


Mental Capacity (Amendment) Bill

Illustrative person journeys under DoLS v Liberty Protection Safeguards


A typical experience of the DoLS can feel bureaucratic and imposed on people.... 'Sarah's' story shows how DoLS can be a negative experience when it does work, as well as a negative experience when it doesn't



What will be a typical user journey under LPS 'Sarah's' experience under liberty protection safeguards would be better: more streamlined and strengthens her voice in care planning. **Best Interests** decision under MCA: care and



Change: Three Tests Medical, capacity, necessary and proportionate. Previous assessments utilised



treatment to be

provided

An objection? Referred to Approved Mental Capacity Practitioner (AMCP) for review who will meet with person and consult others **Change**

Hospital stay required



LPS applies to arrangements, application Includes **hospital** admission Change



Change Renewed

Change:

consultation with

everyone who has interest in care

next year... then every **three** years after

Three Tests: Medical, mental capacity,

Necessary and Proportionate

Streamlined, simpler, strengthen person's role, removes duplication by encouraging use of pre-existing information.

