under the aegis of the Madrid Convention (1980).
- New Set-Up Agreement of the Galicia-Northern Portugal Working Community of 24 February 2006 adapting the provisions specified in the Spanish-Portuguese Treaty on Cross-Border Cooperation of 3 October 2002.

The roles of president and vice-president are alternately assumed by the President of the *Xunta de Galicia* and the President of the Coordination Committee of the Northern Region, for a period of two years. The coordination committee, consisting of the general coordinators of both regions, acts as the permanent contact and ensures the continuity of the activities of the Working Community. Several territorial cooperation communities (*Association Eje Atlántico del Noroeste Peninsular*, the communities *Valle del Támega / Valle del Limia / Valle del Cávado / Valle del Miño*) and sectoral committees meet once a year in the council. The opportunity to make use of the new EGTC instrument was considered by the partners and it was decided to transform the Working Community into an EGTC.

Type of EGTC

The broad range of partners and activities of the Working Community should lead to the setting up of a **multi-functional EGTC** with the following core activities: Coordinating and implementing the joint strategies of the members, managing European Territorial Cooperation programmes and projects as well as other EU funds.

Status of implementation

First stage (July – September 2006): Meetings between the partners in order to analyse the EGTC Regulation and other cooperation opportunities - development of the project and the statutes of the EGTC.

Second stage (November 2006 – February 2007): Adoption of the proposals of convention and statutes of the EGTC - presentation of the proposals to the Spanish and Portuguese governments - Galician government application for an in-depth report on the legal requirements and the economic impact resulting from the introduction of the EGTC in the Spanish legal system

Third stage (March – December 2007): Formalities carried out by the *Xunta de Galicia* and the Coordination Committee of the Region *Norte de Portugal* in order to support the development of the provisions guaranteeing the effective implementation of the EGTC's rules. National provisions on the EGTC for Portugal was published on 8 November 2007 (Decree Law No 376/2007).

Current status (December 2007): Although Spain has not yet established the pertinent national rules¹⁴⁰, the Galicia Region has already prepared proposals for the statutes and convention of an EGTC due to the fact that the registered office will be seated in Vigo, Spain, while the Portuguese side has been waiting for this in order to submit the same proposal to their government.

¹⁴⁰Update: Spanish national provisions were adopted on 18 January 2008 – See Point 3.2.

5.3. MATRIOSCA Adria-Alpe-Pannonia¹⁴¹¹⁴²

MANagement Tools, effective Relations for new Interregional Organisation aimed at Strengthening the Cooperation among regions in the Adria-Alpe-Pannonia space.

Introduction

This INTERREG IIIB CADSES project was initiated in 2005, lasting until the end of 2007, and was aimed at promoting integrated and coordinated development in the territory of the cooperation area 'Adria-Alpe-Pannonia'. This area forms a coherent territory located at the interface of old and new Member States and involves 14 regions from different Member States and third countries:

- Austria: Styria (Lead Partner), Carinthia, Burgenland
- Italy: Friuli Venezia Giulia, Veneto
- Slovenia: Government Office for Local Self-Government and Regional Policy (GOSP)
- Hungary: West-Transdanubia (Győr-Moson-Sopron, Vas, Zala) and South-Transdanubia (Baranya, Somogy, Tolna)
- Croatia: Region of Istria, Koprivnica-Krizevci County
- Two partners in kind: Varazdin County (Croatia) and AP Vojvodina (Serbia)

The partner regions want to pool resources and combine their strengths to raise enough critical mass for improving the quality and efficiency of their cooperation activity and consequently their position in relation to other agglomerations.



Fig. 8: MATRIOSCA-AAP

Organisational background

Due to a wide range of bilateral and multiregional cooperations which exist side by side at administrative level, project level and within Euregios, Working Communities, multiregional organisations, etc., there are overlaps with projects in the same thematic fields and a lack of information, cooperation and coordination among all these partners. There is therefore a huge need for action:

- To safeguard political commitment to coordinated action
- To develop a strategic approach and framework for future projects

¹⁴¹Börger, Stefan: MATRIOSCA-AAP. Strengthening Cooperation among regions in the Adria-Alpe-Pannonia space. Vienna, 14.09.2007

¹⁴²<http://www.matriosca.net/cms/ziel/4989192/EN/>

- To develop and implement joint projects of transnational importance
- To foster a lively community and sustain project generation by providing common 'meeting places' and coordinated technical support.

Type of EGTC

It is quite uncertain at the moment which type of EGTC the region envisages, but the idea exists of an **'umbrella EGTC' (multi-functional EGTC)** for strategic issues involving all partners, with additional EGTCs on a smaller scale (bilateral or multilateral with just a few partners), without any subordinated relationships among the forms of cooperation to be institutionalised. To this end, a draft model statute for an EGTC was elaborated, which can be used for different levels / institutions in the AAP area according to the envisaged type of EGTC.

Status of implementation

At the Final Political Conference of the project held in November 2007, the 'umbrella EGTC' was confirmed in the final declaration. According to this agreement, the elaborated model statute shall provide the basis for a future possible common structure to be agreed and then put into operation as soon as the legal framework in the involved states has been established. Furthermore, smaller scale forms of cooperation shall proceed alongside this in the MATRIOSCA-AAP space and implement projects at the respective levels without any hierarchy between the territorially larger level and the more restricted one. All regions propose to follow common strategies in their projects, as they result from such a multilevel approach. The Alps-Adriatic Working Community has already agreed to pursue these results.

5.4. Alps Mediterranean Euroregion¹⁴³

Introduction

The territory of the Alps Mediterranean Euroregion covers five European regions, two on the French side and three on the Italian one:

- Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur
- Rhône-Alpes
- Liguria
- Piedmont
- Valle d'Aosta.

The five regions represent about 17 millions of inhabitants on a territory of 110,000 sq km. Driven by strong historical links of economic and cultural nature, the rationale behind the Euroregion initiative is to reinforce the role of regions in Europe and influence the key policies of the European Union through a common and coordinated action. Its main goal is to develop concrete projects in key areas, such as accessibility and transport, innovation and research, environment and risk prevention, culture and tourism, education and training, aimed at ensuring a balanced, sustainable and shared development of the alpine and Mediterranean area.

¹⁴³Main source of information: material provided by the coordination office of the Euroregion Alps Mediterranean, May 2008.



Fig. 9: Alps Mediterranean Euroregion

Background

The Alps Mediterranean Euroregion has its origins in COTRAO, the community of western Alps set up by France (Rhône-Alpes and Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur), Italy (Piedmont and Valle d'Aosta, Liguria for certain projects) and Switzerland (Valais, Geneva and Vaud) in the 1980's. In order to give a stronger visibility to this area facing an enlarged Europe and a new generation of European programmes 2007-13, the two French and three Italian Regions decided in 2005 to constitute the Alps Mediterranean Euroregion.

The five Presidents met for the first time in July 2006 in Piedmont to sign the Agreement that established the Euroregion and defined the main lines for their cooperation. They met for a second time in November 2006 in Rhône-Alpes and agreed on strategic actions that led to the signature of the July 2007 Protocol of cooperation in Val d'Aosta. The protocol clearly states a shared willingness to make use of the new European legal instrument: the EGTC. The Alps Mediterranean Euroregion was officially launched during the Open Days in 2007 and its common offices in Brussels were inaugurated in January 2008.

Thematic groups & Implementation

In July 2007 the Alps Mediterranean Euroregion has set up five thematic working groups, each coordinated by one of the Region, as follows:

- Innovation and research - Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur Region
- Environment, risks prevention and sustainable development - Rhône-Alpes Region
- Accessibility and transport - Piedmont Region
- Tourism and culture - Liguria Region
- Education and training - Valle d'Aosta Region

The working groups aim at promoting the exchange of information and experience among the regions, the development of shared territorial strategies and the implementation of common projects in the identified fields. The Presidency of the Alps Mediterranean Euroregion is organised on the basis of a half-yearly rotation system between the five regions. The Region of Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur ensures the presidency from January to the end of June 2008. Prior to this date, the Euroregion intends to fulfil the legal and technical requirements needed to set up the EGTC, such as the convention and the statutes. The next Presidency will be held by Region Liguria.

EGTC perspectives

The Alps Mediterranean Euroregion intends to set up a legal basis by the means of an EGTC with the main goal of running cooperation projects, possibly co-funded by the European Union within its regional and other policies, in key areas that are primary for the development of its territory.

5.5. Hospital of Cerdanya (France/Spain)¹⁴⁴

Introduction

The main objective of the project is to create a cross-border organisation for the construction and subsequent management of an acute-care hospital for all patients in the regions of Cerdanya (France, Catalonia-Spain) and Capcir (France). These regions are currently divided between two different countries, but once were a single unit. Alta Cerdanya County and Capcir County belonged to Northern Catalonia, the part of Catalonia that now forms part of France as a result of the Treaty of the Pyrenees (1659).




Fig. 10: Location of the Hospital of Cerdanya¹⁴⁵

Organisational background

In December 2002, the first political initiative was launched at a kick-off meeting of the politicians of Catalunya and Languedoc-Roussillon concerning the possibility of building a common cross-border hospital. All parties agreed that the existing hospital of Puigcerdà had no possibilities for expansion and therefore the question arose whether to build a new hospital in the region. Based on the first kick-off meeting, an INTERREG-financed **feasibility study** was carried out starting in July 2003 to find out if a new cross-border hospital could be built. The study involved local and regional French and Spanish health care actors. The study evaluated the health care needs in the French and Spanish population as well as the technical and political possibilities for setting up a common hospital structure. Therefore, the study was based on an analysis of data from both

¹⁴⁴ - Hospital of Cerdanya. <http://www10.gencat.net/catsalut/cerdanya/en/>

- Hospital of Cerdanya.

http://www.euregio.nrw.de/project-descriptions/details/id-102-hospital_of_cerdanya_details.pdf

- Euregio Project Homepage. Evaluation of cross-border activities in the European Union. Hospital of Cerdanya.

http://www.euregio.nrw.de/project-descriptions/details/id-102-hospital_of_cerdanya_details.pdf

¹⁴⁵ Hospital of Cerdanya.

http://www.euregio.nrw.de/project-descriptions/details/id-102-hospital_of_cerdanya_details.pdf

sides (e.g. characteristics of the population, tourists, care needs of the population, evaluation of care possibilities), a cost-evaluation analysis, evaluation of legal issues and face-to-face interviews with politicians, professionals and the general public. The results of the study showed the need for a hospital and the extent and amount of services that should be provided by the hospital.

General agreement was reached on the goals of the project, but because of the different health care systems in Spain and France and the differing competences, which in Spain are at the regional level (Regional Ministry of Health of Catalonia and the *Servei Català de la Salut* as its service purchasing agency) and in France at the national level (Ministry of Health in Paris), several steps of negotiation were and still are required at different competence levels in France.

The structure of the project consists of a steering committee which has the political oversight of the project ('Supervisory Board'), a technical committee comprising the Department of Health, the *Servei Català de la Salut* (CatSalut), the *Agence Régionale de l'Hospitalisation* (ARH), and the co-direction group of the project with two main responsible persons, one from Spain and one from France as the 'engine' of the project.

Both the Spanish and French sides decided to install the new hospital in Puigcerdà on the Spanish side, because the city is bigger, more accessible and has a hospital tradition. Furthermore, the local Spanish government offered land where the hospital can be built.

Type of EGTC

This EGTC should help to establish and manage the cross-border hospital (uni-functional - project-level).

Status of implementation

On 19 March 2007 the French Minister of Health and Solidarity and the Catalan Minister of Health signed a letter of intent in Puigcerdà to create a European Grouping for Territorial Cooperation as an inter-administrative legal instrument for the governance and management of the future Cerdanya Joint Cross-Border Hospital. The letter of intent establishes the minimum specifications of the EGTC. Besides the two signatory parties, other regional and municipal public administrations will also participate and be represented in the EGTC.

The building of the cross-border hospital is to start in mid-2008 and the hospital should be up and running in 2010.

5.6. Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai

Introduction

The Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai is **the first EGTC** created according to the European regulation. It brings together 14 partners from the urban French-Belgian border area around Lille (FR), Kortrijk (BE, Flemish Region) and Tournai (BE, Walloon Region). It is a multi-functional type of EGTC with a long-term strategic objective of territorial governance: promote and support effective, consistent cross-border cooperation within the metropolitan territory.

Organisational background

The border territory around the metropolitan areas of Lille (FR), Tournai (BE, Wallonia) and Kortrijk (BE, Flanders) is characterised by a continuity of conurbations polarised around city centres. It counts not less than 2 million inhabitants and covers 3,550 sq km. There are strong historical links between these cities and their inhabitants, despite national and linguistic frontiers. Cross-border cooperation was intensified in the 1980s and soon the '*Conférence permanente intercommunale transfrontalière*' (COPIT) was created as institutional platform for this

cooperation. The COPIT was transformed into an association under French law in 2001. A new institutional and legal framework offered later favourable conditions for the creation of the Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai: signature of the Brussels Agreement in 2002¹⁴⁶, EGTC Regulation in 2006 and setting up of a French-Belgian parliamentary working group in 2005-2006¹⁴⁷.



Fig. 11: The Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai

In November 2006, a technical working group was created on the occasion of the first meeting of the Constitutive Committee of the Eurometropole: this group, made up of representatives of the 14 partners, prepared the texts for the convention and the statutes of the future EGTC. In March 2007, all prospective members signed a Declaration of intent for the creation of the Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai Eurometropole. In July 2007, the technical group presented its results during the third meeting of the Constitutive Committee. The draft texts for the convention and the statutes were then transmitted for validation by the deliberative authorities of the 14 partners. The EGTC was officially created on 21 January 2008, date of the 'Arrêté du Préfet de la Région Nord-Pas-de-Calais'. The constitutive meeting of the Assembly took place a few days later, on 28 January 2008.

Type of EGTC

The EGTC covers the territory of 4 Flemish districts, 3 Walloon districts and a French local authority, Lille Metropole, which gathers 85 municipalities. In total 145 municipalities decided to come together in this project.

Formally speaking, the EGTC counts 14 institutional partners:

- On the French side: the State, the Region Nord-Pas-de-Calais, the *Département du Nord* and Lille Métropole *Communauté Urbaine*.
- On the Belgian side: the federal State, the Flemish Region and Community, the Province of Western Flanders, the Leiedal intercommunal association¹⁴⁸, the WVI intercommunal association¹⁴⁹, the Walloon Region, the French Community of Belgium,

¹⁴⁶ Agreement on cross-border cooperation between local authorities of 16 September 2002, signed between the French State and the Belgian federal and regional authorities.

¹⁴⁷ The CIADT (Spatial Planning and Development Interministerial Committee) decided at the end of 2003 to set up this group, whose activities started in 2005. The group, whose results were published in March 2007, identified the legal brakes on the cross-border cooperation and produced ideas for solutions in different fields such as planning, transport, employment, environment, education or safety.

¹⁴⁸ On behalf of the communes of the District of Kortrijk

¹⁴⁹ On behalf of the communes of the Districts of Roeselare, Ieper and Tielt

the Province of Hainaut, the IDETA intercommunal association¹⁵⁰, the IEG intercommunal association¹⁵¹.

The Eurometropole intends to promote and support effective, consistent cross-border cooperation within its territory. The objective of the Eurometropole is also to strengthen links between various European conurbations, particularly in the fields of employment, transport, spatial planning (e.g. through joint studies and spatial planning), sewage and culture (e.g. joint use of public sport equipments).

The EGTC is composed of the following organs and bodies:

- The **Assembly** is the deliberating and control body. It is empowered to approve the annual budget, amend the statutes, appoint the Board and select the cooperation topics. All the levels of responsibility involved in the development of the urban area are present within the Assembly (84 members).
- The **Board** is the executive authority, which aims at ensuring the direct piloting of the EGTC. Operating like a board of directors, the Board constitutes the place of dialogue, exchange and deliberation regarding the projects to be implemented. The board has 32 members. Dual parity is respected and the political level is represented.
- The **Executive Management Committee**, composed of the President and three Vice-Presidents, will also respect the criteria of dual parity, notably through a rotating chairmanship.
- **Committees or working groups**, designated by the Assembly and the Board, will be responsible for studying major topics in order to carry out preparatory work and define a multi-annual action programme.
- A **Conference of Mayors and Burgomasters** will bring together all the mayors and burgomasters of the Eurometropole. Its purpose is to inform the Mayors and Burgomasters of the works and projects of the EGTC and enable discussion of them at the communal level.
- The **Cross-Border Agency** is the administrative and technical tool in charge of the programming and follow-up of a multi-annual action plan decided on by the Assembly, the chairing of thematic committees and the technical assistance for the projects decided upon by the EGTC. Furthermore, its role is vital in the strategy building process of the cross-border urban area. The registered office of the EGTC is located in Lille (FR), while the agency will be located in Belgium, with bilingual staff.

The organisation set up by the Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai is achieved without any transfer of competence in as far as the various organs created cannot take the place of the already existing national components. This being the case, each member will check, within their own institution, that the decisions taken are implemented.

A principle of double-parity applies to the functioning and composition of the organs: parity between the French and the Belgian sides, and parity between the Flemish and the Walloon sides for Belgium. As for the budget, the funding of the Eurometropole is shared equally between France and Belgium, then between Flanders and Wallonia, it is assured by the various participating levels of political responsibility. French-Dutch bilingualism is also a key-element of the EGTC, and the name of the EGTC reflects this principle.

¹⁵⁰ On behalf of the municipalities of the District of Tournai and the District of Ath and the communes of Lessines, Silly and Enghien

¹⁵¹ On behalf of the municipalities of the District of Mouscron and the commune of Estaimpuis

Status of implementation

The first annual budget of the EGTC, which was voted by the Assembly in January, amounts to EUR 989.000 for 2008 and will be used to set up the operational services and launch the first activities. The partners are now in the process of recruitment of the Director and staff for the operational team. The opening of the offices in Kortrijk and Tournai is also planned for the next months and the team should be completed by mid-2009.

In terms of activities, the first priority is to implement structuring projects for the Eurometropole, e.g. with the coordination or merging of equipments (e.g. swimming pools, crematoriums), and to involve the population in these projects. In particular the first projects should deal with daily issues such as the environment, transport services and general public services for the population and enterprises.

Also thematic commissions will be set up, to give impulse to the EGTC's decision-making organs. As for the functioning of the EGTC organs, one key-task at the moment is the drafting of internal rules of procedure to complete the statutes of the EGTC.

Communication activities (website, brochure, exhibitions, media reports, press releases, etc) will also be essential in 2008 and 2009, to make the EGTC known by the population, the media, other European metropolitan border areas and the European institutions.

5.7. Euroregion Ister-Granum¹⁵²

Introduction

This first EGTC in Central Europe, created on 6 May 2008, links the Slovak-Hungarian border area around the cities of Esztergom (HU) and Stúrovo (SK). The two cities aim to pool their resources on 20 joint projects in the framework of the new EGTC, including a regional healthcare system, new port facilities, the rebuilding of five bridges across the Ipoly/Ipel, a regional tourist agency, a bilingual TV and radio broadcasts.




Fig. 12: Euroregion Ister-Granum

¹⁵² Main source of information: http://www.istergranum.hu/index.php?k=admin_en/data/00000000/_fix/00000006&id=0

Organisational background

Institutional cooperation in this border area started in 1999, when the Prime Ministers of Slovakia and Hungary signed an agreement regarding the rebuilding of the Mária Valéria Bridge, which links the two parts of the area and is therefore a key-element of cross-border cooperation. This agreement was followed by the launching of a cooperation agreement between the two major cities of the border area, Esztergom (HU) and Stúrovo (SK), which was then endorsed by the involved regions. The bridge was inaugurated in 2001 and various cooperation activities were launched (culture, media etc). The declaration of intent to create a Euroregion for the Ister-Granum area was signed in 2003 and the Euroregion held its first assembly in February 2004. A regional development agency was then created to implement euroregional activities.

The seven-year development programme of the Ister-Granum Euroregion was completed in 2005 and was presented to the European Parliament by the delegates of the region. The partners eventually decided to continue cooperation on a long-term basis and give its own legal identity to the Euroregion by creating an EGTC.

Type of EGTC

The EGTC Euroregion Ister-Granum is a multi-functional EGTC set up for an unlimited duration: Its primary tasks are 'the implementation of territorial cooperation programmes and projects co-financed by the European Union'¹⁵³ and sustaining institutions. Furthermore the establishment of a wine route, an enterprise-logistic belt, joint health provision, as well as an own energy agency and tourism management organisations are amongst the plans.

The EGTC is registered in Hungary, with headquarters in Esztergom. Due to the limited liability of some its Hungarian members, the Slovak members used the provision of the EGTC Regulation¹⁵⁴ and decided to limit their own liability in the EGTC: Therefore the official name of the EGTC is '**Ister-Granum European Grouping for Territorial Co-operation Ltd**'.

In terms of functioning, the Euroregion is composed of following **organs** and bodies:

- The **Director** is the representative and the administrative leader of the EGTC. The work of the director is supported by the Ister-Granum Eurorégió Fejlesztési Ügynökség Kft (Ister-Granum Euroregion Development Agency Ltd).
- The **General Assembly** is the highest decision-making body of the EGTC. Its members are the representatives of the local governments of the settlements participating in the cooperation. Decisions are made with a 2/3 majority voting system, except for decisions about adoption and amendments of the convention and statutes, which require consensus.
- The **Senate** is the managing and operative body of the EGTC, with eight members. It also manages the EGTC between meetings of the General Assembly.
- **Joint chairpersons**: These are the leaders of the General Assembly and Senate. They are elected by the General Assembly for a period of two years, one year as Executive and one as Deputy Chair.
- **Six committees** are operating within the EGTC with decision preparation tasks:
 - Foreign Affairs Committee
 - Human Resources Committee
 - Economic and Asset Management Committee
 - Environment Protection Committee
 - Industrial and Transport Committee
 - Culture and Tourism Committee

¹⁵³ Art. 2 of the Statutes of the Euroregion Ister-Granum

¹⁵⁴ Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

- The **Regional Advisory Body** is composed of the six members of the Senate (local governmental side), the representatives of the Chambers of Commerce and Industry of the three counties involved (NUTS III), the representatives of the three largest employer companies (for the commercial sector), and the 6 delegates of the Regional Civil Parliament (non-profit sector). The development council is the strategic decision-making body of the EGTC.

As for the financing of the structure, members' contributions are paid to the EGTC budget annually, and the amount is calculated on the basis of the population of each member.

Status of implementation (after the creation of the EGTC in May 2008)¹⁵⁵

Step 1 – Registration:

- Registration process in both countries
- Preparation of common projects
- Joining of new members

Step 2 – After the registration:

- Create a committee system
- Actuation of institution system
- To make competitions

5.8. Eurodistrict SaarMoselle¹⁵⁶

Introduction

This border territory between the agglomerations of Sarreguemines (FR, Moselle-Est) and Sarrebrücken (DE, Saarland) covers an area of 1,500 sq km and a population of 1 million inhabitants. It is characterised by strong economic and cultural links and exchanges (no geographical barriers) and a main common challenge: the restructuring of the economy after the dismantling of the mining industry.



Fig. 13: The Eurodistrict SaarMoselle



Fig. 14: Detail view of the Eurodistrict SaarMoselle

¹⁵⁵ Information provided by the Euroregion Ister-Granum, email of 29.05.2008

¹⁵⁶ Main source of information: presentation by Mrs Isabelle Prionon, Director of the Eurodistrict Saarmoselle, given on the occasion of the Second INTERACT Seminar on the EGTC, 10 April 2008, Brussels

Organisational background

Both regions on each side of the border are in a peripheral situation from a national perspective; to overcome this, the local authorities of the region have been conducting exchanges and joint activities for many years. In 1997, they institutionalised their cooperation by creating the *Zukunft SaarMoselle Avenir association* (association under French law specifically applicable to the regions of Alsace and Moselle), with the aim of promoting the development of cross-border cooperation in the area¹⁵⁷. Its registered office is in Sarreguemines (FR) and the operational office is located in Sarrebrücken (DE).

The partners are:

- The Saarbrücken *Regionalverband* (Urban Community)
- The City of Saarbrücken
- The Grouping of municipalities of the Pays de l'Albe et des Lacs
- The Sarreguemines Confluence agglomeration grouping
- The Forbach Porte de France agglomeration grouping
- The Grouping of municipalities of Freyming Merlebach
- The Grouping of municipalities of the Pays Naborien
- The Grouping of municipalities of the Warndt
- The Grouping of municipalities of the Faulquemont District

The association has various decision-making organs and operational bodies and as such is somehow easy to run, which limits the budget needed. On the other hand, the work done and the contacts obtained are often informal and the financial commitments of the partners are random. It is therefore difficult to structure the association's operations. Another key-problem is the impossibility for the association, as private-law structure, to manage public funds from e.g. INTERREG programmes, as it would need to provide bank guarantees, which is not possible due to the absence of capital.

This being considered, the association responded to the call launched by the French and German States in 2004, for the creation of 'Eurodistricts'.

The Eurodistrict initiative (see footnote above) was therefore launched as a reflection to improve and ensure the sustainability of the structure. Since then, several meetings have taken place to evaluate the various possibilities in terms of legal structure. This year, the working group came to the conclusion that the legal structure of an EGTC would definitely be the best form of cooperation. The EGTC should eventually be able to support the joint development defined in the 'Future vision'.

Following arguments were listed:

- The financial commitments of the partners could be secured on a multi-annual basis, through contributions to the budget of the joint structure. This would guarantee a more solid financial solidity and autonomy of the structure. The budget would be voted to implement a multi-annual working programme with adequate resources (compared to the current functioning where the association is almost exclusively dependent on external funding/grants). Also the EGTC itself could apply for EU-funded projects more

¹⁵⁷For instance currently the association's activities are built up around three main initiatives: 'Future visions' (prospective document for future urban planning), the 'Metropolitan cooperation project' initiative (supported by the French State / DIACT and Saarland, for the integration of the area), and the 'Eurodistrict' initiative (reflection on the structuring of the cooperation).

easily. These two arguments alone are not sufficient to justify the choice of the EGTC, as higher contributions from the members could be collected. However other arguments have to be considered – see below.

- The diversity of cooperation partners involved also gives the advantage to the EGTC, as compared to the LGCC¹⁵⁸, as different types of public regional stakeholders could become members.
- The EGTC offers the advantage of the visibility at EU level, as the instrument is applicable throughout the European Union, and EGTC are registered in the European Official Journal

These elements are still subject to approval by the future members of the structure.

Type of EGTC

The type of EGTC envisaged to replace the Eurodistrict association is a multi-functional EGTC with unlimited duration, with activities in different fields of cross-border cooperation and for the overall structuring of the border area.

The purpose of the future structure is to guarantee the sustainable development of the cross-border territory through the support, promotion and coordination of cross-border cooperation between municipalities and groupings of municipalities.

In agreement with its purpose, the mission of the future structure will be to:

- Manage cross-border projects,
- Assist its members in producing and implementing cross-border cooperation projects,
- Implement the joint territorial marketing of the cross-border metropolitan area,
- Promote the interests of the cross-border metropolitan area towards regional, national and European institutions,
- Collect and distribute information on cooperation projects of its members throughout the territory of the area.

Status of implementation

So far the partners of the future Eurodistrict have agreed on the **purpose and main objectives** of the EGTC. Consensus has to be reached on the **scope** of the cooperation area.

In terms of **membership**, the main difficulty at the moment lies in the fact that there are different potential members, some have been cooperating since 1997 already, some only joined the cooperation in 2005 with the Metropolitan project, others are members of the association, others are not. There have therefore different expectations and levels of commitment, and an idea could be to differentiate between 'core' EGTC members and associated partners which would allow for future membership.

Finally, while municipalities were allowed to be members of the association, their participation in the EGTC could lead to over 100 members in the structure, which is challenging in terms of management and organisation. As an alternative one could envisage to open participation to groupings of municipalities instead, so as to limit the number of members while ensuring the representation of the municipalities.

The partners would like to uphold the association's **organs**. Their function will however be slightly altered so as to be suitable to the legal form of the EGTC.

¹⁵⁸ Local Grouping of Cross-Border Cooperation, created by the Karlsruhe Agreement of 1996 between Germany, France, Luxembourg and Switzerland. The LGCC is an integrated cooperation structure of public law, applying to cross-border cooperation among local and regional public authorities (but not national authorities or other public authorities)

A flat-rate contribution is recommended in terms of financing. The crucial issue that remains to be solved is that of the amount of the members contributions.

Finally, if the form of the EGTC does not present any problems, the issue of the **location of the headquarters** is still debated. Regardless of the form of the structure, the law of one country has to be chosen: while the legal framework in Saarland (DE) offers flexibility, the French legal system guarantees more security in terms of management. The partners would therefore like to find a compromise regarding the location of the headquarters on one side of the border and a working office on the other side, which is complex to implement, e.g. in terms of management and control.

In spite of this, the Eurodistrict SaarMoselle partners defined quite a **'tight' schedule** for the implementation of the new structure. The articles of association and the Convention are to be drawn up by the end of June 2008, and following discussions among partners on the statutes, convention, rules of procedure and working programme of the structure, the formal approval procedure should start in 2009.

It is hoped that the creation of the EGTC will be possible and that the EGTC will be up-and-running by the end of 2009 or the beginning of 2010.

5.9. France-Vaud-Geneva Conurbation¹⁵⁹ - *Non-applicability of the EGTC instrument*

Introduction

To meet the travel needs of the inhabitants of the cross-border area in a sustainable manner, the partners undertook to 'plan, coordinate, develop and organise the provision of cross-border public transport' in the entire France-Vaud-Geneva conurbation.




Fig. 15: The France-Vaud-Geneva Conurbation¹⁶⁰

¹⁵⁹ Mission Opérationnelle Transfrontalière. <http://www.espaces-transfrontaliers.org/en/>

¹⁶⁰ Comité régional franco-genevois : http://www.crfginfo.org/fck_editor/upload/File/CRFG_presentation/CarteCRFG_22mars07.pdf

Organisational background

The existing legal structure is the *Comité régional franco-genevois* based on a 1973 international agreement. On 23 February 2007, the French and Swiss local authorities¹⁶¹ signed a cooperation agreement introducing joint governance for cross-border public transport by road (the inclusion of rail transport would have entailed several other partners). This agreement is based on the establishment of a Strategy Committee and a cooperation structure: a Local Grouping for Cross-border Cooperation¹⁶² (LGCC). The LGCC will have the task of organising cross-border public transport by road and its operation, administration, marketing and fare system. Other tasks may be assigned to the LGCC by the Strategy Committee. The establishment of an LGCC also solves one of the major difficulties in setting-up cross-border public transportation by road, since it allows the issue of cross-border calls for tenders.

Due to the fact that an EGTC has to consist of members from at least two EU Member States¹⁶³, the France-Vaud-Geneva conurbation **cannot set up a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation as it involves cooperation between one EU Member State and one non-EU Member State.**

The transportation LGCC mentioned above is only one of several existing or planned LGCCs¹⁶⁴. At a later stage, all these groupings are to be included in a 'cross-border agglomeration project', which is already quite heavily subsidised by both the French and Swiss national governments. The legal setting for this cross-border agglomeration is subject to discussions at present. Two solutions seem possible¹⁶⁵:

- The creation of an LGCC to ensure the cross-border implementation of the agglomeration project (in particular for spatial planning issues) is the first option. However, the ban on conferring regulatory power on an LGCC could raise difficulties. Additionally, **Member States as such cannot become members of an LGCC** (and due to the rather centralistic nature of France, the participation of the French state would probably be needed alongside the local and regional governments).
- The second option consists in drawing up and adopting a specific international agreement between France and Switzerland, which would provide a specific solution including local, regional and national partners (and thus be closer to an EGTC). Since it would be a specific legal and institutional solution - rather than a framework - allowing public authorities to create their own institutional solution at their own initiative, it would probably be possible to grant more competences (maybe even including some regulatory powers) to whichever institutional cross-border structure was envisaged under such an agreement. But it is highly unlikely that such a solution could be implemented before 2015.

¹⁶¹ For France: Rhône-Alpes Region, Ain and Haute-Savoie Départements, the municipal communities of the Annemasse conurbation; For Switzerland: the Genevois and the *Pays de Gex*, and Geneva and Vaud cantons.

¹⁶² The LGCC establishment, which has been possible since the extension of the Karlsruhe agreement of 2004 on the French-Swiss border, is a juristic person under public law with legal capacity and budgetary autonomy. The grouping is subject to domestic law of the state where it has its registered office (in this case France).

¹⁶³ Art. 3(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁶⁴ Two other LGCCs are already in place in the border area: one for the construction of a tunnel for the draining and treatment of domestic wastewater from the Pays de Gex (FR) to Geneva (CH), the other for the management and functioning of the Salève cablecar (situated on the French territory but on a mountain representing the natural heritage of the whole cross-border area).

¹⁶⁵ Levrat, Nicolas. Review of the INTERACT EGTC Handbook, July 2007

5.10. Overview of EGTCs under consideration/in preparation/already functioning

This table, subject to amendments and completion, provides a provisional and non-exhaustive list of potential EGTCs. Some of the cases presented below have only considered the EGTC as one possibility among others – in some cases the EGTC option has been set aside for the time being - and further information should be obtained directly from the contact persons.

Name	Area / countries concerned	Objective	Contact person	Email	Further information
Alzette-Belval 2015	FR, LU	<i>Feasibility study in process</i>			
Cerdanya Hospital ¹⁶⁶	FR, ES	Construction and management of a cross-border hospital	Xavier Conill	XCONILL@clinic.ub.es	http://hcerdanya.eu/fr/index.html
DonauHanse	Cities network alongside Danube, from Ulm (DE) to Odessa (UA) Led by City of Vienna (AT)	Establish DonauHanse as a sustainable engine for economic, tourist, infrastructural and cultural cooperation, beyond the current borders of the EU	Gerhard Jakisch	gerhard.jakisch@wien.gv.at	www.donauhanse.net/
Eixo Atlantico	ES, PT	To give a legal basis to the existing Eixo Atlantico network of municipalities (Portuguese private association). Convention and statutes have been drafted.	N. Gestoso	galicia.prensa@eixoatlantico.com	www.eixoatlantico.com
Euregio Weinviertel	AT, CZ, SK	To structure cross-border cooperation, based on the existing Euregio	Hermann Hansy	rm@euregio-weinviertel.org	www.euregio-weinviertel.org/
EuRegio West-Nyugat Pannonia	Burgenland (AT) West-Hungarian counties (HU)	Having a unique structure and office for the Euregio with common staff; project development	Andras Vissi	andras.vissi@westpa.hu	www.euregio.hu/eu/de/west/
Euregio Salzburg - Berchtesgadener Land - Traunstein	AT, DE	To create a unique legal structure for the EuRegio, instead of two associations and a working community	Steffen Rubach	rubach.euregio@tzf.de	www.euregio.sbg.at

¹⁶⁶ See detailed presentation under Point 5.5

Name	Area / countries concerned	Objective	Contact person	Email	Further information
Eurodistrict Sarrebruck Moselle Est ¹⁶⁷	FR, DE	<i>Feasibility study in progress</i>	Isabelle Prianon	isabelle.prianon@svsbr.de	www.saarmoselle.fr
Eurodistrict Oderland-NadOdrze (EDON) ¹⁶⁸	DE, PL	- To give a legal basis to CBC - EGTC as solution to the absence of bilateral agreement DE-PL ¹⁶⁹ . - Convention and statutes have been drafted.	Heike-Doreen Ehling	info@bezgranic.net	www.bezgranic.net
Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai ¹⁷⁰	BE, FR	Promote and support effective, consistent cross-border cooperation within the metropolitan territory – territorial strategy and governance.	Lille Métropole Communauté urbaine	mvannouque-digne@cul-lille.fr	www.lillemetropole.fr
Euroregion (so-called 'Villa Manin Initiative')	IT, AT, HR, open to possible SI membership	Create a permanent structure as a legal entity in order to reinforce and support territorial cooperation, focusing on specific issues of common interest.	Nelly Barbon and Maria Greco (Veneto Region), Giorgio Tessarolo and Elisabetta Reja (Friuli Venezia Giulia Region)	maria.Greco@regione.veneto.it nelly.barbon@regione.veneto.it giorgio.tessarolo@regione.fvg.it elisabetta.reja@regione.fvg.it	www.regione.fvg.it/rafvgrapportieuropeinternazionali/areaArgomento.act?dir=/rafvgrcms/RAFVG/AT11/ARG8/
Euroregion Ister-Granum ¹⁷¹	HU, SK	Multi-functional EGTC	Norbert Jankai	office@istergranum.hu	www.istergranum.hu

¹⁶⁷ See detailed presentation under Point 5.8

¹⁶⁸ EDON was launched in 2003 as a community of interests between 15 German and Polish municipalities (no legal personality). To date the network numbers 25 members.

¹⁶⁹ At the regional conference of EDON on 4.12.2007, the members jointly signed a letter to urge the national Polish authorities to adopt national provisions so that the planned EGTC can be set up. An interim solution was agreed by the members: to create a German and a Polish association, each association being composed of the respective national members, cooperation being ensured by an agreement signed between the two associations. Source: www.bezgranic.net

¹⁷⁰ See detailed presentation under Point 5.6

¹⁷¹ See detailed presentation under Point 5:7

Name	Area / countries concerned	Objective	Contact person	Email	Further information
Eurorégion Alpes-Méditerranée ¹⁷²	FR (Rhône-Alpes, Provence-Alpes-Côte-d'azur), IT (Liguria, Piemonte, Valle d'Aoste)	To coordinate the participating regions, facilitate access to EU funding, develop a territorial strategy	Secretariat of the Euroregion, Brussels	eventsalpm@bruxeurope.be	Eurorégion Alpes Méditerranée 62, rue du Trône B-1050 Bruxelles
Eurorégion Pyrénées-Méditerranée	ES, FR	Multi-thematic cooperation between the partner regions	Secretariat of the Euroregion	info@euroregio.eu	www.euroregio.eu
Galicia – Northern Portugal Working Community ¹⁷³	ES; PT	Programme and project management	Marilia Silva	marilia.silva@ccdr-n.pt	www.ccdr-n.pt
Greater Region ¹⁷⁴	FR, BE, DE, LU	Management of the INTERREG IVA Programme Greater Region	Préfecture de Région Lorraine (FR)	arielle.petitdemange@lorraine.pr ef.gouv.fr	
MATRIOSCA Adria-Alpe-Pannonia ¹⁷⁵	AT, IT, SI, HU, HR	Multi-functional EGTC	Stefan Börger Elisabetta Reja	stefan.boerger@stmk.gv.at elisabetta.reja@regione.fvg.it	www.matriosca.net
National Park Mercantour - Alpi Marittime	FR, IT	Cooperation between neighbouring French and Italian natural parks ¹⁷⁶	Patrizia Rossi	patrizia.rossi@parcoalpimaritt ime.it	www.mercantour.eu
Plate-forme transfrontalière Flandre occidentale-Dunkerque-Côte d'Opale	BE, FR	- Political representation (governance) - Coordination of the actions of the partners - Coordination of project development ^{177/178}			www.dunkerquegrandlittoral.org/home.html

¹⁷² See detailed presentation under Point 5.4

¹⁷³ See detailed presentation under Point 5.2

¹⁷⁴ See detailed presentation under Point 5.1

¹⁷⁵ See detailed presentation under Point 5.3

¹⁷⁶ There is a longstanding cooperation between the French National Park of Mercantour and the Italian Regional Park Alpi Marittime, with participation in various INTERREG projects and the implementation of common action plans over the past two decades. The Board of directors of the National Park of Mercantour has recently confirmed its intention to set up an EGTC and to launch the preparation phase. The final perimeter of the cooperation area to be covered by the EGTC is not set yet and could be enlarged to include other parks and partners.

¹⁷⁷ Information collected from the presentation given by Mr Geert Sanders, General Director of the West Vlaamse Intercommunale, MOT Conference 8-9.11.2007, Lille.

Name	Area / countries concerned	Objective	Contact person	Email	Further information
Semclimed	Mediterranean countries (Spain, Portugal, France, Italy and Greece) and eventually all Mediterranean regions e.g. North African countries, Turkey, Israel, Palestine, etc.	Management of environmental projects in the framework of INTERREG IVC and/or MED - small-scale EGTCs	Gloria Ortiz	project.cief@gva.es	www.semclimed.blogspot.com/

Table 1: Overview of EGTCs under consideration/in preparation

¹⁷⁸ On 30.11.2007 the political representatives of the area covered by the Platform signed a joint political declaration to set up an EGTC.

CHAPTER 6
CONCLUSIONS

6. CONCLUSIONS

With the introduction of the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation, the European Commission has provided a striking new instrument for territorial cooperation throughout the Union. In fact, Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 is the first case in which an EC Regulation gives specific and **substantial rights to local, regional and national public authorities from different countries to set up joint structures for more efficient cooperation**. Like any other, the Regulation produces direct effect in all Member States, but it also requires the Member States to adopt the necessary implementing rules to ensure the effective application of the Regulation by 1 August 2007. Even though several Member States have fulfilled their obligation, many have not done so yet and delays are therefore expected in the notification processes of newly created EGTCs.

As the establishment of an EGTC can be a long process requiring long-term involvement and commitment on the part of the partners as well as financial investment, such investments should be proportionate to the expected result – a **cost-benefit analysis** should be organised. Early on in the development stage the prospective members should consider the **sustainability** of the EGTC. Particularly in the case of programme management the timing factor is essential, as the setting-up process of an EGTC might take some time. In general, the setting up of an EGTC might be easier where a **long tradition of trust and cooperation** is already in place based on a formal structure (e.g. Euroregion/Euregio) than in regions with little history of cooperation and no strong structures in place.

Also a cost-benefit analysis of non-cooperation should be envisaged, as non-cooperation may in the long-run be more costly than cooperation!

It is also highly recommended to state a **clear mission and objective** of the EGTC right at the very beginning. Prospective members should not hesitate to **obtain legal advice** concerning their national legislations and their compatibility with the establishment of an EGTC.

Throughout the development of this Handbook the **main advantages and limitations of the EGTC instrument** have been collected and summarised.

6.1. Advantages of the EGTC¹⁷⁹

- Without doubt, the EGTC responds to the necessity of having a legal, institutional tool for cooperation derived from Community law. It provides a common legal basis for all local and regional authorities and Member States and is **applicable in all Member States**, even those that have not signed the Madrid Outline Convention, its additional protocols or specific bi- or multilateral agreements.
- The EGTC has a **legal personality**, which may be private or public depending on the applicable national legislation (this possibility was left open in the Regulation). In particular, the EGTC has the **most extensive legal capacity** accorded to legal persons under the Member States' national law. Compared to cooperation structures that have no legal personality, this essentially means the possibility to act as an autonomous body, having its own **budget, hiring** its own staff and **contracting** independently. Additionally this also means that in case of prejudice the EGTC has the possibility to sue other authorities (and generally **be part of legal proceedings**).

¹⁷⁹INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships*, 2006. For the drafting of this study, various information sources were considered, including the report by the AEBR 'Towards a new community legal instrument facilitating public law-based transeuropean cooperation among territorial authorities in the European Union' – March 2004

- The rules relating to the composition of the EGTC allow the participation of all types of **'bodies governed by public law'** and aim particularly at the 'Member States', the 'regional' and the 'local authorities' as well as associations of public entities¹⁸⁰. This in principle excludes private entities from participating, unless they meet the criteria applying to bodies governed by public law (e.g. a private association solely composed of public members and financed by public funds is to be seen as a body governed by public law and may therefore participate in an EGTC).
- In principle, **the possibility for Member States to participate** had hitherto not been considered in the field of cross-border cooperation, and this constitutes an important change for territorial cooperation. It will allow some Member States to participate in such cooperation where no regions exist (e.g. Slovenia, Luxembourg) or where the envisaged theme of cooperation is a competence of the national level. It may also contribute to the application of multi-level governance and increase the strategic dimension of territorial cooperation¹⁸¹ (NB: the Member States are partners in many European Territorial Cooperation programmes).
- The EGTC covers **all types of European Territorial Cooperation**: cross-border, transnational and interregional. Thus, unlike most of the existing instruments in this field, it is not limited to cross-border cooperation, even if it is expected that the EGTC could be more often used under this first type of cooperation (proximity, limited number of partners, etc. would make it easier to set up a joint structure).
- Beyond European Territorial Cooperation, the EGTC may be used for a **diverse spectrum of cooperation missions**: management of other EU programmes, EU projects and other cooperation outside EU funding. However, Member States may limit the tasks that EGTCs may carry out without a Community financial contribution¹⁸².
- **Third countries** can be involved in an EGTC if their legislation and agreements between Member States and the concerned third country allow it¹⁸³, and if the concerned Member States do not exclude this possibility.
- The EGTC can **act on behalf of its members**, and also it is possible for one member to implement tasks of the EGTC on behalf of the whole partnership¹⁸⁴.
- The EGTC **does not create an additional administrative level** and its members do not transfer any competences to the EGTC, but entrust it with the implementation of specific operational missions and tasks. The EGTC aims at **abolishing a discriminatory aspect** within the European Union's single market which made it more difficult to cooperate with a partner from another country than one from one's own Member State.
- The EGTC does **not remove all existing legal formats of cooperation** but appears as a new optional alternative. Other existing formats should be considered if they are more appropriate for the type of cooperation envisaged (see Annex 4).
- The **limited number of compulsory organs**¹⁸⁵ allows flexibility for the EGTC members. 'Small' EGTCs can then have a lean structure, while 'larger' EGTCs may add more organs.
- The EGTC provides an **official 'label'** recognised by the European Commission and within the European Union in general. It gives more **visibility** to cooperation.

¹⁸⁰ Art. 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006


¹⁸¹ *The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation*, directed by Prof. Levrat, University of Geneva, Committee of the Regions, January 2007

¹⁸² Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁸³ Preamble clause 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁸⁴ Art. 7(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁸⁵ Art. 10 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

Fig. 16: Advantages of the EGTC¹⁸⁶

6.2. Limitations of the EGTC¹⁸⁷

- The aim of the EGTC is to create a uniform legal basis throughout the European Union. However, a large variety of EGTCs is likely to emerge, due to different combinations of aspects and factors, which could somehow blur the image of the EGTC¹⁸⁸, e.g.:
 - The members (homogeneous or heterogeneous) / with or without state participation
 - The applicable law (EGTC governed by public or private law / limited or unlimited liability of members)
 - The activities carried out (managing EC funds or without any EC financing / carrying out activities on behalf of its members or just coordinating cooperation)
 - The inclusion/exclusion of members from third countries
 - Etc
- The EGTC will probably provoke some distrust, mainly due to the fact that the EGTC system contains some **legal loopholes**¹⁸⁹. It is expected that this lack of legal safety will limit recourse to the EGTC.

¹⁸⁶Based on INTERACT Point Tool Box study: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships*, 2006.

¹⁸⁷*Ibid.*

¹⁸⁸This limit can also be considered as an advantage, as it shows that the EGTC instrument adapts to the specificities and circumstances of different areas, strategies, partnerships etc.

¹⁸⁹For legal details see *The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation*, directed by Prof. Levrat, University of Geneva, Committee of the Regions, January 2007

- In several of its articles the Regulation refers to national legislations, which means that different legal consequences may apply in different countries. The EGTC's prospective members therefore need to be cautious about the different national frameworks available and choose the most appropriate for their structure. This requires **solid legal knowledge and expertise, as well as investment in time and legal advice**.
- **Private law entities do not figure** amongst the potential EGTC members (except of course if they may be considered as bodies governed by public law (see above and Chapter 2). Private-public partnerships, however, can be set up e.g. between the EGTC and a private actor or using other existing national or EU legal instruments.
- **Delays** can occur due to the fact that the deadlines for authorising participation in an EGTC lack precision (see e.g. in the English version 'the Member State shall, as a general rule, reach its decision within a deadline of three months...'190). In reality though this flexibility clause does not apply except in a very specific case, namely the participation of more than one Spanish regions in an EGTC (in such case, the ex-ante control requires approval by the Spanish Parliament and therefore more than 3 months might be needed). All Member States have agreed to the three-month rule are therefore expected to apply it strictly.
- The vagueness of the wording 'The tasks given to an EGTC by its members shall not concern the exercise [...] of **duties whose object is to safeguard the general interests of the State**'191 could create insecurities.




Fig. 17: Limitations of the EGTC¹⁹²

6.3. Final recommendations

As the field of activities covered by Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 is very large and offers a complex number of different types of EGTCs, the methodology, strategy and legal steps for establishing an EGTC will have to be adapted. Prof. Levrat¹⁹³ states the likeliness of having different procedures and steps in different countries. Although a number of legal aspects are still

¹⁹⁰ Art. 4(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁹¹ Art. 7(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

¹⁹² Based on INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships*, 2006.

¹⁹³ Levrat, Nicolas (2006): *In order to establish EGTCs*. Abstract of the presentation. Brussels, 10 October 2006

very unclear, national legislators (or administrative authorities) should thus be encouraged to adopt rules quickly, at least for certain categories of EGTCs to be established on their territory, without waiting for all these legal issues raised by the variety of potential EGTCs to be resolved¹⁹⁴.

Support: INTERACT and the Committee of the Regions, which will set up a register of existing EGTCs (according to Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006), will actively follow the development of EGTCs and pursue their efforts to sustain territorial cooperation. Technical support for pioneer/pilot EGTCs, collections of good practices and guidelines for establishing or managing EGTCs in different legal contexts may also be provided by supporting institutions (*Mission Opérationnelle Transfrontalière* (MOT) and EUROMOT¹⁹⁵, General Secretariat of the Benelux, Association of European Border Regions, Assembly of European Regions, etc.)¹⁹⁶.

The European Commission, in line with its mandate as stated in Art. 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006, will play a specific role in the framework of this monitoring and follow-up process, being in charge of evaluating the application of the Regulation and proposing any changes, if needed, by 1 August 2011. To do so, the European Commission should be informed by each Member State of the national provisions taken in application of the Regulation.

Having said that, **all theoretical questions currently arising might be resolved very easily in practice or indeed not occur at all!** Pragmatism and flexibility will be needed, as well as a strong commitment on the part of the potential EGTC partners. Any obstacles can be overcome as long as there is a strong and joint desire to do so – after all, that is what territorial cooperation is all about.

¹⁹⁴ E.g. the participation of a foreign state in a legal structure under domestic law, even if it is based on an EC Regulation, raises numerous and substantial legal problems.

¹⁹⁵ MOT (www.espaces-transfrontaliers.org/en) is a member of EUROMOT, the European network of cross-border local authorities created in November 2007 by MOT, Eixo Atlantico and City Twins.

¹⁹⁶ Expert's recommendation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Literature

- Commission of the European Communities – COM(2004)496 final: *Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Grouping of Cross-Border Cooperation (EGCC)* – 14 July 2004
- Commission of the European Communities – COM(2006)94 final: *Amended Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)* – 7 March 2006
- Commission of the European Communities – COM(2006)308 – *Common position of the Council on the adoption of a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on establishing a European Grouping for Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)* – 13 June 2006.
- Council of the European Union – 8221/06 (Regio 14, Cadrefin 87, Codec 380): *Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)* – 7 April 2006.
- Council of the European Union – 8754/06 (Regio 20, Cadrefin 111, Codec 394, Oc 321): *Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and the Council establishing a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)* – 28 April 2006.
- European Parliament – A6-0206/2005: *Report on the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Grouping of Cross-Border Cooperation (EGCC)* – Rapporteur: Mr Jan Olbrycht – 21 June 2005
- European Parliament – A6-0311/2005: *Report on the role of 'Euroregions' in the development of regional policy (2004/2257(INI))* – Rapporteur: Mr Kyriacos Triantaphyllides – 19 October 2005.
- European Parliament and Council: *Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on a European grouping of territorial cooperation (EGTC)*
- Council of Europe – European Treaties Series /106 – Strasbourg 1999:
 - *European Outline Convention on Transfrontier cooperation between territorial communities (Madrid Outline Convention).*
 - *Additional Protocol to the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Cooperation between Territorial Communities or Authorities*
 - *Protocol No 2 to the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Cooperation between Territorial Communities or Authorities concerning inter-territorial cooperation with explanatory report.*
- Committee of the Regions: *European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC), a new CoR Study on the new legal cooperation instrument. Ten questions and answers* – 2006
- Committee of the Regions: *Perspectives on Territorial Cooperation and the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC). Political Strategy and Supporting Actions of the Committee of the Regions* – 2006
- Committee of the Regions: *Opinion on the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Grouping of Cross-Border Cooperation (EGCC)* – 18 November 2004.
- European Economic and Social Committee – ECO/149: *Opinion on the Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Grouping of Cross-Border Cooperation (EGCC)* – 6 April 2005.
- Euro-Institut Kehl: *Seminar on the EGTC, a chance for the Upper Rhine?* – Kehl, 14 June 2006 (FR/DE)
- Haselberger, Beatrix: *European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC) - A new future perspective for European regions* – 2006

- INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Handbook on the Lead Partner Principle in European Territorial Cooperation Programmes* – 2007
- INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships* – 2006
- Levrat, Nicolas: *In order to establish EGTCs. Abstract of the presentation* – Brussels, 10 October 2006
- Levrat, Nicolas / Committee of the Regions: *The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation* – January 2007
- Mission Opérationnelle Transfrontalière: *Proceedings of the information and discussion day on the European grouping of territorial cooperation* – 16 November 2006, Metz
- Schaffer, Hannes; Haselberger, Beatrix; Dillinger, Thomas; Zehetner, Franz: *Europäischer Verbund für Territoriale Zusammenarbeit (EVTZ) - ein Instrument zur grenzüberschreitenden Zusammenarbeit*. Weinviertel Management; November 2006

Presentations

- Almeida, Nuno; Ferreira, Nuno: *The Galicia-Norte de Portugal Working Community* – Vienna, 14 September 2007
- Börger, Stefan: *MATRIOSCA-AAP - Strengthening Cooperation among regions in the Adria-Alpe-Pannonia space* – Vienna, 14 September 2007
- Gomez-Reino Lecoq, Santiago: *The Euroregion Galicia-Norte de Portugal* – CoR Seminar in Vigo, 6 July 2007
- Hannes, Astrid: *The Euregio Meuse-Rhine and the EGTC* – Vienna, 14 September 2007
- Haselberger, Beatrix; Dillinger, Thomas: *European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)* – AESOP World Congress. Mexico, 13 July 2006
- Jodogne, Simon: *The Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai* – INTERACT Seminar on the EGTC, Brussels, 10 April 2008
- Prianon, Isabelle: *The Eurodistrict Saarnoselle* – INTERACT Seminar on the EGTC, Brussels, 10 April 2008
- Ramakers, Joëlle: *Preparing the set-up of an EGTC in the Greater Region* – Vienna, 14 September 2007
- Salambo, Ludivine / Mission Opérationnelle Transfrontalière: *Le groupement européen de coopération territoriale* – Euro-Institut Kehl, 14 June 2007
- Spinaci, Gianluca: *European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation. The contribution from the Committee of the Regions* – Budapest, 30 March 2007
- Sinner, Jean-Claude: *Cross-border Cooperation in Practice* – INTERACT Seminar, Riga, 14 June 2006

Websites and online documents

- Association of European Border Regions: *Transeuropean Cooperation between Territorial Authorities. New challenges and future steps necessary to improve cooperation* – 2001. www.aebr.net
- Committee of the Regions; Levrat, Nicolas. *Eight steps to evaluate the feasibility of establishing the EGTC*. www.cor.europa.eu/En/activities/egtc_implementation.htm
- Committee of the Regions: *EGTC state of play*. www.cor.europa.eu/document/activities/egtc/EGTC_State_of_play.pdf
- COPIT-GPCI. www.copit-gpci.org/en
- EURES PED (Lorraine/Grand-Duché de Luxembourg/Province de Luxembourg): <http://www.euresped.org/>

- Eurometropole Lille-Kortrijk-Tournai:
www.lillemetropole.fr/index.php?p=986&art_id=15431
- Eurodistrict Saarmoselle: www.saarmoselle.org
- EUROPA - SCADPlus. Statute for a European Company:
<http://europa.eu/scadplus/leg/en/lvb/l26016.htm>, last updated on 11.10.2004
- EUROPA - SCADPlus. Statute for a European Cooperative Society:
<http://europa.eu/scadplus/leg/en/lvb/l26018.htm>, last updated on 11.10.2004
- European EEIG Information Centre. EEIG European Economic Interest Grouping. The only transnational legal instrument for cooperation between entrepreneurs in Europe (2001). www.libertas-institut.com/uk/EWIV/portal.htm
- European Trade Union Institute for Research, Education and Health and Safety: *'The small sister of the SE': The European Cooperative Society (SCE)*: www.worker-participation.eu/european_company/european_cooperative_society/the_small_sister_of_the_se_the_european_cooperative_society_sce
- Euroregion Ister-Granum: www.istergranum.hu
- Euregio Meuse-Rhine: www.euregio-mr.org
- Euroregion Pyrenees Mediterranean. www.euroregion-epm.org
- Hospital of Cerdanya. www10.gencat.net/catsalut/cerdanya/en/
- Hospital of Cerdanya. www.euregio.nrw.de/project-descriptions/details/id-102-hospital_of_cerdanya_details.pdf
- INTERACT. www.interact-eu.net/
- Matriosca. www.matriosca.net/cms/ziel/4989192/EN/
- MOT and EUROMOT. www.espaces-transfrontaliers.org/en/
- Mission Opérationnelle Transfrontalière. Cross-Border News No.24 – March 2006:
www.espaces-transfrontaliers.org/en/Newsletter_pdf/Cross_Border_News_March_2006.pdf
- Projets d'Europe (DIACT, France): www.projetsdeurope.gouv.fr/?lang=en
- Oberrheinkonferenz. www.oberrheinkonferenz.org/
- Parc national du Mercantour. www.mercantour.eu

Further sources

- Communication at the CoR seminar on EGTC. Vigo, 6.07.2007
- Communication at the EGTC Needs Assessment Meeting. Vienna, 14.09.2007
- Communication at the Open Days, CoR meeting on the EGTC. Brussels, October 2007
- Levrat, Nicolas. Review of the INTERACT EGTC Handbook, July 2007
- E-mails from consulted national and regional authorities – review process of the INTERACT EGTC Handbook – 09.2007-02.2008

ACRONYMS

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AA	Audit Authority
AEBR	Association of European Border Regions
AER	Assembly of European Regions
CA	Certifying Authority
CoR	Committee of the Regions
EEIG	European Economic Interest Grouping
EGTC	European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation
ERDF	European Regional Development Fund
ESF	European Social Fund
EU	European Union
JTS	Joint Technical Secretariat
LGCC	Local Grouping of Cross-border Cooperation
LPP	Lead Partner Principle
MA	Managing Authority
MC	Monitoring Committee
MS	Member State
MSC	Monitoring and Steering Committee
OP	Operational Programme
PA	Paying Authority
PIG	Public Interest Grouping
PJM	Project management
PM	Programme management
SC	Steering Committee
SCE	Societas Cooperativa Europaea - The European Cooperative Company
SE	Societas Europaea - The European Company

ANNEXES

ANNEXES

ENCLOSED WITH THE HANDBOOK:

1. Roadmap for setting up an EGTC
2. EGTC model convention - Template for use
3. EGTC model statutes - Template for use
4. Other available legal instruments for the purpose of territorial cooperation
5. Useful links and contacts

AVAILABLE ON THE INTERACT WEBSITE (ELECTRONIC ANNEXES):

- FAQ on the EGTC – Based on Questions and Answers sessions of the past INTERACT Seminars on the EGTC
- Relevant Community Regulations (EGTC, EEIG, SE, SCE etc)
- National provisions adopted or in adoption:
 - Belgium - Flanders (in Flemish)
 - Bulgaria (in English)
 - Denmark (in Danish)
 - France (in French)
 - Greece (in English)
 - Hungary (in English)
 - Portugal (in Portuguese and English)
 - Romania (in Romanian)
 - Slovenia (in Slovene)
 - Spain (in Spanish)
 - United Kingdom (National provisions and Guidance note, in English)

ANNEX 1 – ROADMAP FOR SETTING UP AN EGTC

This roadmap is to be used by the prospective partners of a future EGTC as a practical tool for reflection and decision-making. The phases presented in the roadmap may be considered differently or the order of the steps may be changed. No specific timing can be given for each of these steps as it will vary greatly depending on the different types of cooperation envisaged. In any case, the roadmap should be used carefully, taking into account potential delays and drawbacks as well as other alternative solutions available, in order to reach the most cost-effective solution for all partners involved. Before considering the first step of the roadmap, it is advisable to organise a needs assessment among the potential partners and other stakeholders, e.g. in the form of a feasibility study.

All steps of this roadmap are presented in further detail in Chapter 4 of the Handbook, including specific information/recommendations for the setting up of an EGTC for programme management (PM) or project management (PJM) - see column 'Reference in Chapter 4'.

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
PREPARATION	p1 Analysis of the needs and objectives of the cooperation	The objective of an EGTC shall be to facilitate and promote cross-border, transnational and/or interregional cooperation between its members with the aim of strengthening economic and social cohesion.	Art. 1(2) and Art. 7(3) (a contrario) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	1) Do the defined needs and objectives of the cooperation correspond with the possible tasks of an EGTC? 2) Discuss which of the 4 EGTC models you want to set up in order to implement: • territorial cooperation programmes - European Territorial Cooperation programmes • co-financed projects in the field of territorial cooperation under the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) incl. European Territorial Cooperation projects • other EU-funded actions regarding territorial cooperation • actions of territorial cooperation outside any EU funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of needs and objectives • Definition of the general strategy and mission(s) of the possible EGTC • Definition of the timeframe (setting up, duration of the project/cooperation) • Choose either 1) one of the 4 EGTC models, 2) a mix of different models, or 3) other cooperation structures/agreements • Feasibility study (if needed) 	4.2.1
		An EGTC shall carry out the tasks given to it by its members in accordance with the Regulation and relevant national legislations.	Art. 7(1) and Art. 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	3) Can the defined objectives be fulfilled within the required timeframe?		
		The tasks of an EGTC shall be limited primarily to the implementation of territorial cooperation programmes or projects co-financed by the Community through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). An EGTC may carry out other specific actions of territorial cooperation between its members in pursuit of the objective referred to in Art. 1(2) (see above) with or without a financial contribution from the Community.	Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 Art. 7(3)	4) Does the national law of any prospective Member State forbid the possibility of setting up an EGTC for territorial cooperation outside EU funding?		
		Member States may limit the tasks EGTCs may carry out without a Community financial contribution.	Art. 7(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006			
		The tasks given to an EGTC by its members shall not concern the exercise of powers conferred by public law (sovereign functions) or of duties whose object is to safeguard the general interests of the State or of other public authorities, such as police and regulatory powers, justice and foreign policy.	Art. 7(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006			

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
PREPARATION	p2 Definition of the territorial scale	The territorial scale of cooperation within an EGTC can be cross-border, transnational and/or interregional.	Art. 1(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	What is the appropriate geographical size of cooperation for achieving the set objectives?	Outline the territory and boundaries of the cooperation area	4.2.2
		An EGTC has to be made up of members located on the territory of at least two Member States.	Art. 3(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006			
		Authorities from third countries can be involved in an EGTC if their national legislation or any agreements between Member States and the concerned third country allow(s) it.	Preamble clause 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	Does the national law of each prospective Member State allow the involvement of a third country in an EGTC?		
		Although the possibility of involving third countries is constituted by Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006, Member States may prohibit such participation				Check if: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Involvement of a third country is prohibited • Involvement of a third country is allowed → consider whether the third country has adopted applicable legislation and/or signed an agreement with the concerned Member State(s)
	p3 Identification of the essential competences and skills	An EGTC shall be made up of members acting in a common framework, within the limits of their competences under national law. Therefore the least common denominator regarding these competences has to be found.	Art. 3(1) and Art. 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	Which competences and skills are needed to fulfil the objectives of the EGTC? Do the identified partners have these competences and skills?	Catalogue of topics according to actual and/or needed competences and skills of each prospective partner => find out common fields of competence	4.2.3

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
PREPARATION	p4 Identification of the partners	Members of an EGTC can belong to one of more or the following categories: Member States, regional or local authorities, associations of public authorities (e.g. Euroregions, Working Communities - provided they have a legal personality) and 'bodies governed by public law' within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC Art. 1(9). The partners should then be identified according to the competences and skills needed.	Art. 3(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the planned EGTC is based on an existing cooperation structure (Euroregion, Working Community, structures for the management of EU programmes or project-based cooperation structures): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do you already have the needed competences and skills or do you have to change? - Do you need new partners? - What are the conditions for transforming an existing cooperation structure into an EGTC? Does the former structure have to be dissolved? • Which partners correspond to the skills and competences needed? • If partners are already known, do they all have at least one competence in common? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Catalogue of (new) partners, including the specification of their legal statuses, competences, and national provisions applying to EGTCs in each Member State concerned. • Consider the interpretation of 'public equivalent body' in each of the Member States concerned 	4.2.4
	p5 Exploration of the available national legal frameworks	Although the Regulation has produced direct legal effects in all Member States since 1 August 2007, in practice national provisions have to be in place in the concerned States to enable the establishment of an EGTC. Some Member States have still not progressed very far in making these provisions.	Experts recommendation	Have the necessary provisions been made in all concerned Member States?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • YES: The provisions are in place in all concerned Member States --> Compare the different applicable provisions and consider those which best fit for the type of EGTC envisaged. • NO: Delays will arise --> Consider whether alternative solutions should be searched for (other type of structure) or wait for the provisions to be in place – This time can be used e.g. to start drafting convention and statutes. 	4.2.5
	p6 Consideration of the timing and potential risk of delays	Delays are expected due to the fact that some Member States have not progressed very far in making the appropriate provisions. See other potential types of delays in Point 4.2.6	Experts recommendation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How far have the concerned Member States progressed with establishing the provisions? • Has the procedure for application/notification been clarified in all concerned Member States? • What is the attitude of the concerned Member States towards the establishment of an EGTC? • Are there any other expected causes of delay? • Will the EGTC be based on an existing cooperation structure? • If so, are any delays expected due to a transition phase (staff, etc.)? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of possible delays (external and internal factors) • Roadmap and estimated timeframe for setting up (backward planning can be envisaged, taking as starting point the planned date of creation of the EGTC and planning backwards) CSQ: Either delays are accepted by all members or another cooperation structure/agreement has to be considered 	4.2.6

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
DECISION	d7 Consideration of other instruments or cooperation structures and selection of the most appropriate	<p>There are a number of possible instruments and structures for territorial cooperation, e.g.:</p> <p><u>Agreements:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cooperation agreements, goodwill agreements, memoranda of understanding, etc. <p><u>Structures:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC) • European Economic Interest Grouping (EEIG) • Cooperation instruments/structures based on plurilateral or bilateral treaties and agreements • Cooperation structures based on national legislations - with possible participation of foreign members <p><u>See Annex 4 for further information</u></p>	Experts recommendation	<p>1) Are there any existing adequate cooperation structures or agreements for regulating the cooperation?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • YES --> Go to questions 2,3 • NO: Why was there no institutional cooperation structure in place so far? <p>a) There were no problems that required such a structure --> Then do not create one, even if possible.</p> <p>b) Problems existed but were efficiently resolved by other means --> Go to questions 2,3</p> <p>c) No institutional solution was available until now --> The existence of the EGTC as a new solution should not prevent you from looking at answers a) and b) again --> Go to questions 2,3</p> <p>2) What are the advantages/disadvantages of using the EGTC versus setting up a new bilateral/multilateral agreement or another structure?</p> <p>3) Do we need to set up a legal structure (with statutes, organs, an office, staff etc) or is the signing of a cooperation agreement sufficient?</p>	Comparison of different alternative instruments and cooperation structures available (taking account of the open questions)	4.3.1
	d8 Verification of the legal feasibility of the planned proposal	<p>After deciding on the most appropriate solution, the legal feasibility has to be checked taking account of possible differences in the national legal systems (public or private law / limited or unlimited liability).</p>	Experts recommendation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What national provisions are applicable in the different Member States concerned? • Which national provisions are the most appropriate/favourable for the EGTC? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommended: seek legal advice and/or organise a feasibility study • If setting up an EGTC is the most appropriate solution and is legally feasible, then you can implement the next steps. • Otherwise consider another cooperation structure/agreement 	4.3.2

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
IMPLEMENTATION	19 Location of the registered office	The registered office of an EGTC shall be located in a Member State under whose laws at least one of the members is formed.	Art. 8(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	• Where is the registered office to be set up?	Decision on the seat of the registered office - To be possibly taken in consideration : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National provisions of each concerned Member State (legal status of the EGTC (private-public), provisions regarding staff, provisions regarding liquidation, insolvency, liability, etc.) • Location of the office within the cooperation area (central location should be considered if possible) • Political will to host the office, including e.g. financial offers made by a member • Political stability, administrative culture, opinion of the population etc • Fiscal regimes and other conditions for staff (salaries, social schemes etc) 	4.4.1
		The decision regarding the seat of the EGTC determines the applicable law and the financial control procedures, as these lie within the competence of the Member State in which the EGTC has chosen to set up its office.	Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 Art. 2 and Art. 6			
		If an existing cooperation structure decides to transform into an EGTC, the working conditions and other considerations during/after the transition phase should be taken into account.	Experts recommendation			
	110a Drafting of the convention in agreement with partners and national authorities	An EGTC shall be governed by a convention concluded unanimously by its members. See also Point 4.4.2 for further details	Art. 8(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	The convention has to specify: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The name of the EGTC and its registered office • The extent of the territory in which the EGTC may execute its tasks • The specific objective and tasks of the EGTC, its duration and the conditions governing its dissolution • The list of the EGTC's members • The law applicable to the interpretation and enforcement of the convention, which shall be the law of the Member State where the EGTC has its registered office • The appropriate arrangements for mutual recognition, including for the purpose of financial control • The procedures for amending the convention. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal of the convention • NB: Since each amendment to the convention requires approval by the Member States, the content of the convention should be kept very concise - no more than what is required by the Regulation. Other elements can be integrated into the statutes. • NB: The extent of the territory does not necessarily correspond to the entire territory of each prospective member, e.g. where a Member State is a member of an EGTC it is often not the entire national territory concerned. 	4.4.2

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
IMPLEMENTATION	I10b Drafting of the statutes in agreement with partners and national authorities	<p>The statutes of an EGTC have to be adopted on the basis of the convention by its members acting unanimously.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As with the convention, the statutes should also be kept as concise as possible. The competences of the assembly/director have to be clearly specified in the statutes. • If more rules and determinations are needed, the introduction of an additional document such as a procedures manual is recommended as a tool of self-commitment by the EGTC's members. 	<p>Art. 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006</p> <p>Experts recommendation</p>	<p>The statutes of an EGTC shall contain, as a minimum, all the provisions of the convention together with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The operating provisions of the EGTC's organs (assembly: permanent or rotating presidency?) and their competences, the number of representatives in the relevant organs • The decision-making procedures (consensus or majority rule?) • The working language(s) • The arrangements for its functioning, concerning staff management, recruitment procedures and the nature of staff contracts • The arrangements for the members' financial contributions and the applicable accounting and budgetary rules, including rules on financial issues, for each of the members with respect to the EGTC • The arrangements for members' liability in accordance with Art. 12(2) • The authorities responsible for the designation of independent external auditors • The procedures for amending the statutes • Any other provision deemed relevant 	Proposal of the statutes	4.4.3
	I11a Notification of the convention and statutes	Each prospective member shall notify the Member State under whose law it has been formed of its intention to participate in an EGTC and send that Member State a copy of the proposed convention and statutes. The Member State shall reach its decision within a deadline of three months, either on the EGTC itself if it is to be set up in this Member State or on the participation of the members from this Member State, as well as giving a decision on the convention and statutes.	Art. 4(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation of the members in an EGTC is approved or not • If the convention and statutes are not approved by every concerned Member State, partners have to rewrite them and therefore go back to step I10 	4.4.4
		Once each prospective member has received approval, all members shall unanimously agree on the final version of the convention and the statutes.	Art. 4(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006		Voting of the convention and statutes by all members unanimously	

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
IMPLEMENTATION	I11b Publication of the convention and statutes	The statutes and any subsequent amendments shall be registered and/or published in accordance with the applicable national law in the Member State where the EGTC has its registered office. The members have to inform the Member States concerned and the Committee of the Regions of the convention and the registration and/or publication of the statutes.	Art. 5(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Registration and/or publication of statutes • Inform the Committee of the Regions and the Member States concerned 	4.4.5
		Within 10 working days of the registration and/or publication of the statutes, a request has to be sent to the Office for Official Publications of the European Communities for publication of a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union announcing the establishment of the EGTC.	Art. 5(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006		Publication of a notice in the Official Journal of the European Union	
	I12 Launching the EGTC	The EGTC shall acquire legal personality on the day of registration or publication	Art. 5(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the role of the director and the assembly? • Should all members be represented in the assembly? • Who should represent each member in the assembly (administrative or political level? How to ensure continuity, e.g. despite political changes?)? • How frequent are the meetings of the assembly? • Decision-making process: majority or consensus? • Do we need/want additional organs? • Can the members second staff to the EGTC?: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Who employs these staff? - What is the legal basis? - In which country are staff insured? - Can these staff subsequently return to their administration of origin? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishment of the office (incl. branch office(s) if applicable): rental contract, equipment (public procurement procedures of the hosting country to be considered), etc. • Recruitment of staff (or secondment from the partner institutions), incl. the director • Define clearly the functions and competences of the director and the assembly • Launching of the assembly (first meeting) • Launching of first activities --> This requires the availability of funds to cover the launch costs, to be raised from the partners' contributions and/or via a loan. • Setting up of management and control system (incl. financial flows, accounting system, auditors). 	4.4.6
		An EGTC shall have at least the following organs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an assembly, which is made up of representatives of its members • a director, who represents the EGTC and acts on its behalf. 	Art. 10(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006			
		The statutes may provide for additional organs with clearly defined powers.	Art. 10(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006			

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
PERFORMANCE	P13 Budget	An EGTC has to establish an annual budget containing a component for running costs and, if necessary, an operational component (project-related budget).	Art. 11(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	• How will the budget be formed?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft an annual budget • Decision on the financial contributions of the members • Decision on the financial control procedures, accounting and the audit trail 	4.5.1
		Although not compulsory, contributions by all members are highly recommended to ensure equal involvement and enhance their sense of responsibility.	Experts recommendation	• Should all members contribute to the budget? Equally or not? When and how often should they make these contributions, and in what amount?		
		Control of expenditures: • EU funds --> EU eligibility rules and national eligibility rules of the country of the EGTC's seat • Other sources (public funds, contributions of the members) --> rules of the country of the EGTC's seat	Art. 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006			
	P14 Liquidation, insolvency and cessation of payments	As regards liquidation, insolvency, cessation of payments and similar procedures, an EGTC shall be governed by the laws of the Member State where it has its registered office.	Art. 12(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	What are the applicable national provisions?	Consult national provisions and clarify these provisions to all members of the EGTC, including members from other EU Member States.	4.5.2
	P15 Liability	An EGTC shall be liable for its debts whatever their nature. To the extent that the assets of an EGTC are insufficient to meet its liabilities, its members shall be liable for the EGTC's debts whatever their nature, each member's share being fixed in proportion to its contribution, unless the national law under which a member is formed excludes or limits the liability of that member. If the liability of at least one member of an EGTC is limited as a result of the national law under which it is formed, the other members may also limit their liability in the statutes.	Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the current status regarding liability (unlimited or limited) of the EGTC's members? • What are the national provisions applicable in terms of liability? 	Decision on unlimited or limited liability if the conditions for such a decision are met (according to Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006)	4.5.3
A Member State can prohibit the registration on its territory of an EGTC whose members have limited liability.		Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	Is the registration of an EGTC whose members have limited liability permitted in the Member State concerned?			

Phase	Implementation steps	Explanation	Source	Open questions to be discussed	Action list	Reference in Chapter 4 of the Handbook
PERFORMANCE	P16 Evaluation	Two to three years after its establishment the EGTC should be evaluated.	Experts recommendation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which difficulties occurred during the implementation process and in operating the EGTC? • Does the set-up and implementation of the EGTC meet the original expectations? • What kind of evaluation is necessary? Done internally or externalised? • If external, how will the evaluation be financed? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upon creation of the EGTC: list objectives/targets and deadlines • Catalogue of difficulties and possible solutions • Do the results of the evaluation meet the expectations set at the beginning of the implementation process? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - YES: continuation of the EGTC - NO: adjustment of procedures, statutes, etc. or dissolution 	4.5.4
		<p>The activities of an EGTC may be prohibited by a competent court or authority if they contravene public policy, security, health, morality or the public interest. Dissolution may also be possible if the EGTCs activities exceed the defined scope.</p> <p>Dissolution may also be the result of a decision by the Assembly of the EGTC.</p> <p>Results of the evaluation of the performance of the EGTC may lead to different decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EGTC with limited duration: dissolution at the termination date of the EGTC, but possible before • EGTC with unlimited duration: dissolution by joint agreement of the members 	Art. 13 and Art. 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Archiving: Who will keep the files of the dissolving EGTC (e.g. accountancy and documentation of expenditures): one of the partners? • Legal proceedings: What if the EGTC was party to any legal proceedings, before or after being dissolved? Legal advice is necessary. • Convention and statutes: Should each EGTC member inform their national authority of the dissolution? Check the national provisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decision on archiving procedures • Consultation of legal advisors • Check information procedures • Properties acquired by the EGTC should be sold and/or redistributed among the partners. The remaining budget might be used to pay final expenditure. The rest should be redistributed among the members (calculation formula). If this happens within 5 years of the dissolution, any EU Structural Funds received by the EGTC will be handled as revenues to be deducted (Art. 55 of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006). • Inform staff about the end of the contracting period in accordance with the applicable national provisions. • Although not explicitly stated in the Regulation, the Committee of the Regions, which will hold a register of EGTCs, should be informed of such dissolution. 	4.6

ANNEX 2 – EGTC MODEL CONVENTION, TEMPLATE FOR USE

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

This DRAFT model is based on a draft EGTC convention collected and standardised by INTERACT for use by other cooperation areas. This document is intended to serve as a very basic template for the bodies setting up an EGTC. This draft has to be adapted to reflect the specificities of their EGTC, their partnership and the applicable national law.

The compulsory elements are those listed in Art. 8(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006:

- Name and registered office – *ARTICLES 1 and 2 of the template*
- Extent of the territory – *ARTICLE 3 of the template*
- Specific objective and tasks of the EGTC – *ARTICLE 4 of the template*
- List of the EGTC's members – *ARTICLE 5 of the template*
- Law applicable to the convention (Law of the Member State of the registered office) – *ARTICLE 6 of the template*
- Arrangements for mutual recognition, including for the purpose of financial control – *ARTICLE 7 of the template*
- Procedures for amending the convention – *ARTICLE 8 of the template*

Elements in RED are to be completed by the prospective members.

Additional elements may be necessary for the specific circumstances.

Disclaimer

Please note that INTERACT's goal is to provide useful information to European Territorial Cooperation programmes and projects, as well as to keep this information timely and accurate. If errors are brought to our attention, we will try to correct them. However **we cannot accept responsibility or liability with regard to this information**. This information is:

- of a general nature only and is not intended to address the specific circumstances of any particular individual or entity;
- not necessarily comprehensive, complete, accurate or up to date;
- not professional or legal advice (if you need specific advice, you should always consult a suitably qualified professional).

Convention of the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation

[NAME OF THE EGTC]

Based on Art. 8 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council of 5 July 2007 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC)

PREAMBLE¹⁹⁷

ARTICLE 1 – NAME

The name of the EGTC is [NAME OF THE EGTC]

⇒ **Nota Bene:** if the members of the EGTC have limited liability, the name of the EGTC shall include the word 'limited'¹⁹⁸.

ARTICLE 2 – REGISTERED OFFICE

The registered office of the EGTC is located in [NAME OF THE COUNTRY – POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE REGISTERED OFFICE]

⇒ **Nota Bene:** if the registered office is not the same as the operational office, the location of the operational office may be stated here.

ARTICLE 3 – AREA OF INTERVENTION

[LIST OF THE AREAS COVERED BY THE EGTC'S INTERVENTIONS]

⇒ **Nota Bene:** It is not necessarily the case that the area of intervention of the EGTC corresponds to the overall area of each member (e.g. if a Member State is a member of the EGTC, it does not mean that the whole country area is covered by the EGTC).

⇒ **Option:** The possibility for territories outside the area covered by the EGTC to be associated with the activities of the EGTC on an ad-hoc basis.

ARTICLE 4 – OBJECTIVE AND TASKS

4.1 Main objective

The objective of the EGTC is to [MAIN OBJECTIVE OF THE EGTC].

⇒ **Nota Bene:** The EGTC is of course expected to contribute, through its activities, to the strengthening of economic and social cohesion, but this does not necessarily have to be justified in written in the statutes and convention (Art. 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006) (in most cases such contribution is self-explanatory).

4.2 Tasks

The specific tasks of the EGTC are: [LIST THE SPECIFIC MISSIONS OF THE EGTC]

4.3 Duration of the EGTC

The EGTC is set up for [UNLIMITED/LIMITED] duration [UNTIL ... AND END DATE IF LIMITED DURATION]

¹⁹⁷

Optional

¹⁹⁸

Art. 12(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006

4.4 Dissolution

- Satisfaction of creditors and distribution of the remaining property
- Dissolution procedure: start date; liquidators: functions and mission.

ARTICLE 5 – MEMBERS

The members of the EGTC are [LIST OF THE MEMBERS].

⇒ **Option:** Rules applying to membership.

ARTICLE 6 – APPLICABLE LAW

The members will comply with Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council of 5 July 2006 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC) as amended, as well as with the national provisions of the Member State in which the EGTC has its registered office.

For the interpretation and enforcement of the convention, the law of [MEMBER STATE OF THE REGISTERED OFFICE OF THE EGTC] is applicable.

ARTICLE 7 – AGREEMENTS FOR MUTUAL RECOGNITION

In the interests of mutual recognition of the legal systems of the EGTC members from the other participating Member States, including for financial control issues, it is agreed that all documentation needed for financial control should be made available in the language of the independent external auditors [NAME IF APPLICABLE] and in the form required.

ARTICLE 8 – PROCEDURES FOR AMENDING THE CONVENTION

Amendments to the convention require the approval of the members of the EGTC. Based on a proposal of amendment by a member to the [ASSEMBLY OR OTHER RELEVANT ORGAN RESPONSIBLE], the proposal will be submitted to the assembly for decision. Each amendment to the convention requires the approval of the members. Each member informs its Member State of the purpose of the amendment and sends its Member State a copy of the proposal of amendment. Each amendment must be publicised in accordance with Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council of 5 July 2006 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC).

ARTICLE 9 – FINAL PROVISIONS

The EGTC members will notify the present convention to the Committee of the Regions according to Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006.

[OTHER FINAL PROVISIONS]

Date, place

Signatures

ANNEX 3 – EGTC MODEL STATUTES, TEMPLATE FOR USE

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

This DRAFT model is based on a draft EGTC statute collected and standardised by INTERACT for use by other cooperation areas. This document is intended to serve as a very basic template for the partners setting up an EGTC. This draft has to be adapted to reflect the specificities of their EGTC, their partnership and the applicable national law.

The compulsory elements are those listed in Article 9(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006:

- All provisions of the convention – *ARTICLE 1 TO 8 of the template*
- The operating provisions of the organs and their competences, as well as the number of representatives of the members in the relevant organs – *ARTICLE 9 to 12 of the template*
- The decision-making procedures of the EGTC – *ARTICLE 13 of the template*
- Working language(s) – *ARTICLE 14 of the template*
- The arrangements for its functioning [...] – *ARTICLE 15 of the template*
- The arrangements for the members' financial contributions and the applicable accounting and budgetary rules – *ARTICLE 16 of the template*
- The arrangements for members' liability – *ARTICLE 17 of the template*
- The authorities responsible for the designation of independent external auditors – *ARTICLE 18 of the template*
- The procedures for amending the statutes – *ARTICLE 19 of the template*

Elements in RED are to be completed by the prospective members. Additional elements may also be considered.

Disclaimer

Please note that INTERACT's goal is to provide useful information to European Territorial Cooperation programmes and projects, as well as to keep this information timely and accurate. If errors are brought to our attention, we will try to correct them. However **we cannot accept responsibility or liability with regard to this information**. This information is:

- of a general nature only and is not intended to address the specific circumstances of any particular individual or entity;
- not necessarily comprehensive, complete, accurate or up to date;
- not professional or legal advice (if you need specific advice, you should always consult a suitably qualified professional).

Statutes of the European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation

[NAME OF THE EGTC]

Based on Art. 8 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council of 5 July 2007 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC).

ARTICLE 1 – NAME

The name of the EGTC is [NAME OF THE EGTC]

ARTICLE 2 – REGISTERED OFFICE

The registered office of the EGTC is located in [NAME OF THE COUNTRY – POSTAL ADDRESS OF THE REGISTERED OFFICE]

ARTICLE 3 – AREA OF INTERVENTION

[LIST OF THE AREAS COVERED BY THE EGTC'S INTERVENTIONS]

⇒ **Nota Bene:** It is not necessarily the case that the area of intervention of the EGTC corresponds to the overall area of each member (e.g. if a Member State is a member of the EGTC, it does not mean that the whole country area is covered by the EGTC).

ARTICLE 4 – OBJECTIVE AND TASKS

4.1 Main objective

The objective of the EGTC is to [MAIN OBJECTIVE OF THE EGTC].

⇒ **Nota Bene:** The EGTC is of course expected to contribute, through its activities, to the strengthening of economic and social cohesion, but this does not necessarily have to be justified in written in the statutes and convention (Art. 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006) (in most cases such contribution is self-explanatory).

4.2 Operational objectives

In particular the EGTC aims at [OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVES OF THE EGTC – EXPECTED IMPACT/CONTRIBUTION TO TERRITORIAL COOPERATION/DEVELOPMENT]

4.3 Tasks

The specific tasks of the EGTC are: [LIST THE SPECIFIC MISSIONS OF THE EGTC]

4.4. Duration of the EGTC

The EGTC is set up for [UNLIMITED/LIMITED] duration [UNTIL ... END DATE IF LIMITED DURATION]

4.5 Dissolution

- Satisfaction of creditors and distribution of the remaining property
- Dissolution procedure: start date; liquidators: functions and mission.

ARTICLE 5 – MEMBERS

The members of the EGTC are [LIST OF THE MEMBERS].

ARTICLE 6 – APPLICABLE LAW

The members will comply with Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council of 5 July 2006 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC) as amended, as well as with the national provisions of the Member State in which the EGTC has its registered office.

For the interpretation and enforcement of the convention, the law of [MEMBER STATE OF THE REGISTERED OFFICE OF THE EGTC] is applicable.

ARTICLE 7 – AGREEMENTS FOR MUTUAL RECOGNITION

In the interests of mutual recognition of the legal systems of the EGTC members from the other participating Member States, including for financial control issues, it is agreed that all documentation needed for financial control should be made available in the language of the controlling institution [NAME IF APPLICABLE] and in the form required.

ARTICLE 8 – PROCEDURES FOR AMENDING THE CONVENTION

Amendments to the convention require the approval of the members of the EGTC. Based on a proposal of amendment by a member to the [ASSEMBLY OR OTHER RELEVANT ORGAN RESPONSIBLE], the proposal will be submitted to the assembly for decision. Each amendment to the convention requires the approval of the members. Each member informs its Member State of the purpose of the amendment and sends its Member State a copy of the proposal of amendment. Each amendment must be publicised in accordance with Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and the Council of 5 July 2006 on a European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation (EGTC).

ARTICLE 9 – ORGANS

The organs of the EGTC are:

- The assembly
- The director
- [ADDITIONAL ORGANS]

ARTICLE 10 – ASSEMBLY

10.1 Mission

The assembly is responsible for the adoption of the general strategy and the annual work plans [OR ANY OTHER IMPLEMENTATION DOCUMENT]. The assembly is responsible for the adoption of the annual budget of the EGTC in accordance with Art. 11 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006. [POSSIBLE Additional missions]: e.g.:

- Remission
- Tasks of the EGTC
- Purchase and sale, acquisition of property
- Participation in other organisations
- Loans
- Modification of the statutes
- Approval of rules of procedure
- Election of the members of the board

⇒ **Nota Bene:** Additional missions may be entrusted by the members to the assembly in respect of the EGTC Regulation and the domestic law of the Member State where the EGTC is registered.

10.2 Chair

[CHAIRPERSON – FUNCTION – RULES]

10.3 Decision-making procedures

Voting: Each member has one vote.

Substitution: Members can be represented by [LIST OF POSSIBLE SUBSTITUTES – RULES APPLICABLE] or any other person entitled to vote.

10.4 Integration of new members

10.5 Resignation of a member

10.6 Exclusion of members

The assembly decides upon a proposal of exclusion of member(s). The proposal must be submitted to [RESPONSIBLE ORGAN].

10.7 Decision about amendments to the convention and/or statutes and dissolution of the EGTC

The assembly decides upon amendments to the convention or statutes and about the dissolution of the EGTC.

10.8 Designation of accountant(s)/controller(s)

- [ORGAN IN CHARGE OF THE DESIGNATION]
- [DESIGNATION PROCEDURE AND RULES]
- [DUTIES OF THE ACCOUNTANTS/CONTROLLERS]

10.9 Rules applying to the meetings of the assembly¹⁹⁹

- Invitation: The invitation is to be sent by [RESPONSIBLE ORGAN] to the members of the assembly at least [NO. OF WORKING DAYS/WEEKS] in advance. Additional points for discussion must be sent to [RESPONSIBLE ORGAN] at least [NO OF DAYS] before the meeting. These additional points will be communicated to the assembly at the beginning of the meeting.
- Frequency of meetings
- Extraordinary meetings [APPLICABLE RULES AND PROCEDURES FOR CALLING SUCH MEETINGS].
- Minutes [CONTENT; ORGAN IN CHARGE OF DRAFTING AND SIGNING].

ARTICLE 11 – BOARD [IF APPLICABLE - OPTIONAL]

11.1 Members and membership (selection procedure, duration of mandate etc)

11.2 Mission – fields of intervention

11.3 Frequency of meetings

11.4 Decision-making procedures

¹⁹⁹Optional – It is recommended that such rules be contained in a separate document, e.g. 'Internal rules of procedure of the EGTC assembly', in order to keep the statutes concise, as recommended in the Handbook (Point 4.4.3).

ARTICLE 12 - DIRECTOR

12.1 Designation/recruitment procedure

12.2 Functions

12.3 Matters for which approval by the assembly or board is required

12.4 Liability

ARTICLE 13 – DECISION-MAKING PROCEDURE

Quorum in the assembly:

- For day-to-day decisions: majority [OTHER RULE] of the members present at the meeting
- For decisions regarding modification of the convention and/or statutes: 2/3 [OTHER RULE] of the members present at the meeting

ARTICLE 14 – WORKING LANGUAGE(S)

14.1 Working languages

The working language(s) of the EGTC is/are [WORKING LANGUAGE(S)]

14.2 Applicable rules (documentation, rules at meetings, etc.)

ARTICLE 15 – FUNCTIONING

Staff administration, recruitment procedures and working contracts [ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES] are the responsibility of [ORGAN]. For these procedures, the applicable law is that of the Member State of the registered office of the EGTC.

⇒ **Option** – Branch offices, if applicable, may be mentioned here.

ARTICLE 16 - FINANCES

16.1 Annual contributions of the EGTC members

[RULES/SHARE; PROCEDURES]

16.2 Loans

[RELATED PROCEDURE]

16.3 Application for EU-funding (if applicable)

In order to be able to implement its objectives, the EGTC is entitled to submit applications for access to public funding and subsidies

16.4 Accountancy and budgetary rules

The applicable accountancy and budgetary rules are those of the Member State where the EGTC has its registered office.

16.5 Management of public funds, control and accountancy

[RULES AND RELATED PROCEDURE]

ARTICLE 17 – LIABILITY17.1 Liability of the EGTC17.2 Liability of the members of the EGTC17.3 Liability of new members of the EGTC**ARTICLE 18 – RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITIES**

The authority in charge of designating the independent external auditor(s) is **[NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE AUTHORITY]**

ARTICLE 19 – PROCEDURE FOR AMENDING THE STATUTES

Amendments to the statutes need the approval of the members of the EGTC. Based on a proposal of amendment by a member to the **[ASSEMBLY OR OTHER RELEVANT ORGAN RESPONSIBLE]**, the proposal will be submitted to the assembly for decision. Each amendment to the statutes requires the approval of the members. Each member informs its Member State of the purpose of the amendment and sends its Member State a copy of the proposal of amendment.

ARTICLE 20 – FINAL PROVISIONS

The EGTC members will notify the present statutes to the Committee of the Regions according to Art. 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006.

[OTHER FINAL PROVISIONS]

Date, place

Signatures

ANNEX 4 – OTHER AVAILABLE LEGAL INSTRUMENTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF TERRITORIAL COOPERATION

A broad variety of legal instruments has been tried in order to generally allow for/facilitate territorial cooperation or to specifically enable the setting up of genuine and sustainable cooperation structures and joint programmes. The most common approaches²⁰⁰ are

- Multilateral framework treaties and conventions concluded at international level,
- Bilateral or plurilateral agreements and protocols concluded between national states providing for inter-governmental cooperation or promoting cross-border cooperation that are frequently based on international framework treaties or conventions,
- Formal agreements, working protocols, conventions or contracts concluded by regional or local authorities,
- Other legal instruments based on Community law or national law that facilitate cross-border cooperation.



Fig. 18: The legal and international environment of territorial cooperation²⁰¹

1. Multilateral framework treaties and conventions concluded at international level²⁰²

Multilateral treaties or conventions concluded at international level are some of the most important and long-standing instruments for providing a comprehensive framework for territorial cooperation - both for public and private bodies. These treaties and conventions can be concluded at different levels (between states or as quasi-executive agreements between governments and in some cases, i.e. in federal states such as Germany, by regions when they have the international competence).

Further important instruments are international conventions elaborated and adopted under the auspices of the Council of Europe. The most important example is the Outline Convention on Transfrontier Cooperation between Territorial Communities or Authorities (**Madrid Outline**

²⁰⁰ Association of European Border Regions (2001): *Transeuropean Cooperation between Territorial Authorities. New challenges and future steps necessary to improve cooperation*. <http://www.aebr.net>

²⁰¹ Based on INTERACT Point Tool Box: *Study on organisational aspects of cross-border INTERREG programmes - Legal aspects and partnerships*, p. 39

²⁰² Association of European Border Regions (2001), *op.cit.*

Convention of 1980 and its Additional Protocols)²⁰³. In the main part of the convention the contracting parties commit themselves (within the framework of their national laws) to a number of tasks: resolving legal, administrative and technical difficulties of cross-border cooperation (Art. 4); considering the possibility of providing regional and local authorities with special facilities in order to engage in cross-border cooperation (Art. 5); and supplying relevant information to other contracting parties (Art. 6), to their own regional and local authorities (Art. 7), and to the Council of Europe (Art. 8).

One limitation of the Madrid Outline Convention and the First Additional Protocol (1995) is that the systems and models contained in the documents are not directly applicable in practice, therefore they do not in themselves provide a treaty for cross-border cooperation but merely a framework. Examples from several border regions demonstrate that additional treaties need to be concluded between two national states to enable the regional and local authorities to engage in direct cross-border cooperation. In order to close the gap between the intense practice of networking and the legal reality, the Second Additional Protocol (1998) aimed at organising development cooperation between territorial communities and providing it with an adequate legal framework. However, the Additional Protocols have not been ratified by all countries, which makes them inapplicable in some countries, e.g. Italy.

2. Bilateral or plurilateral agreements and treaties concluded between states²⁰⁴

A wide range of bilateral or plurilateral agreements and treaties have been concluded between states in the field of cross-border cooperation. All arrangements, principles and guidelines contained in these agreements and treaties depend entirely upon the political will of the signatory states. The dominant sub-types of interstate agreements are:

- Specific agreements providing for the establishment of inter-governmental commissions in the field of spatial planning, cross-border cooperation or regional development,
- Agreements on specific aspects of territorial cooperation,
- Simple agreements on good neighbourliness at the borders,
- Agreements on the implementation of the Madrid Outline Convention permitting general cross-border cooperation between regional and local territorial authorities.

Interstate agreements have quickly become one of the most common instruments for territorial cooperation across all aspects and stages of cooperation, namely planning, implementing, monitoring, funding and monitoring.

One example is the German-Dutch Treaty on Cross-border Cooperation (**Isselburg-Anholt Agreement** of 1991, ratified in 1993). This is a highly developed form of cross-border cooperation between regional and local authorities and enables regional authorities to pass public or private law agreements at cross-border level. This agreement is based on strong political commitment at national, regional and local levels and was designed with the prime objective of developing a practical instrument to enable regional and local bodies to engage in cooperation. The main feature of the German/Dutch treaty is that it provides for four types of cooperation at sub-regional level:

- Agreements under public law can be concluded between regional and local authorities;
- One local or regional authority can act on behalf of another in a foreign country;
- The establishment of an '*Arbeitsgemeinschaft*' (association) as a forum for decision-making, but without official powers;
- The establishment of an '*Öffentlichrechtlichen Zweckverband*' (administrative association for particular public service under public law) with a legal personality which can act on behalf of its members at cross-border level and represents a far-reaching form of cooperation (Euregios Rhein-Waal and Ems-Dollart have been reconstituted under this provision of the Treaty). It was far-reaching when adopted; this is, however, the solution that inspired the 1995 Additional

²⁰³ Council of Europe: (a) *European Outline Convention on Transfrontier co-operation between territorial communities (Madrid Outline Convention)*. European Treaties Series /106, Strasbourg 1999. (b) *Additional Protocol to the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Cooperation between Territorial Communities or Authorities* (c) *Protocol No 2 to the European Outline Convention on Transfrontier Cooperation between Territorial Communities or Authorities concerning inter-territorial cooperation with explanatory report*.

²⁰⁴ Association of European Border Regions (2001), *op.cit.*

Protocol to the Madrid Convention (ECTS n° 159) and it has therefore become common in most agreements since 1995.

A second example is the **BENELUX Convention** of 1989, which provides public local bodies in Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg with new legal possibilities for implementing cross-border cooperation, but without any obligations. Two solutions are proposed: a legal entity of public law based on Dutch inter-municipal cooperation principles, and a more restricted form of cooperation based on an administrative agreement without the creation of any legal entity.

3. Formal agreements and less formal conventions or working protocols concluded by regional and local authorities²⁰⁵

Local and regional authorities can conclude formal agreements on territorial cooperation directly, where the respective own national government does not in principle appear as the contracting party. They can also adopt less formal agreements such as conventions on cooperation or working protocols between themselves. The practical possibilities for a 'legal contractual engagement' of regional and local authorities in an international context do however vary greatly throughout Europe and are dependent on the constitutional, legal and administrative framework of each state.

The **Mainz Agreement** of 1996 is an important example of a formal agreement on general cross-border cooperation, concluded directly between regional authorities of federal states without national governments being involved. Contracting parties are the Federal State of North Rhine Westphalia (D), the Federal State of Rhineland Palatinate (D), the German-speaking Community (B) and the Walloon Region (B). This agreement is based on the Madrid Outline Convention and is in many respects (contents) comparable to the Isselburg-Anholt interstate agreement concluded between Germany and the Netherlands in 1991. The agreement foresees the possibility to set up public law-based cooperation bodies between local authorities (*Zweckverbände*), the conclusion of public law-based conventions and the creation of local working communities (*kommunale Arbeitsgemeinschaften*).

4. Legal instruments based on Community law or national law that facilitate cross-border cooperation at project level²⁰⁶

Project-based territorial cooperation activities are mostly based on ad hoc solutions. They may be based either on arrangements and sporadic working groups or on agreements at local, regional or national level. In some cases these activities do not need their own permanent cooperation structure. Many cross-border projects can be dealt with by existing bodies on either side of the border. However, new project-level cooperation structures may sometimes be needed. There are several possible solutions: regional and local authorities may use legal instruments established under EU law (such as European Economic Interest Groupings, EEIGs) or solutions provided by national law. Following EU-based solutions can be considered:

4.1. The European Economic Interest Grouping (EEIG)²⁰⁷

The European Economic Interest Grouping (EEIG) is a legal facility established by Community law²⁰⁸. The implementation of some provisions was deferred to the EU Member States: each Member State passed implementation laws which govern certain matters relating to groupings and set up the necessary rules for the registration of groupings. This instrument allows the formation of a grouping of individual companies or other legal entities and is especially tailored to SMEs. The purpose of the grouping is to facilitate or develop cooperation among the members.

²⁰⁵ Association of European Border Regions (2001), *op.cit.*

²⁰⁶ *ibid.*

²⁰⁷ European EEIG Information Centre. *EEIG European Economic Interest Grouping. The only transnational legal instrument for cooperation between entrepreneurs in Europe* (2001). <http://www.libertas-institut.com/uk/EWIV/portal.htm>

²⁰⁸ Regulation (EC) No 2137/85

A grouping must be formed by at least two members coming from two different EU Member States; members can be companies or legal bodies having a central administration in a Member State, or natural persons.

Advantages of an EEIG²⁰⁹:

- Although EEIGs have to be based upon the terms laid down in the European Regulation²¹⁰, they are very flexible and non-bureaucratic legal instruments which can be adapted to different economic conditions. The Regulation guarantees considerable freedom for the EEIG's members in terms of internal organisation and in their contractual relations.
- A grouping can be founded with or without assets, investment or know-how transfer.
- A grouping can be established by subjects of different legal status: self-employed persons, private limited companies, chambers of commerce, etc.
- The members of a grouping go on carrying out their own activities autonomously and obtain new business opportunities besides.
- The members of a grouping have unlimited joint liability for its debt in the form of subsidiary liability (Art. 24): the EEIG is responsible in the first instance if the assets of the EEIG are insufficient, with responsibility subsequently devolving to its members (similar in the case of an EGTC).
- A grouping pays neither company taxes nor taxes on earnings.
- A grouping can run its own business and have its own trademark.
- The official address of a grouping can easily be transferred within the Community. Other legal instruments require previous winding up of the enterprise, which involves costs, activities and loss of corporate image.

Disadvantages of an EEIG²¹¹:

Due to the application of national law the EEIG does not have its own legal personality in some Member States.

Relevance for European Territorial Cooperation programme/project management²¹²:

- The requirement for exclusive support of 'economic activities' limits the scope of operations of an EEIG.
- Public authorities cannot delegate economic activities to an EEIG. Although EEIGs can be used to access Community funding at the level of projects, they cannot be used to manage economic activities directly.
- Additionally EEIGs can only act in the context of private law and are therefore unable to carry out any statutory functions of local authorities. As public authorities are the main actors in European Territorial Cooperation programmes and projects (even if some programmes also authorise private entities to act as final beneficiaries), the EEIG does not appear to be the most appropriate tool for programme or project management.

4.2. The European Company - Societas Europaea (SE)²¹³

It has been introduced to create a European company with its own legislative framework²¹⁴. This allows companies incorporated in different Member States to merge or form a holding company or joint subsidiary while avoiding the legal and practical constraints arising from the existence of different legal

²⁰⁹ *Ibid.*

²¹⁰ Regulation (EC) No 2137/85

²¹¹ Association of European Border Regions (2001): Transeuropean Cooperation between Territorial Authorities. New challenges and future steps necessary to improve cooperation. <http://www.aebr.net>

²¹² *Ibid.*

²¹³ EUROPA - SCADPlus. Statute for a European Company (11.10.2004). <http://europa.eu/scadplus/leg/en/lvb/l26016.htm>, last updated on 11.10.2004.

²¹⁴ Legal basis for the SE: Council Regulation (EC) No 2157/2001 of 8 October 2001 on the Statute for a European Company and Council Regulation (EC) No 2157/2001 of 8 October 2001 on the Statute for a European Company with regard to the involvement of employees in the European company.

systems. The registered office of the SE designated in the statutes must be the place where it has its central administration. In tax matters, the SE is treated in the same way as any other multinational, i.e. it is subject to the tax regime of the national legislation applicable to the company and its subsidiaries. SEs are subject to taxes and charges in all Member States in which their administrative centres are situated.

Advantages of an SE:

The SE can easily transfer its registered office within the European Union without dissolving the company in one Member State in order to form a new one in another Member State.

Disadvantages of an SE:

The European Company must have a minimum capital of EUR 120,000 and is therefore created for rather large companies only. Where a Member State requires a larger amount of capital for companies exercising certain types of activity, the same requirement will also apply to an SE with its registered office in that Member State.

Relevance for European Territorial Cooperation programme/project management:

The SE only allows companies to merge or form a new holding company or joint subsidiary and therefore has no relevance whatsoever in the field of European Territorial Cooperation programme or project management.

4.3. The European Cooperative Society - Societas Cooperativa Europaea (SCE)²¹⁵

The European Cooperative Society (SCE)²¹⁶ constitutes another step in the completion of the European Union's internal market. It aims to provide cooperatives, which are a form of organisation generally recognised in all Member States, with adequate legal instruments capable of facilitating the development of their cross-border/transnational activities, through collaboration, cooperation or mergers between existing cooperatives in the different Member States, or through the creation of new cooperative enterprises at European level. In this sense, the SCE complements the legislation on European Companies (SE). The SCE fills the gap with respect to the transnational activities of cooperatives.

An SCE can be established by natural or legal persons living or having their seat in different Member States. It differs from the SE in that natural persons can also be actors. The SCE is defined as a body with legal personality for which the capital subscribed by its members is divided into shares. Its registered office must be within the Community and must be in the same place as its central administration.

As is the case for the SE, there must always be a cross-border element. For example, an existing cooperative can only transform itself into an SCE if it has an establishment or subsidiary in another Member State. In the other listed cases, natural persons or legal entities must always come from at least two Member States. Its founders can also choose whether the SCE shall be governed by a monistic board structure (administrative board) or a dualistic one (management board plus supervisory board).

Advantages of an SCE:

Natural persons as well as legal persons can establish an SCE. An SCE, just like a European Company, can relocate to another Member State without having to be wound up and re-registered. Compared to the SE, the European Cooperative Society is suitable for smaller companies, too.

²¹⁵European Trade Union Institute for Research, Education and Health and Safety. 'The small sister of the SE': The European Cooperative Society (SCE). http://www.workerparticipation.eu/european_company/european_cooperative_society/the_small_sister_of_the_se_the_european_cooperative_society_sce and EUROPA - SCADPlus. Statute for a European Cooperative Society (11.10.2004). <http://europa.eu/scadplus/leg/en/lvb/l26018.htm>

²¹⁶Legal basis for the SCE: Council Regulation (EC) No 1435/2003 of 22 July 2003 on the Statute for a European Cooperative Society (SCE) and Council Directive 2003/72/EC of 22 July 2003 supplementing the Statute for a European Cooperative Society with regard to the involvement of employees.

Disadvantages of an SCE:

A minimum capital of EUR 30,000 is still required to establish an SCE.

Relevance for European Territorial Cooperation programme/project management:

The European Cooperative Society is of no relevance for European Territorial Cooperation programme and project management because national legislations do not usually allow public entities to participate in such mixed economy companies outside the scope of national law.

ANNEX 5 – USEFUL LINKS AND CONTACTS

EU INSTITUTIONS

European Commission

DG Regional Policy

Mr Dirk Peters

Directorate General Regional Policy B.3

Legal and procedural matters, relations with the Committees

Avenue de Tervuren 41

B-1040 Brussels

dirk.peters@ec.europa.eu

Web information on the EGTC: http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/funds/gect/index_en.htm

Committee of the Regions

Directorate for Consultative Works

DTC Unit 3 - Networks & Subsidiarity

Rue Belliard, 101

B-1040 Brussels

t: + 32 2 282 25 93

e: egtc@cor.europa.eu

Web information on the EGTC: <http://www.cor.europa.eu/En/activities/egtc.htm>

OTHER INSTITUTIONS

Council of Europe

www.coe.int

See in particular:

- Section dedicated to cross-border cooperation:
http://www.coe.int/t/f/affaires_juridiques/d%E9mocratie_locale_et_r%E9gionale/domaines_dactivites/cooperation_transfrontaliere/default.asp#TopOfPage
- List of Euroregions.
http://www.coe.int/t/E/Legal_Affairs/Local_and_Regional_Democracy/Areas_of_Work/Transfrontier_Co-operation/Euroregions/List_of_Euroregions.asp#TopOfPage

Assembly of European Regions

www.a-e-r.org

See in particular EGTC web section: <http://www.a-e-r.org/fr/themes-majeurs/regional-partnership.html>

Association of Regional Border Regions (AEBR)

www.aebr.net

EUROMOT

www.espaces-transfrontaliers.org

Secretary General of the Economic Union of the Benelux

Regentschapstraat 39

B - 1000 Brussels

t: +32 2 519 38 11

e: info@benelux.be



INTERACT Point Vienna
Museumstrasse 3/A/II
AT – 1070 VIENNA
+43 1 4000 27 085
ip.vienna@interact-eu.net
www.interact-eu.net

AECT
EAYY
EGTC
EGTS
EOEΣ
ESUS
ETBG
ETEC
ETKR
ETSG
EVTZ
EZÙS
EGTC
REKT

Phases of Setting-Up an EGTC

