

Number of offenders convicted under sections 4, 8 and 9 of the Animal Welfare Act 2006, by each court from May 2014 to December 2014.

| Court | May | June | July | August | September | October |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Fylde Coast | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Lancaster | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ormskirk | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Preston | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Burnley, Pendle & Rossendale | 3 | 4 | 3 | - | 6 | - |
| Total | 6 | 8 | 3 | - | 6 | - |

'-' = Nil

(1) The figures given in the table on court proceedings relate to persons for whom these offences were the principal offences for which a sentence was imposed. When a defendant has been found guilty of two or more offences it is the offence for which the heaviest penalty is imposed. Where a sentence is imposed for two or more offences, the offence selected is the offence for which the statutory maximum penalty is the most severe.

(2) Every effort is made to ensure that the figures presented are accurate and complete. However, it is important to note that the data are derived from large administrative data systems generated by the courts and police forces. As a consequence, care should be taken to ensure that the data are used in the context of the processes and their inevitable limitations are taken into account when those data are used.

Source: Justice Statistics Analytical Services - Ministry of Justice.

[Ref: PQ 4083]

ch court in Lancashire, in each month from

| <u>November</u> | <u>December</u> |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| 2 | 1 |
| <u>4</u> | <u>2</u> |

or which they were dealt with.
here the same disposal is
ere.

ese data have been extracted
ensure data collection